A renewed global effort in malaria control and elimination over the past decade has led to a substantial increase in basic research and significant advances in our understanding of the mechanisms underlying this complex disease. However, it is not always clear how such gains in knowledge will translate into improved strategies for the fight against malaria.

Written and edited by experts in the field, this collection from Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine explores recent developments in our understanding of malaria biology and their potential to influence malaria elimination/eradication strategies. The authors describe recent developments in their respective research areas and suggest both how these insights could guide intervention strategy and where critical knowledge gaps remain. Contributors discuss the unique features and challenges presented at key stages of the malaria life cycle and by different Plasmodium species, malaria transmission and its interruption, the challenge of drug resistance, the future of malaria vaccine strategies, epigenetic regulation of malaria-associated genes, and new approaches in malaria research, including genomics and modeling. They describe complex interactions of the malaria parasite with the human host, including disease pathogenesis, immune responses, and malaria in pregnancy. They also explore critical developments in vector biology, control, and insecticide resistance and in vector–parasite interactions, including the relationship between mosquito reproduction and parasite development.

The current state of each of these fields, their potential for impact on malaria elimination strategy and critical knowledge gaps, and next steps to achieve this are discussed. This volume is therefore a valuable reference for all scientists, clinicians, and public health professionals interested in understanding the state-of-the-art of malaria biology research and its critical role in our collective ambition to end this devastating disease.
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Preface

This book focuses on the fundamental scientific knowledge of malaria biology that will inform the worldwide elimination campaign. Malaria remains a major global health problem and, over the past decade, there has been a focus on reducing the burden of the disease. This is a historical inflection point—knowledge about the parasite, the insect vector, and the human host is rapidly expanding and the challenge now is to translate this knowledge into tools that can be used to impact the disease and its transmission. At the same time, exploration of these fundamental systems is generating new and interesting basic biological questions. The human host, insect vector, and parasite are interacting in a complex systems ecology. We can see the evolution of both the parasite and the mosquito vector occurring in real time—the emergence of drug and insecticide resistance are prime examples.

The chapters in this book focus on the last decade of research. This is a period when the focus of malaria research shifted from pathogenesis and disease mechanism to interrupting transmission. This change in emphasis was, in part, the result of a set of high-level decisions led by Bill and Melinda Gates and their foundation to focus on malaria elimination and eradication. During this same period, there have been technological advances that have greatly enhanced fundamental science investigation, advances in genomics, systems biology, cell biology and imaging, and, most recently, advanced genetic engineering, including gene drive approaches to modify mosquito populations.

Perhaps most important from the perspective of the editors are the remaining gaps in our knowledge and the gaps in the translation of that knowledge for public health impact. Rather than reiterating what is covered in the book, we identify here key knowledge gaps that need to be addressed as we begin to translate the basic science discoveries to the problem of elimination and eradication of malaria. First is understanding the course of natural infection as transmission is decreasing, including understanding relevant vector biology, identifying key determinants of immunity, and deciphering the differences in infections of the five \textit{Plasmodium} species that cause human disease. Understanding the biology of the \textit{Plasmodium vivax} liver stage is critical. Second is understanding the emergence and spread of insecticide resistance in mosquitoes and drug resistance in parasite populations. The selective force of interventions generates population bottlenecks and understanding these dynamics is now within technical reach with a renewed emphasis on surveillance and molecular epidemiology. Third is a renewed focus on vector competence, including fundamentals of vector biology and the interaction of the parasite with its mosquito vector. The enabling technology of gene drives now makes intervention at the level of mosquito populations possible and understanding the implications is the next opportunity and challenge.

Regardless of the current successes in reducing transmission and resulting decreases in cases and mortality, there is constant evolution of the parasite, the vector, the human host, and the environment and a fundamental understanding of malaria biology is key to the next generation of interventions. Enabling technologies now make it possible to interrogate parasite and vector biology to understand key liabilities for targeting future interventions. Host–parasite and vector–parasite interactions are the next frontier in our understanding of disease progression and transmission. The microbiomes of the human and the mosquito are likely to play key roles, and we are just beginning to understand their interplay with immunity and nutrition.
We are deeply grateful to our colleagues who have authored chapters in this collection for their thoughtful exploration of these and other critical themes in the biology of malaria eradication. The next decade promises great advances in our understanding of malaria biology and the translation of that knowledge to inform elimination and eradication, surely with significant contributions by the authors of this book. Additionally, we are thankful to Dr. Bronwyn MacInnis for her steadfast efforts on spearheading this project, and to Lucia Ricci for her help and creativity with artwork. We are also indebted to Project Manager Barbara Acosta and her colleagues at Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for their professionalism and patience in seeing this ambitious and important volume through to its impressive completion.

DYANN WIRTH
PEDRO ALONSO
Malaria Transmission and Prospects for Malaria Eradication: The Role of the Environment
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Environmental factors affect the transmission intensity, seasonality, and geographical distribution of malaria, and together with the vector, the human, and the parasite compose the malaria system. Strategies that alter the environment are among the oldest interventions for malaria control, but currently are not the most prominent despite historical evidence of their effectiveness. The importance of environmental factors, the role they play considering the current goals of malaria eradication, the different strategies that can be adopted, and the current challenges for their implementation are discussed. As malaria elimination/eradication takes a prominent place in the health agenda, an integrated action, addressing all elements of the malaria system, which contributes to improved knowledge and to building local capacity and that brings about positive effects to the health of the local population has the greatest chance to produce fast, effective, and sustainable results.

“Like chess, (malaria) is played with a few pieces, but is capable of an infinite variety of situations” (Hackett 1937). Four pieces comprise the malaria system: the vector, the parasite, the human, and the environment (Table 1). The triad human—vector—parasite exists within (and interacts with) the environment, resulting in a variety of unique local patterns of malaria transmission that have been associated with specific definitions (e.g., forest malaria, urban malaria, frontier malaria). Each of these unique transmission patterns present distinct challenges, requiring a tailored package of control interventions.

A multitude of factors impact each of the four pieces in the malaria system, adding complexity to the proper understanding and control of malaria (Singer and Castro 2011). The vector is shaped by the type of Anopheles species and associated feeding, resting, biting, and breeding behavior, flight range, vectorial capacity, mortality and reproduction rate, mosquito resistance to insecticides, and larval resistance to larvicides. Important issues regarding the parasite include the type and strain, resistance to antimalarial drugs, and duration of infection. The human component is shaped by several factors including genetic and acquired immunity, behavior, demographics, culture, socioeconomic characteristics, and politics. Last, the environment component depends both on the natural environment—temperature, humidity, rainfall, soil quality, elevation/slope, land cover, hydrography, presence of natural enemies of
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Control strategies</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vector</td>
<td>Species; feeding, resting, biting, host, and breeding preference; flight range; life span; vectorial capacity; reproduction rate; resistance</td>
<td>Insecticide-treated nets; indoor residual spraying; larval source management; fogging or area spraying</td>
<td>Mosquito behavior change; insecticide resistance; novel vector control (traps, engineered mosquitoes); genetic differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasite</td>
<td>Type and strain; resistance to antimalarials; duration of infection</td>
<td>Case management; malaria seasonal chemoprevention; intermittent presumptive treatment; mass drug administration</td>
<td>New diagnostic tools; sub-microscopic parasitemia; asymptomatic infections; gametocyte cycle; genetic diversity; hypnozoites; drug resistance; vaccines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Genetic/acquired immunity; knowledge (transmission, prevention, treatment); age; migratory pattern; occupation; education; cultural beliefs; behavior; access to care; population density; political context (public health interventions, support and infrastructure, construction of major development projects, implementation of foreign assistance, political stability, and governance); globalization (market pressures, choice of crops, exploitation of natural resources); environmental change</td>
<td>Personal protection (repellents, protective clothing); behavior change communication</td>
<td>Community participation; adherence to control; risk perception; behavior change; human mobility; forced mobility (climate, conflicts); malaria in pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Natural: temperature, rainfall, humidity; elevation/slope; soil quality; vegetation; hydrology; presence of natural enemies of mosquitoes and larvae; natural disasters</td>
<td>Environmental management; house improvement</td>
<td>Infrastructure projects; environmental change; extreme climatic events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human-made: urbanization; land change/use; housing type; deforestation; infrastructure (water, waste collection, sanitation); development projects (dams, roads, oil pipelines, mining, railways, irrigation, resettlement); disasters facilitated by human change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mosquitoes and larvae, and natural disasters—and the human-made environment—land use, land change, deforestation, housing type, infrastructure (water, sanitation, and waste collection), urbanization, development projects (e.g., roads, railways, dams, irrigation, mining, resettlement projects, and oil pipelines), and disasters facilitated by human-made changes.

Each of these factors may affect malaria positively or negatively, and effects can be modified depending on how they interact with each other. In addition, many of these factors are not static, but rather change/adapt to pressure or novel local conditions, bringing about additional challenges for malaria control. Examples include the development of drug and insecticide resistance, changes in vector (Chinery 1984; Sattler et al. 2005; Awolola et al. 2007) and human behavior (Maheu-Giroux and Castro 2013), and environmental changes (Keiser et al. 2004; Gething et al. 2010; Castro and Singer 2011; Yamana and Eltahir 2013; Hahn et al. 2014). Therefore, although specific interventions target each of the four pieces that compose the malaria system, two equally important issues deserve special attention. First, efforts to control (and eventually eradicate) malaria must address all four components of the malaria system. Second, the implementation of a package of interventions must be accompanied by a surveillance effort that allows fine tuning strategies in the event of changing local conditions.

This article focuses on the environmental component of the malaria system, considering (1) its interactions with other elements in the system, (2) the ways through which control strategies could address environmental determinants, and (3) if and why considering the environmental component is crucial for current goals of malaria eradication.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINANTS OF MALARIA TRANSMISSION

Several environmental factors impact mosquito and parasite vital rates, and thus affect the transmission intensity, seasonality, and geographical distribution of malaria. These factors fall into two broad categories: natural and human-made environment, as detailed next.

Natural Environment

Climate-based factors, temperature and precipitation, are the primary environmental determinants of malaria. Temperature impacts vector and parasite development and thus is an important constraint on the geographical suitability to malaria (Gething et al. 2011). The extrinsic development of the parasite is constrained within a certain temperature range (Macdonald 1957), extremely high temperatures are likely to produce smaller and less fecund mosquitoes (Warrell and Gilles 2002), and increasing temperatures reduce the time for mosquito maturation (from larva to adult form) and increase the feeding frequency (Service 1980; Martens et al. 1999). Recent models indicate that malaria transmission (as measured by the \(R_0\)) is constrained to temperatures between 16°C and 34°C, with optimal transmission at 25°C (Mordcaï et al. 2013), ~6°C lower than previously estimated (Martens et al. 1997; Craig et al. 1999; Parham and Michael 2010).

The frequency, duration, and intensity of precipitation contribute to the formation of suitable water habitats for mosquito breeding. Although water pools must persist for a long enough time for mosquito development, heavy precipitation has been associated with immature mortality (Paaijmans et al. 2007). Because Anopheles mosquitoes have different breeding preferences, and other natural conditions such as water temperature and quality, soil characteristics, and vegetation cover (Yamana and Eltahir 2013) can modify the suitability of the aquatic habitat for mosquito development, the relationship between rainfall and malaria has produced contrasting results, with some studies reporting positive impacts (Loevinsohn 1994; Kilian et al. 1999; Lindblade et al. 1999), and others finding negative or nonsignificant ones (Lindsay et al. 2000; WHO 2000; Singh and Sharma 2002).

Extreme weather events (e.g., tropical storms, droughts, hurricanes, cyclones, typhoons) often have the most dramatic impacts on human health (Kovats et al. 1999). The El
Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) is a phenomenon often associated with extreme weather events across the globe, with significant impacts in malaria transmission (Kovats et al. 2003). Although the periodicity of ENSO varies, early warning systems (some up to 1 year ahead) that monitor sea surface temperature facilitate the adoption of measures in anticipation of the consequences of extreme weather events (Ludescher et al. 2014).

Local hydrography, hydrology, and topography affect the water flow and collection, and the formation of water pools (Bomblies et al. 2008; Yamana and Eltahir 2013). For example, in the Amazon region the water level of the rivers increases dramatically during the rainy season, flooding the areas immediately proximal to the margins. When the rainy season is ending the water level decreases, and pools of water suitable for mosquito breeding appear because of the irregularity of the terrain (Peixoto 1917).

The presence of natural predators of mosquito larvae can contribute to control the population size of malaria vectors, depending on the physicochemical properties of the water habitat (Dida et al. 2015). Humidity affects mosquito survival, because under very dry conditions mosquitoes will desiccate (Jawara et al. 2008). Last, natural disasters can also result in increased malaria transmission (Watson et al. 2007) through population displacement, and habitat change after an earthquake and flooding that facilitate the proliferation of mosquito breeding habitats (Saénz et al. 1995; Gagnon et al. 2002). In contrast to the ENSO phenomenon, natural disasters often happen without warning, leaving little room to plan in anticipation of their negative impacts.

Human-Made Environment

Human-made transformations of the natural environment serve many purposes and can result in reductions or increases in malaria transmission. The implementation of development projects, such as roads, railways, dams, irrigation, mining, population resettlement, and oil extraction, to name a few, often result in social and environmental impacts that, if not properly assessed and mitigated, bring about negative effects on people’s health. Such impacts are associated with, for example, deforestation that can create ideal breeding grounds for mosquitoes; migration of naïve populations to malaria-endemic areas, or migration of infected people to areas where the malaria vector is present and transmission, albeit absent or very low, is suitable; a large concentration of workers living in poor housing and thus highly exposed to the vector; and creation of ideal water habitats for mosquito breeding, such as artificial lakes associated with dam construction. These and other consequences of development projects, as well as the negative impacts on health, have been well documented for more than a century (e.g., Cruz 1972; Ghebreyesus et al. 1999; Lerer and Scudder 1999; Jackson and Sleigh 2000; Keiser et al. 2005a; Knoblauch et al. 2014). Nevertheless, they continue to happen and to threaten the lives of many in malaria-endemic areas, exposing major flaws in the transparency and execution of environmental impact assessments (Erlanger et al. 2008).

The massive deforestation observed in the Brazilian Amazon in the 1970s and 1980s (driven by large cattle-ranching and human settlement efforts) was associated with a major increase of malaria, and brought about a new definition to describe the dynamics of transmission in the region: frontier malaria (Sawyer and Sawyer 1987; Castro et al. 2006). It has been hypothesized that extensive deforestation and disorganized occupation could be indirectly responsible for modifications in mosquito behavior (outdoor biting), subtracting its former sources of food (wild animals, who were scared away by the new settlers) and bringing man closer to its breeding places (Deane 1988).

Regarding agriculture, the impact on malaria depends on the type of crop, the planting system, the irrigation practice, and the characteristics of the local Anopheles (Yasuoka and Levins 2007). Without proper management of irrigation, rice fields often provide ideal grounds for mosquito breeding (International Rice Research Institute and Joint WHO/FAO/UNEP Panel of Experts on Environmental Management for Vector Control 1988). Specifically related to
maize, it has been shown that its pollen is a nutritious food for the larvae of the most common malaria vector in Ethiopia, contributing to increasing vector density, mosquito longevity, and malaria infection (Ye-Ebiyo et al. 2000; Kebede et al. 2005). Also, the practice of cultivating crops such as sweet potato and beans, among others, using raised planting beds interspaced by channels that naturally provide irrigation result in highly productive breeding habitats for Anopheles (Dongus et al. 2009).

The availability of basic infrastructure (water, sanitation, waste collection) in residential areas is another factor that can modify human exposure to a malaria infection, depending on the characteristics of local malaria vectors. The need to collect water from streams or closer to areas where mosquito density is higher, to bathe in rivers, and to defecate and urinate near forested areas around villages are associated with a higher human–vector contact (Castro et al. 2006; Gryseels et al. 2015). Also, the accumulation of waste that can block the water flow of drains contribute to the formation of water pools ideal for mosquito breeding (Castro et al. 2010).

The process of urbanization is expected to contribute to lower malaria transmission through better health care, improved house construction, and a reduced number of breeding habitats for Anopheles mosquitoes caused by water pollution and large areas of impervious surface (Castro et al. 2004; De Silva and Marshall 2012). However, declining economies, uncontrolled urban growth (with large fractions of the population living under slum-like conditions lacking proper infrastructure), and adaptation of malaria vectors to the urban environment bring additional challenges to urban malaria control (Chinery 1984; Keiser et al. 2004).

Another important aspect of the human-made environment is the housing quality and the extent to which it offers a barrier against the malaria vector. In malaria-endemic areas, houses with open eaves, without screens, and without doors and/or windows offer higher risk of human–vector contact and are associated with higher malaria transmission (Sawyer and Sawyer 1987; Gamage-Mendis et al. 1991; Tusting et al. 2015).

ENVIRONMENT-BASED INTERVENTIONS FOR MALARIA CONTROL

Strategies that alter the environmental characteristics associated with malaria transmission are among the oldest interventions for malaria control (Stromquist 1920). They can be grouped into three types of activities, aiming to reduce the number of breeding habitats for malaria vectors or to reduce the human–vector contact. First, a permanent modification of the environment, such as drainage, filling, and land leveling; these activities often demand large-scale engineering work. Second, a manipulation of the environment that demands recurrent activities, such as regulation of water level in reservoirs, intermittent irrigation, afforestation/deforestation, and vegetation removal from water bodies. Third, modification of human habitation through resettlement or improved housing (WHO 1982).

Environmental management was crucial for the elimination of malaria in European countries and in the United States and to reduce the burden of the disease in many other locations (Pomeroy 1920; Boyd 1926; Neiva 1940). Important endeavors, including the construction of the Panama Canal (Gorgas 1915), copper mining in Zambia, former Rhodesia (Watson 1953; Utzinger et al. 2002), and rubber production in Malaysia (Watson 1921), were unlikely to have the same outcome if environmental management was not adopted as part of the package of interventions for malaria control. Malaria transmitted by a bromeliad-breeding mosquito was eliminated from the South of Brazil through removal of bromeliads from urban areas and introduction of eucalyptus trees (on which bromeliads do not grow) in forested areas (Pinotti 1951; Deane 1988). Housing improvement, first introduced by the Italian hygienist Angelo Celli at the end of the 19th century, was a crucial intervention in Europe, in the United States, and during wars (screening barracks) (Carter and Mendis 2002; Lindsay et al. 2002). The use of intermittent irrigation
strategies for control of rice-field malaria has been and continues to be an important strategy in China (Baolin 1988; Singer and Castro 2011). The historical examples of the successful use of environmental management are many, both in urban and rural settings, and they proved to be cost effective (Konradsen et al. 2004; Keiser et al. 2005b). A few lessons learned include the combination of control strategies (with environment management playing a central role) idiosyncratic to each locality, the multidisciplinary nature of the control staff (entomologist, epidemiologist, ecologist, hydrologist, physician, and engineer), the importance of surveillance to inform the need to fine-tune the package of interventions, and the recognition that about 3 years would be necessary to obtain expected results (B Singer, unpubl.).

Lessons from contemporary environmental management for malaria control are twofold. First, it should be established with the participation of the community, which contributes to the sustainability of the activity and promotes a sense of ownership and empowerment (Fillinger et al. 2008; Castro et al. 2009). Second, multisectoral collaboration should be pursued, integrating health actions with sectors responsible for agriculture, urban planning, transportation, education, and finance (United Nations Development Programme and Roll Back Malaria Partnership 2013).

Currently, environmental management has very low priority, maybe as a result of the urgent desire for novel technologies that could quickly resolve the malaria problem (Lindsay et al. 2002). The opportunity, however, not only exists but is unique at a time when malaria eradication is back to the health agenda, as detailed in the next section.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Inspired by the 2007 Gates Malaria Forum, malaria eradication came back to the global health agenda. Malaria-endemic countries intensified control measures, particularly indoor residual spraying, use of artemisinin combination therapy for treatment, and distribution of insecticide treated nets. Significant progress has been achieved, and since the year 2000, the average prevalence of malaria infection in children aged 2–10 has declined by 47%, and an increasing number of countries are moving toward malaria elimination. Despite these achievements, there are still 198 million cases of malaria, about 584,000 deaths annually (WHO 2014), and challenges abound (Table 1).

Specifically related to the environment, existing unfavorable conditions as well as ongoing and projected environmental changes must be analyzed considering the interactions between the different elements in the malaria system. For example, environmental transformations may result in vector behavior change, imposing constraints on vector control interventions (Ferguson et al. 2010). This complexity of the system deserves more careful attention by malaria control programs, as exemplified next.

Housing improvements that could help reduce malaria transmission include screening of doors, windows, and eaves, closing eaves, installing ceilings, improving roofs, sealing cracks in walls, using higher quality building materials, creating new housing designs, and installing eave tubes (Lindsay et al. 2002; Ogoma et al. 2009; Tusting et al. 2015). Those are applicable in areas where conditions leave inhabitants highly exposed to contact with malaria vectors, such as urban and rural areas where poor housing prevails, and in refugee camps. The increase in conflicts that force populations into refugee camps (Rowland and Nosten 2001) and the increase in the frequency and intensity of natural disasters (IPCC 2013), demanding quick housing solutions, are two examples of current and future threats to malaria eradication efforts that could be mitigated with housing improvement, combined with other interventions.

In addition, current patterns of urban growth that result in concentration of poor housing and lack of infrastructure can facilitate the vector adaptation to water habitats that traditionally would not be suitable for mosquito breeding, and the creation of pockets of malaria infection among worse off areas. In this regard, recent population trends show that although currently Africa is the least urbanized region (40% of the population living in urban areas),
it shows the fastest pace of urbanization and by 2050, 56% of its population will be living in urban areas (United Nations et al. 2015). Thus, in anticipation of major changes, intersectoral collaboration becomes crucial. Environmental management strategies should be implemented concurrently with city growth, minimizing the negative effects commonly associated with rapid and unplanned urbanization (United Nations et al. 2015).

Also, environmental management is likely to increase the return of other malaria control interventions. For example, in Dar es Salaam, a third of all anopheline-positive water habitats were found in drains (Castro et al. 2010). Considering that the city has included larval control in the package of interventions, the cost and effort invested in that activity could be reduced if drains were regularly maintained to prevent water stagnation. The initial cost of cleaning drains may be high, given the massive accumulation of waste materials after years of lacking proper maintenance. However, in the long run, costs of keeping drains clean are lower than the resources needed to acquire and apply larvicides in the drains (UMCP et al. 2007; Castro et al. 2009). In addition, the use of environmental management strategies is likely to impact other vector-borne diseases (e.g., dengue and lymphatic filariasis), contributing to a much broader health improvement.

Although expanding areas protected under environmental conservation policies would be beneficial to prevent an increase in malaria transmission (Bauch et al. 2015), current trends make it reasonable to expect that deforestation will continue to be a reason for concern, particularly in the Amazon forest, driven by cattle ranching, agriculture, mining, large-scale development projects, and land invasions. When deforestation is planned and/or anticipated because of a specific activity that is implemented through legally approved mechanisms (e.g., development projects), there is an opportunity to adopt mitigation strategies to prevent increases in vector density and in malaria transmission. To be successful, however, these strategies must be closely monitored. In contrast, when deforestation results from illegal operations there is no planning, no warning, and thus no opportunity to counteract the impacts on malaria. In such a scenario, outbreaks are often observed, and responses only happen after a major health problem has already happened.

Considered as the biggest global health threat of the 21st century (Costello et al. 2009; WHO 2009; Watts et al. 2015), climate change is a significant environmental change currently unfolding, with worldwide consequences. Climatic effects on vector-borne diseases can occur directly, through extreme events (e.g., drought, flooding), increases in average temperature, and changes in precipitation patterns, but also indirectly, by population displacement and water and food insecurity, which impact individuals’ exposure and vulnerability to infections.

Despite the uncertainty embedded in climate change scenarios (IPCC 2013), malaria burden is likely to be impacted by climate change. The magnitude of the impact will depend on many issues, such as (1) the pattern of variability in temperature (Paaijmans et al. 2010); (2) how countries are planning in anticipation of future changes; (3) how adaptation strategies are adopted at varied scales (individual, community, institutional); (4) the combination of malaria control strategies in place; (5) the extent to which the risk of infection determined by local characteristics can be augmented by climatic changes; and (6) the level of clinical immunity (Laneri et al. 2015). Such complexity is reflected by contrasting results of global models on the impact of climate change on malaria (Martens et al. 1999; Rogers and Randolph 2000; Pascual et al. 2006; Gething et al. 2010; Yamana and Eltahir 2013; Laneri et al. 2015). The impacts and the responses are unlikely to be uniform across and within regions (Takken et al. 2005), and this adds another layer of complexity to the efforts of malaria eradication.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Environmental factors affect malaria transmission in different ways depending on the local ecosystem and on the transformations it has suffered through human action. They also interact with other elements of the malaria system,
resulting in a variety of local transmission profiles. This is a characteristic of malaria that adds complexity to disease control and elimination. There is no unique combination of control strategies that works in every setting, and each must be tailored to address local characteristics of transmission.

As malaria-endemic countries embrace elimination goals, focus has been given to testing, treatment, and vector control by indoor residual spraying and insecticide treated nets. Overall, environmental aspects have not received much attention by malaria control programs. One could argue that potential reasons include (1) limited financial and human resources; (2) lack of local expertise integrating health, hydrology, and engineering; (3) restricted knowledge on the additional benefit of adopting environmental management in different settings in combination with other interventions already in place; and (4) the desire to find a new technological solution.

It is unquestionable that progress in reducing cases and deaths has been achieved in the past decade, although not uniformly across and within countries. Although significant reductions can be achieved without control strategies that focus on the environment, the assumption that a fully successful control program can exist while neglecting environmental issues is naïve, and a mistake from a programmatic point of view.

Arguments favoring environmental interventions are threefold. First, by targeting all elements of the malaria system progress toward elimination can be faster and more cost effective. Because the elements of the system interact with each other, the returns from interventions can be maximized by concurrently addressing all elements, for example, human behavior affects the impact of other interventions (such as bednet use). Thus, by including behavior change campaigns in the control package it is possible to maximize local acceptance and updates of other interventions; also, environmental management can contribute to reducing the costs of other interventions, such as use of larviciding. Second, gains are likely to be sustainable. Because environmental strategies target the source of the problem (from a vector point of view) and have a long-term impact (if properly maintained), it is expected that the direct benefits, and interactions with other elements in the malaria system, will last longer. Third, environmental management benefits go beyond malaria and are expected to also affect the incidence of dengue, lymphatic filariasis, and other vector-borne diseases. Thus, by promoting a healthier environment, these interventions make an important contribution to the overall improvement of local health conditions, probably reaching out to populations that are not directly benefited by other malaria control interventions.

Environmental management strategies have been successfully implemented in malaria-endemic settings in the past (Keiser et al. 2005b). The knowledge regarding what/how to do is available, and so is the evidence regarding its impact. Considering the current scenario, where (1) changes to the environment are fast, often unplanned, significantly favoring vector breeding, and thus should be mitigated, (2) extreme weather events are more frequent and intense, and therefore adaptation strategies are urgently needed, and (3) vectors adapt to urban ecologies, breeding in places previously considered to be unsuitable for larval development, failing to introduce environmental strategies into the package of malaria control interventions is a missed opportunity with implications for the success and sustainability of other control efforts. However, the adoption of environmental strategies demands local knowledge and expertise: knowledge to identify places where targeting the environment is feasible and beneficial to the reduction of malaria transmission; entomological knowledge on vector species composition and behavior; and expertise to plan, implement, maintain, and monitor the activities.

Realistically, it is probably the case that most malaria control programs do not have the proper knowledge and expertise to effectively account for the local environment in control efforts, and maybe do not even have comprehensive entomological information (Ulrich et al. 2013). Thus, filling in these gaps is imperative, and the task could be better accomplished.
by fostering an integrated collaboration between donors, the industry, academia, and different sectors of the government (United Nations Development Programme and Roll Back Malaria Partnership 2013).

One of the challenges for incorporating environmental interventions is funding. Most resources are placed on interventions that have shown to be effective in bringing about significant declines in transmission in high-endemic countries (bednets, indoor residual spraying, and treatment). However, if a plateau is achieved, and those interventions are not able to promote further declines, what is the next step? Incorporation of other well-known but currently less used interventions, such as environmental management, may lack the political and financial support because funding agencies might not be willing to sponsor them on the grounds that (1) they are not novel, (2) they are not a priority, or (3) the country has no knowledge and expertise to implement them. Depending on the local characteristics of malaria transmission, such a scenario may hamper attempts to quickly move toward elimination.

As elimination/eradication takes a prominent place in the health agenda of malaria-endemic countries; an integrated action, addressing all elements of the malaria system, which contributes to improve knowledge and to build local capacity, and that brings about positive effects to the health of the local population, has the greatest changes to produce fast, effective, and sustainable results.
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Transmission of malaria from man to mosquito defines the human infectious reservoir of malaria. At the population level this is influenced by a variety of human, parasite, and mosquito vector factors some or all of which may vary depending on the epidemiological setting. Here, we review our current state of knowledge related to human infectiousness to mosquitoes and how current malaria control strategies might be adapted to focus on reducing this. While much progress has been made in malaria control, we argue that an improved understanding of human infectivity will allow more effective use of current control tools and make elimination a more feasible goal.

Considerable progress has been made in our understanding of the production and maturation of \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} gametocytes and associated factors related to the biology of malaria transmission at the level of the individual malaria-infected patients (Meibalan and Marti 2016). In this review, we examine how these individual factors combine with epidemiological and entomological elements to define transmission at the population level. The premise is that if we are able to better understand transmission, we can then more effectively target malaria transmission stages in the fraction of the human population that is most important for onward malaria transmission to mosquitoes. Moreover, improving our understanding of malaria transmission at the population level will also allow more accurate monitoring of the effects of control and elimination programs to specifically counter the likelihood of resurgence of malaria in areas that remain receptive to malaria.

\textbf{THE HUMAN PARASITE RESERVOIR: A MATTER OF SENSITIVITY}

Community estimates of parasite carriage by microscopy (as parasite prevalence) are the most widely available malaria metrics and are commonly used to compare malaria transmission intensity between areas (Hay et al. 2009) and compare infection burden between different populations within malaria-endemic regions (Smith et al. 2005). Routine microscopy has a sensitivity in the range of 50–100 parasites/\textmu L of blood (Okell et al. 2012). Rapid di-
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agnostic tests (RDTs), lateral flow devices that are based on histidine-rich protein 2 (HRP2) or Plasmodium lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH) and aldolase (Bell et al. 2006), achieve a similar sensitivity ≲ 100 parasites/μL (Banoo et al. 2006) and are increasingly widely used for routine malaria diagnosis and epidemiological surveys (Bastiaens et al. 2014). Estimates of parasite prevalence from RDTs are often slightly higher than microscopy because of the persistence of antigen after infection has been cleared (Wu et al. 2015). Molecular methods for malaria detection and species identification include nested polymerase chain reaction (nPCR) (Snounou et al. 1993), quantitative PCR (qPCR), quantitative reverse transcription (qRT)-PCR, and nucleic acid sequence-based amplification (NASBA), and invariably detect a larger number of infections. nPCR, for which most data is available, in conjunction with microscopy data, detects approximately twice as many infections as microscopy (Okell et al. 2012), but the fraction of infections that is undetectable by microscopy varies considerably between endemic areas and population groups. Although parasite prevalence overall is lower, the proportion of infections present at submicroscopic parasite densities is largest in low-endemic areas (Fig. 1). This may reflect the lower density of malaria parasites in low transmission settings that may, in turn, be explained by a lower likelihood of superinfection and a large fraction of monoclonal infections, which are better controlled by the human immune system (Okell et al. 2012). In all areas in which transmission intensity is sufficiently high to elicit an age-dependent acquisition of immunity, adults are more likely to carry submicroscopic infections (Proietti et al. 2011; Nguyen et al. 2012; Mosha et al. 2013). The role of antimalarial immunity in determining the density and detectability of infections is currently incompletely understood. In the context of malaria-elimination initiatives, it is of great relevance to understand the influence of waning residual immunity (that follows successful malaria control) on the likelihood that malaria infections elicit malaria symptoms and reach densities that are detectable by currently available diagnostics (Wu et al. 2015).

GAMETOCYTE PRODUCTION AND THE HUMAN INFECTIOUS RESERVOIR

Not surprisingly, in a similar way that molecular diagnostics have identified increased number of malaria infections, molecular assays for the sexual-stage gametocytes have unveiled a previously unappreciated pool of low-density gametocyte carriers (Bousema and Drakeley 2011). The detection of gametocyte-specific mRNA, primarily based on Pfs25 mRNA (Bousema and Drakeley 2011; Wampfler et al. 2013), pfg377, or Pfs230 (Nwakanma et al. 2008), has shown that mature gametocytes can be detected in the majority of symptomatic (Nassir et al. 2005; Nwakanma et al. 2008; Sawa et al. 2013; Eziefula et al. 2014) and asymptotically P. falciparum–infected individuals (Ouedraogo et al. 2007; Shekalaghe et al. 2007; Nwakanma et al. 2008), even if densities of asexual parasites are below the microscopic threshold for detection (Ouedraogo et al. 2007; Shekalaghe et al. 2007; Wampfler et al. 2013).

The presence of an undetectable gametocyte fraction was assumed before the advent of molecular gametocyte diagnostics as a result of observations of successful mosquito infections from individuals who had no gametocytes detected by microscopy (Boudin et al. 1993b; Bousema et al. 2012a). The proportion of mosquitoes that becomes infected after feeding on these submicroscopic, and therefore low-density, gametocyte carriers is typically two- to fivefold lower than on microscopy-positive gametocyte carriers (Coleman et al. 2004; Schneider et al. 2007; Ouedraogo et al. 2009, 2015; Bousema et al. 2012a; Churcher et al. 2013). However, the high number of these individuals in many endemic settings makes submicroscopic gametocyte carriers potentially significant contributors to malaria transmission (Bousema et al. 2014).

It would seem important that from a public health perspective, a better understanding of the detectability of malaria infections that infect mosquitoes and quantification of the relative contribution of patent and subpatent infections to the human infectious reservoir is required. As examples, two recent studies that determined...
infectivity in community surveys indicate that onward transmission to mosquitoes is possible from infections that have no detectable gametocytes or asexual parasites by microscopy. In a moderate transmission setting in Senegal, 8% (2/25) of infectious individuals had no parasites detected by microscopy, yet were responsible for 18.2% (12/66) of all mosquito infections. A similar study in a high-endemic setting in Burkina Faso found that 28.7% (25/87) of infectious individuals were parasite-free by microscopy, yet responsible for 17.0% (145/855) of all infected mosquitoes (Ouedraogo et al. 2015). The latter study was the first to use molecular assays in combination with mosquito feeding assays and confirmed that all infectious individuals had parasites detected by RNA-based diagnostics (Ouedraogo et al. 2015). In a setting of intense malaria transmission in Burkina Faso, a substantial proportion of infectious individuals harbored parasite densities below 100 parasites/μL, the density realistically detected by microscopy and RDTs (Fig. 2). These and other findings from transmission studies (Stone et al. 2015) would suggest two relatively clear and distinct paths for diagnostics for re-

Figure 1. Submicroscopic parasite carriage as a function of malaria transmission intensity. Prevalence of *Plasmodium falciparum* infections detected by nested polymerase chain reaction (nPCR, *x*-axis) or microscopy (*y*-axis) in the same individuals. Each data point and associated confidence intervals represent data from one cross-sectional survey. The dotted line shows the correlation that would be expected if the prevalence of infection detected by both methods was the same. The solid line with shaded area represents the best fit to the data and confidence interval (Okell et al. 2012). (Panel from Okell et al. 2012; adapted, with permission, from the authors.) The shaded arrows in the panel below shows (top) the intensity of malaria transmission, which is typically defined by microscopy and ranges from low to high transmission intensity; (middle) the average parasite density in infections that increases with transmission intensity; and (bottom) the proportion of infections that is submicroscopic. While the proportion of the population that is malaria-infected is lowest in low-endemic settings, the fraction of these infections that is submicroscopic (undetectable by microscopy and rapid diagnostic tests [RDTs]) (Wu et al. 2015) is largest in low-endemic settings.
search and public health settings. First, more detailed research studies aiming to quantify the human infectious reservoir or quantify the impact of transmission-blocking interventions would benefit from the use of sensitive, quantitative molecular tools to detect asexual parasite populations and gametocytes. In contrast, outside research settings, malaria surveillance and surveys to support and evaluate community interventions would not require gametocyte-specific diagnostics but instead rely on sensitive methods to detect all stages of infection on the assumption that all parasite infections are viable sources of gametocytes and therefore potentially infectious (Bousema et al. 2014).

DEMOGRAPHIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS INFLUENCING MALARIA TRANSMISSIBILITY

Many previous studies aiming to determine the human infectious reservoir often selected individuals with microscopically detected gametocytes for transmission experiments (Stone et al. 2015) and, as discussed above, it is now clear that this approach will have missed individuals who harbor submicroscopic gametocyte densities and who are potentially infectious. However, even if individuals are selected randomly from a population to assess their infectivity, these infectivity assessments do not allow drawing firm conclusions on the relative importance of different populations for onward transmission. The selection of study participants often does not take into account the demographic structure of populations. All available studies on the human infectivity to mosquitoes that included a wide age range, observed that the proportion of mosquitoes that become infected in mosquito feeding assays is higher in children, because they have higher gametocyte densities than adults (Muirhead-Thomson 1957; Graves et al. 1988; Githeko et al. 1992; Boudin et al. 1993a; Bonnet et al. 2003; Gaye et al. 2015). Yet, when estimates

Figure 2. Parasite density and infectivity in relation to age in an area of intense malaria transmission. Each dot represents an individual in which parasite carriage was determined and quantified by a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) (Hermens et al. 2001) and onward malaria transmission was determined by membrane feeding assays. Open dots represent uninfected individuals (parasite density equals zero) or malaria-infected individuals who are not infectious to mosquitoes. Closed circles indicate infectious individuals. Parasite density and the likelihood of infecting mosquitoes are highest in children; onward transmission to mosquitoes is commonly observed at densities below the microscopic threshold for detection (Slater et al. 2015).
are adjusted for the demographic composition of the population, the importance of older individuals becomes clearer and in many settings adults comprise > 20% of the human infectious reservoir (Muirhead-Thomson 1957; Graves et al. 1988; Githeko et al. 1992; Bonnet et al. 2003; Stone et al. 2015). This contribution may be increased further if, in addition to demographic composition, both accessibility and attractiveness of humans to mosquitoes are also considered. These factors have never been directly incorporated in assessments of the human infectious reservoir, although it is well known that the number of mosquito bites a person experiences is highly variable. This is mostly driven by human behavior and use of protective measures. In areas with good bednet coverage because of the specific targeting of children and pregnant women, net use is highest in young children (< 5 years) and lowest in older children and adolescents (Baume and Marin 2007; Bernard et al. 2009; Matovu et al. 2009; Kulkarni et al. 2010). Moreover, older individuals also tend to spend more evening hours awake, unprotected by a net and sometimes outdoors where a relevant, and potentially increasing, proportion of bites of malaria-transmitting mosquitoes occurs (Braimah et al. 2005; Reddy et al. 2011; Moiroux et al. 2012). Adults are also more likely to attract mosquitoes (Muirhead-Thomson 1951; Thomas 1951; Clyde and Shute 1958; Carnevale et al. 1978; Port et al. 1980), a phenomenon probably associated with their larger body weight and surface area (Port et al. 1980) and possibly with body temperature and chemical cues that change with increasing age (Knols et al. 1995; Mukabana et al. 2002; Qiu et al. 2006). The lower intervention use, shorter sleeping times, and increased attractiveness to mosquitoes all make older children and adults considerably more likely to be fed on by anopheline vectors and thereby increase their plausible contribution to the human infectious reservoir for malaria if they are infectious to mosquitoes (Fig. 3).

By their nature, cross-sectional surveys are typically time-dependent and reflect a relatively short period or snapshot of population infectivity to mosquitoes. This creates challenges for extrapolating single time-point measurements of infectivity to an overall contribution to transmission. It is clear that infections have differing dynamics in different hosts, which will depend on both intrinsic (e.g., age and immunity as a result of local malaria endemicity) and extrinsic factors (e.g., treatment seeking behavior and treatment). There are very limited data on longitudinal infectiousness of individuals or populations following natural infections. Malaria therapy studies have shown that individuals can be infected for up to a year and be infectious to mosquitoes at multiple time points during this period (Jeffery and Eyles 1955). In the absence of sufficiently detailed data from natural infections, the malaria therapy data form a key component of infectiousness in malaria mathematical models (Ross et al. 2006; Okell et al. 2012; Johnston et al. 2013). However, as discussed above, continual exposure to parasites and the acquisition of immunity will influence asexual and, consequently, sexual parasite levels, which together with factors such as human genetics, exposure to other infections, and nutrition and the restricted demographic range of the participants in the malaria therapy studies limits interpretation from these studies. One of the important suggestions from malaria therapy data that requires further studies in natural infections concerns temporal fluctuations in the infectivity of gametocytes during an infection (Johnston et al. 2013). This corroborates anecdotal reports from natural infections that the likelihood of mosquito infection at a given gametocyte density may fluctuate between seasons and potentially with the duration of malaria infections (Ouedraogo et al. 2015) and would clearly be a very important factor to parametrize malaria transmission models. A recent analysis of case reports and infections post-blood-transfusion has suggested that infections with *P. falciparum* may last for up to 13 years (Ashley and White 2014). No data on gametocyte carriage or infectivity are available for these and other chronic infections, yet such data may be vitally important in managing malaria resurgence in areas of low transmission.

Broadly speaking, two types of infection scenario can be seen as bookends with individ-
Figure 3. The human infectious reservoir for malaria. Individuals in the figure are represented by circles in three age groups: <5 (dark gray), 5–15 (black), and >15 years (light gray). The age-stratified population (A) reflects the abundance of individuals in each group based on a simplified population age structure in sub-Saharan Africa. (B) Speckling within circles represents the presence of *Plasmodium falciparum* gametocytes by molecular methods. (C) Solid filled circles represent who are infectious to mosquitoes in membrane feeding assays. Many gametocytic individuals are not infectious to mosquitoes at the moment of sampling. The top pie chart presents the proportional contribution of each age group to the human infectious reservoir for malaria after taking into account the demographic distributions in the population. The bottom pie chart presents the same contribution to the human infectious reservoir for malaria, taking into account differences in mosquito-biting frequency that are related to differences in behavior, protective measures, and attractiveness to mosquitoes because of body size and other host characteristics. (From data in Stone et al. 2015; adapted, with permission, from Elsevier © 2015.)

imal individuals will achieve low parasite densities and consequently infect few mosquitoes and only sporadically. These infections, however, might be expected to last for several weeks and months as infections are asymptomatic. The infectiousness of any subsequent or superinfection may also depend on when in a transmission season this occurs. Ouédraogo
et al. (2015) showed that as parasite and gametocyte densities declined during a transmission season in Burkina Faso, so did infectiousness to mosquitoes. The decline was more pronounced in the youngest age groups, which was hypothesized to be a result of transmission-blocking immunity (discussed below), while the infectiousness of adults remained consistent and low. Longitudinal data such as these need to be interpreted in the context of the likelihood of the infected host being sampled by a mosquito. In Burkina Faso, where malaria transmission vector densities are highly seasonal and in the dry season infectious individuals may rarely be bitten and mosquitoes that do bite may not survive to transmit malaria. Counts of infected mosquitoes can be used to estimate indirect measures of population infectiousness or \( k \) (Killeen et al. 2006; Tusting et al. 2014). These measures are perhaps of more use for evaluating entomological factors than for characterizing the human infectious reservoir for malaria as individual human or age-specific contributions cannot be derived. Additionally, there are inconsistencies in methodological approaches used to calculate \( k \), including variation in mosquito trapping techniques that only sample a subset of available mosquitoes, limiting the broader relevance of any estimates.

**HUMAN IMMUNE RESPONSES INFLUENCING MALARIA TRANSMISSIBILITY**

Human immune responses can influence malaria transmission in several ways. Immune responses that reduce or prevent the establishment of blood-stage parasitemia or reduce the multiplication of the asexual will reduce malaria transmission potential by simply reducing the number of parasites that become gamocytes. Immune responses may also directly target gamocytes or their infectivity to mosquitoes. As described in detail in Meibalan and Marti (2016), early-stage gamocytes of *P. falciparum* sequester primarily in the bone marrow (Sinden and Smalley 1979). There is incomplete evidence for immune recognition of proteins that are present on the surface of erythrocytes that contain developing gamocytes and may influence gamocyte sequestration (Hayward et al. 1999; Rogers et al. 2000) and maturation (Sutherland 2009; Tonwong et al. 2012). Similarly, naturally acquired antibody responses have been described that recognize erythrocytes containing mature, infectious gamocytes and these antibodies have been hypothesized to play a role in the immune clearance of circulating gametocytes (Saeed et al. 2008). However, experimental evidence for these immune responses to gamocyte-infected erythrocytes is difficult to show and a functional phenotype is only speculative. By comparison, the natural acquisition of antibody responses that influence the infectivity of gametocytes/gametes in mosquitoes is well established. Gametocytes circulate for an average of 4 to 7 days (Bousema et al. 2010), after which gametocytes are removed from the bloodstream in the spleen and gamocyte proteins become accessible to the human immune system. Proteomic analysis has identified >2000 proteins that are expressed in gametocytes of which several hundred that are specific to stage IV and V gametocytes (Lasonder et al. 2002, 2016; Le Roch et al. 2004; Silvestrini et al. 2010). Immune recognition and the functionality of immune responses have been described for only a handful of these proteins. This is due, in part, to a lack of specific reagents to examine immune recognition, which is in turn influenced by the fact that several protein families have complicated tertiary structure. The limited immune responses to gametocyte antigens may be a result of reproductive restraint in which the parasite produces low levels of gametocytes to avoid the induction of effective immunity (Taylor and Read 1997).

The functionality of antibody responses to gametocyte and gamete antigens can be most convincingly assessed in in vitro experiments in which purified IgG is mixed with cultured gametocytes and offered to mosquitoes in the standard membrane feeding assay (SMFA) (Ponnudurai et al. 1989). The SMFA has revealed that naturally acquired antibodies to sexual-stage malaria parasites may inhibit fertilization in the mosquito midgut by inhibiting gamete mobility, reducing contact between
male and female gametes or complement-mediated gamete lysis (Vermeulen et al. 1985; Grotendorst et al. 1986; Kaslow et al. 1992; Ranawaka et al. 1994). At present, the most convincing evidence for naturally acquired transmission-reducing immunity (TRI) has been associated with the presence of antibodies to proteins Pfs230 and Pfs48/45 (Rener et al. 1983), which are present on the surface of gametocytes/gametes and play an important role in male microgamete fertility (van Dijk et al. 2001; Eksi et al. 2006). Pfs25 and Pfs28 (Duffy and Kaslow 1997) are candidate proteins for the development of vaccines that elicit TRI but play no relevant role in naturally acquired TRI because these genes are posttranscriptionally repressed until the parasite’s development in the mosquito midgut (Pradel 2007) and proteins are therefore not exposed to the human immune system (Miura et al. 2013). Antibody responses to Pfs8/45 and Pfs230 have been detected in numerous malaria-endemic settings and have been associated with TRI (Premawansa et al. 1994; Healer et al. 1999; Bousema et al. 2006, 2010; Drakeley et al. 2006; Jones et al. 2015) and monoclonal antibodies against several epitopes of these proteins reproducibly inhibit parasite fertilization. However, the role of Pfs45/48 and Pfs230 antibodies in natural malaria transmission remains to be quantified and a possible role of antibody responses to other sexual-stage antigens has been hypothesized but remains to be proven. Despite the need for more research in this area, it is evident that human immune responses can reduce the transmissibility of gametocytes in many African settings (Bousema et al. 2011) and that a fraction of gametocyte carriers, estimated at ~5%, is capable of completely preventing mosquito infection (Bousema et al. 2006, 2007; Drakeley et al. 2006).

CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE DEPLOYMENT OF TRANSMISSION-REDUCING INTERVENTIONS

Key considerations for any intervention that aim to reduce transmission are intervention coverage and the duration of the transmission-reducing effects. Coverage is essential in light of the discussion above about the relatively high prevalence of potentially infectious individuals who would be missed by current diagnostics. Recent work on trial design for transmission-blocking vaccines (TBVs) suggests that coverage of 80% is required for a viable vaccine effect (Delrieu et al. 2015) with efficacy further enhanced if the immunity induced lasts for 12 months or longer. This latter point has implications for choice of vaccine antigen and immunization strategies. The impact of all TBV will be dependent on their ability to induce long-lasting TRI. Vaccines based on prefertilization antigens such as Pfs48/45 and Pfs230 may benefit from natural boosting of immune responses because these proteins are expressed in gametocytes and thereby naturally presented to the immune system. TBV based on postfertilization antigens (e.g., Pfs25) would not be boosted as the immune system is not exposed to these antigens and are thereby fully dependent on the immunization approach (Nikolaeva et al. 2015). Antibody levels are crucial for the efficacy of the RTS,S vaccine (White et al. 2015) and the same could be expected for a TBV; the efficacy of naturally induced sexual-stage antibodies is strongly influenced by antibody titer (Bousema et al. 2010).

In the absence of an efficacious TBV, transmission-reducing interventions now commonly rely on the deployment of antimalarial drugs to reduce the human infectious reservoir for malaria. Community-based chemotherapy studies have shown that only treating individuals positive by RDT had a very limited effect on transmission (Tiono et al. 2013; Cook et al. 2015). This limited effect is related to the proportion of infections that are capable of resulting in onward malaria transmission that is undetected and therefore not targeted (Tiono et al. 2013; Cook et al. 2015). In addition, the beneficial prophylactic effect of antimalarial drugs is withheld from a large proportion of the population if treatment is prompted by malaria diagnosis, which may further reduce the effect of screening-and-treatment approaches (Okell et al. 2011). At present, there is little data to indicate what density of parasites a diagnostic test needs to achieve for a screen-and-treat approach to become effective. On one
hand, the proportion of populations that is diagnosed with malaria infections is increasing with the improvement of molecular diagnostics that examine larger blood volumes or use more sensitive molecular targets (Bousema et al. 2014; Hofmann et al. 2015). On the other hand, countries are eliminating malaria without a specific focus on these low-density carriers (Bousema et al. 2014; Lin et al. 2014). It appears likely, albeit formally unproven, that malaria elimination can be achieved sooner if field-deployable diagnostics become more sensitive and allow a larger proportion of the parasitemic and infectious human reservoir to be included in interventions.

It is important to note that infections are likely to cluster in a household and these households in turn may also be proximal. Studies in highland Kenya and low-endemic Tanzania found that individuals were 2–6 times more likely to be positive by molecular tests in the household of a person with a positive RDT (Cook et al. 2015; Stresman et al. 2015). This spatial heterogeneity in transmission has long been recognized at a macro scale but is only recently being described at micro scales (Bousema et al. 2012b; Bejon et al. 2014). The clustering of malaria infections in certain geographic areas (Bousema et al. 2012b; Bejon et al. 2014) or demographic populations (Wesolowski et al. 2012; Yangzom et al. 2012; Sturrock et al. 2013) has relevance for both the development of immunity to infection and long-term parasite carriage as well as for targeted control. In some instances in which malaria control nears elimination but isolated pockets of continued transmission persist, the value of targeted interventions is evident. In other settings in which malaria continues to be widespread but heterogeneous, the evidence that supports targeted interventions is less convincing. In these settings, individuals who are disproportionally exposed to (infected and uninfected) mosquitoes may spread malaria transmission to the larger population (Woolhouse et al. 1997). Targeting these areas or individuals theoretically forms a highly efficient approach to malaria control (Bousema et al. 2012b), but many uncertainties exist about the size, locality, detectability, and stability of so-called malaria hot spots (Bejon et al. 2014). One cluster-randomized trial that quantified the effect of hot-spot-targeted interventions on surrounding malaria-endemic communities found very limited evidence for this community effect (Bousema et al. 2016). Uncertainties about the spatial and temporal dynamics of infected mosquitoes and human parasite carriers currently hinder the rational targeting of interventions in areas where malaria transmission is widespread but geographically heterogeneous.

CONCLUSIONS

Control and transmission reduction of malaria presents a uniquely complex challenge given its high transmissibility or $R_0$ (Smith et al. 2005). Notwithstanding this, there are encouraging reports of major reductions in malaria morbidity worldwide (Bhatt et al. 2015) and several countries have recently declared themselves malaria-free. However, lessons from history showed that the Global Malaria Eradication Program had little impact in Africa and, while this was attributed to decreasing efficacy of interventions, there was also major acknowledgment of our lack of fundamental understanding of basic biology. The currently available, field-deployable diagnostic approaches, such as microscopy and RDT, miss a substantial proportion of infectious individuals. This undiagnosed fraction of the human infectious reservoir may limit the impact of malaria interventions that depend on malaria diagnostics and extend the intervention phase that is required before elimination is achieved. TBV would be a highly desirable asset for malaria-elimination efforts and would avoid the challenges experienced by chemotherapy approaches that rely on malaria diagnosis. TBV are receiving significant investment, but their efficacy will depend on the longevity of the induced immune responses and the coverage of individuals transmitting infections to mosquitoes. A better understanding of the dynamics of the human infectious reservoir for malaria in the context of changing mosquito vector populations and other malaria-control interventions is urgently needed to support
the implementation of TBV and other transmission-reducing interventions by setting evidence-based targets in terms of spatial and demographic coverage levels and the strength and longevity of the incurred protection.
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Understanding transmission biology at an individual level is a key component of intervention strategies that target the spread of malaria parasites from human to mosquito. Gametocytes are specialized sexual stages of the malaria parasite life cycle developed during evolution to achieve crucial steps in transmission. As sexual differentiation and transmission are tightly linked, a deeper understanding of molecular and cellular events defining this relationship is essential to combat malaria. Recent advances in the field are gradually revealing mechanisms underlying sexual commitment, gametocyte sequestration, and dynamics of transmissible stages; however, key questions on fundamental gametocyte biology still remain. Moreover, species-specific variation between *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* transmission dynamics pose another significant challenge for worldwide malaria elimination efforts. Here, we review the biology of transmission stages, highlighting numerous factors influencing development and dynamics of gametocytes within the host and determinants of human infectiousness.

Current measures for malaria elimination have been inspired by the Global Malaria Eradication Program (GMEP), which operated under the World Health Organization (WHO) between 1955 and 1969. The strategy of GMEP was largely based on dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT)-based indoor residual spraying (DDT-IRS) complemented with mass drug administration (Pampana 1969). Although GMEP was able to eliminate malaria from many regions of the world, it was eventually abandoned because of technical challenges, increasing spread of both insecticide resistance and drug-resistant parasite strains, and lack of continuous political support (Najera et al. 2011). In 2007, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, supported by WHO, called for a campaign to prioritize malaria eradication strategies with renewed focus on blocking transmission (Alonso et al. 2011; malERA Consultative Group on Drugs 2011). Indeed, malaria elimination can only be achieved by interrupting and reducing transmission in a defined area until no parasites remain (Cohen et al. 2010; Alonso et al. 2011). Tools currently used to reduce transmission focus on vector control efforts such as insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) and antimalarial combination therapy including a transmission-blocking drug. Artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) are currently used as a first-line treatment worldwide (Global Partnership to Roll Back Malaria 2001). These efficiently clear asex-
ual parasites and early transmission stages, whereas mature infectious transmission stages are unaffected. To block transmission, ACT is often combined with the only transmission-blocking drug on the market, Primaquine. However, recent emergence of artemisinin resistance in Southeast Asia asks for urgent evaluation of alternative treatment strategies (Noedl et al. 2008; Dondorp et al. 2009; Mbengue et al. 2015; Straimer et al. 2015).

Of the five Plasmodium species known to cause malaria in humans, Plasmodium falciparum is lethal and responsible for severe disease pathology and the majority of deaths due to malaria, especially in sub-Saharan Africa. Plasmodium vivax typically causes milder infections than P. falciparum but has a much greater geographical distribution (Gething et al. 2012). The clinical symptoms of malaria are largely a result of the replication of asexual stages in human blood, but transmission to mosquitoes is only achieved through the development of sexual stages, termed gametocytes. To abrogate transmission of P. falciparum, we must be able to clear asexual and sexual stages from the human host, eventually rendering an individual noninfectious to mosquitoes. However, in the case of P. vivax, elimination is highly challenging because of the relapse of dormant liver-stage hypnozoites that can persist as a transmission reservoir for several months after the initial infection (White 2011; Dembélé et al. 2014). Lack of sufficient knowledge on the infectivity of asymptomatic and symptomatic individuals harboring transmission parasite stages remains a major gap in understanding malaria transmission. Because of the complex and nonlinear relationship between parasite density in the human host and infectiousness to mosquitoes (Schneider et al. 2007; Bousema et al. 2012; Churcher et al. 2013), our knowledge of strategies used by the parasite for efficient transmission is still far from complete. Recent studies highlighting the bone marrow as the primary site of gametocyte development and sequestration (Farfour et al. 2012; Aguilar et al. 2014; Joice et al. 2014) raises questions on the timing of events from sequestration leading to reentry of mature gametocytes into the bloodstream and potential migration to subdermal capillaries under the skin during an infection. As gametocytes represent a potential bottleneck in transmission, a deeper understanding of transmission biology is required to develop novel tools and strategies toward elimination and eradication of malaria. In this review, we discuss the current knowledge, recent advances, and open questions regarding key aspects of transmission biology, including mechanisms underlying gametocyte development, gametocyte sequestration, and assessment of the infectious reservoir in an individual. Targeted research on the highlighted knowledge gaps will be crucial to identify potential targets for intervention strategies. Although studies on the transmission biology of P. vivax are limited compared with P. falciparum, we have compared key features of sexual biology between both species.

DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSMISSION STAGES IN HUMAN MALARIA

The pathology of malaria infection and associated clinical manifestations are predominantly attributed to the asexual erythrocytic stages. During asexual blood stage development, the ring stages develop into replicative schizont forms that release multiple invasive daughter merozoites (Fig. 1A). Within each replication cycle, a small proportion (~0.1%–5%) of asexual parasites develop into male and female sexual stages called gametocytes (Sinden 1983), which are the only stages transmitted to the mosquito vector, albeit not directly contributing to disease pathology. The time required for gametocyte maturation differs strikingly between the different Plasmodium species. A P. falciparum gametocyte takes ~8–10 days for maturation into five morphologically distinct phases (stages I–V) (Fig. 1B) (Hawking et al. 1971; Sinden et al. 1978). In the other Plasmodium species, asexual and sexual cycle are of similar length. P. vivax gametocytes require ~48 h for development and disappear from circulation within 3 days of sexual maturation (Sinden and Gilles 2002). In the rodent malaria parasites, Plasmodium berghei (Mons et al. 1985) and Plasmodium yoelii (Gautret
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Figure 1. The *Plasmodium falciparum* life cycle in the human host. (A) Life cycle of *P. falciparum*. Human malaria infection is initiated when a female anopheline mosquito injects *Plasmodium* sporozoites into the skin during a blood meal. Sporozoites actively reach peripheral circulation and migrate to the liver in which they replicate within hepatocytes forming merozoites that are released into the bloodstream. Merozoites invade red blood cells (RBCs) and develop through ring, trophozoite, and schizont stages before forming new merozoites that are released at schizont egress and reinvade new RBCs. A small proportion of blood stage parasites develop into sexual stages called gametocytes that reach the dermis where they are taken up by another mosquito. After fertilization and sporogonic development in the mosquito midgut, infectious sporozoites are formed that reach the salivary glands for transmission into another host. (B) Schematic representation of *P. falciparum* gametocyte developmental stages. Gametocytes undergo five distinct morphological stages during development. Stage I and early stage II are morphologically similar to early stage asexual parasites, and late stage II is the first stage that can be distinguished from asexual trophozoites. Late stage III and stage IV are further elongated and characterized by their spindle shape, whereas in stage V gametocytes, the ends are more rounded forming a crescent shape with minimal visible host cell surface. (C) Model of bone marrow sequestration of *P. falciparum* gametocytes. Sexually committed parasitized RBCs home to the bone marrow by binding to endothelial wall of sinusoids followed by transmigration into the extravascular space and undergo development. Alternatively, early asexual parasite stages transmigrate into the extravascular space to produce sexually committed schizonts that release merozoites, which, on reinvasion, begins sexual developmental stages (models also reviewed in Nilsson et al. 2015). Increased rigidity of early gametocytes (Aingaran et al. 2012; Peatey et al. 2013) and the observed binding of immature gametocytes to erythroblastic islands (Joice et al. 2014) favor their maturation in the hematopoietic system. Mature gametocytes exit the microenvironment potentially because of restoration in their deformability (Tiburcio et al. 2012) and intravasate into circulation to be taken up by mosquitoes. (D) Model of *P. falciparum* gametocyte localization to the skin. Mature gametocytes preferentially sequester in the subdermal micro capillaries of skin where they are easily accessible to mosquito during a blood meal. (Inset) Factors influencing malaria transmission including host, parasite, and environmental conditions are listed.
et al. 1996a), gametocyte maturation requires only 24–27 h. The first recognizable gametocyte stages in *P. falciparum* are round compact forms containing hemozoin. These stages (stage I) and subsequent developmental forms (stage II–IV) are largely absent from blood circulation, but sequester in deep tissue in which they develop into mature sausage-shaped stage V gametocytes and reappear in the blood infective for mosquitoes (Thomson and Robertson 1935; Smalley et al. 1981). The density of mature *P. falciparum* gametocytes in peripheral circulation is typically <100 gametocytes/μL of blood (Drakeley et al. 2006), and in most cases they are present at submicroscopic levels. In contrast to *P. falciparum*, mature *P. vivax* gametocytes are large and round, filling up nearly the entire stippled red blood cell (RBC) with a prominent nucleus (Sinden and Gilles 2002). Because of their faster maturation period compared with *P. falciparum*, *P. vivax* gametocytes are present in blood circulation within a week after mosquito inoculation and before parasite detection by microscopy (Boyd and Stratman-Thomas 1934; Boyd et al. 1936; McKenzie et al. 2007). This poses a significant challenge to *P. vivax* elimination strategies, as infected people may be infectious before parasites are detectable by microscopy (see also below). On ingestion in the mosquito midgut, *P. falciparum* mature gametocytes egress from their host cell, differentiate into male and female gametes triggered by a drop in temperature, increase in pH and xanthurenic acid concentration, and, subsequently, undergo fertilization to form a diploid zygote (Billker et al. 1998, 2000). The zygote develops into motile ookinetes, which penetrate the mosquito midgut and develop into oocysts. *P. falciparum* oocysts mature over a period of 11–16 days (Meis et al. 1992) before releasing infectious sporozoites that migrate to the salivary glands for onward transmission. The likelihood of a mosquito acquiring an infection during a blood meal depends on a wide array of human, parasite, and mosquito factors. The development of gametocytes in humans is vital to the maintenance of malaria transmission and represents a potential bottleneck in the parasite's life cycle. Understanding the biology of gametocyte development and the human infectious reservoir at both the individual and population level is therefore crucial to ablate disease transmission.

**MECHANISMS OF SEXUAL COMMITMENT AND GAMETOCYTE SEQUESTRATION**

Factors stimulating gametocytogenesis have been debated over the past decades. Early observational studies of infected individuals suggested that gametocyte production may be associated with clinical symptoms (Miller 1958), but the molecular mechanisms underlying this phenomenon remained unknown. The initiation of gametocytogenesis and modulation of gametocyte production in a natural infection is influenced by host environmental factors, including stress induced by host immunity (Bousema et al. 2006), antimalarial drug treatment (Dunyo et al. 2006), or anemia (Nacher et al. 2002), as well as host genetic factors such as human hemoglobin variants (Fig. 1, see inset, top) (Trager and Gill 1992; Gouagna et al. 2010). Similarly, under in vitro conditions, increased gametocyte production was seen at higher parasite densities (Bruce et al. 1990), in the presence of parasite-conditioned medium (Williams 1999; Dyer and Day 2003), and, on addition of human serum (Smalley and Brown 1981), erythroid progenitor cells (Peatey et al. 2013) or antimalarial drugs (Buckling et al. 1999). Total parasite density in an individual can influence gametocytogenesis as a relatively higher concentration of gametocytes was observed in individuals with low-density infections when compared with those with high-density infections (Drakeley et al. 2006). Evidence from clinical observations during human or experimental infections suggests an increased gametocyte production following drug treatment (Buckling et al. 1997; Price et al. 1999; Bousema et al. 2003; Sowunmi et al. 2011), indicating that inefficient treatment and/or parasite recrudescence are associated with higher gametocyte numbers (Price et al. 1999; Barnes et al. 2008). These studies suggest that selection of drug-resistant parasite clones may be associated with increased chances of transmission;
however, this hypothesis has yet to be systematically tested given the complex relationship between drug resistance and malaria transmission, which involves factors such as multiplicity of resistant clones, transmission intensity, and the genetic nature of resistance traits (Talisuna et al. 2003). Several other conditions have been associated with increased gametocyte production, including the time during transmission season (Ouedraogo et al. 2008), response to mosquito probing or bites from uninfected mosquitoes (Paul et al. 2004), and presence of vector-borne factors in the blood (Fischer et al. 2000).

Host Factors Associated with Gametocytogenesis

Naturally acquired immunity during a malaria infection limits the asexual parasite density, thereby affecting gametocyte production from the asexual precursors. However, there is also evidence for a direct influence of Plasmodium-induced host-immune response on gametocytogenesis. Increased gametocyte production in P. falciparum cultures was observed on addition of lymphocytes and sera from malaria-infected Gambian children (Smalley and Brown 1981) and after addition of anti-P. falciparum antibodies produced by hybridoma cell lines (Ono et al. 1986). Data from epidemiological studies suggest a role for anemia in triggering gametocytogenesis. A high proportion of gametocyte carriers were observed among anemic individuals in studies from Thailand and The Gambia (Price et al. 1999; von Seidlin et al. 2001; Nacher et al. 2002; Stepniewska et al. 2008), but the association may be a result of a longer duration of infection resulting in late gamocyte development in these individuals. More convincing data are from in vitro studies in which P. falciparum gametocytogenesis is promoted in the presence of young RBCs or reticulocytes (Trager et al. 1999; Trager 2005). On erythropoietin (EPO) treatment, which induces reticulocytosis, a marked increase in Plasmodium chabaudi (Gautret et al. 1996b) and P. berghei (Mons 1986) gametocyte production was observed in vivo. However, it is not clear which signal(s) associated with reticulocytosis stimulates gametocytogenesis.

Molecular Mechanism of Sexual Conversion

The rate of gametocyte production has historically been thought to be linked to the parasite’s response to hostile growth conditions. Recent studies have started to unravel the molecular and cellular basis for the sexual developmental switch. Evidence from P. falciparum in vitro cultures indicates that sexual differentiation can be induced by depletion of nutrients in the parasite environment (culture media) (Williams 1999; Dyer and Day 2003). More recently, two studies have shown that extracellular vesicles (EVs) secreted by P. falciparum infected RBCs into the environment (or culture media) act as intercellular communicators to induce gametocytogenesis (Mantel et al. 2013; Regev-Rudzki et al. 2013). Purified EVs from the conditioned media of in vitro P. falciparum cultures can be internalized by infected RBCs and stimulate sexual stage development in a dose-dependent manner (Mantel et al. 2013). In addition, Regev-Rudzki et al. (2013) showed that drug treatment induces the release of EVs that can transfer nucleic acids to neighboring parasites promoting sexual conversion in the recipient cells as well as conferring drug resistance. The specific components of EVs that induce gametocytogenesis and the subsequent downstream mechanism in the sexual conversion pathway remain to be elucidated, which may open up new targets to ablate gametocyte development.

The genetic factors underlying sexual differentiation in Plasmodium parasites have remained elusive until recently. Early studies suggested that merozoites released from a schizont commit to either the asexual or sexual pathway (Inselburg 1983; Bruce et al. 1990) and that sexually committed parasites form exclusively male or female gametocytes (Silvestrini et al. 2000). These studies suggested a defined gene expression pathway responsible for commitment in malaria parasites. More recent work revealed that sexual commitment is regulated by a highly conserved apicomplexan-specific transcription factor, ApiAP2-G, both in P. falciparum (Kaf-
sack et al. 2014) and in *P. berghei* (Sinha et al. 2014). In *P. berghei*, the disruption of another transcription factor from the AP2 family, AP2G2, appears to inhibit male gametocyte development and may, therefore, be involved in maintenance of gametocyte sex ratio (Sinha et al. 2014). *P. falciparum* ApiAP2-G was found to be epigenetically regulated by at least two proteins, histone deacetylase 2 (PfHda2) and heterochromatin protein 1 (PfHP1), which causes repression of gametocytogenesis under nonpermissive conditions (Brancucci et al. 2014; Coleman et al. 2014). Conditional knockdown of PfHda1 or PfHP1 in asexual stage parasites in vitro leads to a cascade of gene activation, including AP2-G, and induction of gametocyte production (and decreased asexual replication) (Brancucci et al. 2014; Coleman et al. 2014). Together, these findings show epigenetic control of stage conversion; however, the upstream factors regulating these epigenetic control mechanisms remain unknown. In addition to ApiAP2-G-mediated epigenetic regulation, it is likely that additional factors are involved in the onset of gametocytogenesis. Moving forward, it will be important to understand how external stress factors are linked to the molecular mechanism(s) of sexual commitment and gametocyte development.

**Sequestration of Transmission Stages**

Tissue-specific sequestration of asexual parasite stages is associated with severe malaria pathology such as cerebral malaria and pregnancy-associated malaria (Miller et al. 2002). RBCs infected with the asexual stages of *P. falciparum* (mature trophozoites and schizonts) are sequestered away from peripheral circulation by adhering to endothelial receptors, such as CD36, ICAM-1, and CSA in the microvasculature (for reviews, see Miller et al. 2002; Sherman et al. 2003). Adherence to host receptors is mediated by expression of parasite-derived *P. falciparum* erythrocyte membrane protein-1 (PfEMP-1) on knob-like structures at the surface of infected RBCs (Kilejian 1979; Baruch et al. 1995). Although the transmission stages do not generally contribute to disease pathology, immature stages of *P. falciparum* gametocytes sequester in tissues presumably to avoid clearance by the spleen. In contrast, all developmental stages of *P. vivax* gametocytes can be seen in the blood and no sequestration of *P. vivax* transmission stages has been reported so far. Because *P. vivax* develops exclusively in reticulocytes, RBCs infected with *P. vivax* have an increased surface area and are highly flexible (Suwanarusk et al. 2004; Handayani et al. 2009), which presumably helps them to pass through small capillaries or sinusoidal vessels and avoid clearance by the spleen.

Postmortem case studies from the early 1900s (Marchiafava and Bignami 1894; Thomson and Robertson 1935) and more recent field and clinical reports in the last two decades (Smalley et al. 1981; Farfour et al. 2012; Aguilar et al. 2014) have confirmed the presence of *P. falciparum* immature gametocytes in the spleen and bone marrow of malaria infected patients. Notably, a recent systematic histological and transcriptional analysis of autopsy tissues from children who died from cerebral malaria revealed gametocyte enrichment in the bone marrow parenchyma in which they are predominantly localized to the erythroblastoid islands (Joice et al. 2014), suggesting that sexual stage development can occur in erythroblastoid progenitor cells during infection. The underlying mechanism of gametocyte sequestration, including the parasite stages that home to bone marrow, is not yet well defined. However, the prevailing model suggests that sexually committed parasites or immature gametocytes traverse the endothelial barrier and home to the bone marrow parenchyma, in which they undergo development to produce mature gametocytes that eventually intravasate into the peripheral circulation (Fig. 1C) (Nilsson et al. 2015; Pelle et al. 2015). In contrast to asexual stages, early gametocytes do not significantly modify the RBC, as minimal levels of PfEMP-1 are expressed on the infected RBC surface and no significant knob structures were observed (Silvestrini et al. 2012; Tiburcio et al. 2013). This difference in surface protein expression is consistent with in vitro studies in which immature gametocytes showed significantly less, if any, binding to purified host ligands (CD36,
ICAM-1) (Day et al. 1998) and to bone marrow endothelium or other endothelial cell lines (Silvestrini et al. 2012). Altogether, these data suggest that gametocyte sequestration is PfEMP-1 independent, but may involve other exported parasite molecule(s) required for bone marrow homing and association with erythroblast islands in parenchyma. Based on Plasmodium-specific serum responses from malaria patients, the surface antigens of gametocytes seem to be distinct from those on the surface of asexual infected RBCs (Saeed et al. 2008), indicating that host–parasite interactions in tissues are likely gametocyte-specific. Products of multigene families involved in host cell modifications, such as STEVOR and RIFIN, are expressed during gametocyte development (McRobert et al. 2004; Petter et al. 2008), but their functional role in gametocytogenesis or cytoadherence has not been shown. Nevertheless, a switch in deformability of mature gametocytes (Aingaran et al. 2012; Dearnley et al. 2012; Tiburcio et al. 2012) is accompanied by reorganization of STEVOR in the RBC membrane of mature gametocytes (Tiburcio et al. 2012). Taken together, the sequestration and subsequent development of immature gametocytes in the bone marrow and spleen is likely to be maintained through mechanical retention and as-yet-uncharacterized binding properties, whereas the switch in deformability at the mature stage V gametocyte stage may facilitate their release from sequestration sites into the periphery.

Extravascular sequestration in the bone marrow may not only help young gametocytes to evade host immune responses and/or undergo development, but also provide a nutrient-rich and aerobic environment with abundant young RBCs for ideal gametocyte development. In agreement with this hypothesis, in vitro data indicates an enhanced invasion of erythroid progenitor cells with a concomitant increase in gametocyte formation within young RBCs (Tamez et al. 2009; Peatey et al. 2013). More focused studies are required to decipher the mechanism of gametocyte sequestration, including identification of parasite stages that home to the bone marrow, the receptor–ligand interactions involved, if any, and importantly, whether sexual commitment occurs in the periphery or in the bone marrow microenvironment. Answers to these outstanding questions on gametocyte sequestration are crucial to understand transmission dynamics and to design novel transmission intervention strategies.

DETERMINANTS OF INFECTIOUSNESS

In the human host, a small subset of total parasite population differentiates into mature gametocytes, some of which may be ingested by a mosquito during a blood meal. Subsequent fertilization of gametes and development into sporozoites within the mosquito makes it infectious to another human. The human infectious reservoir is defined as the proportion of a population capable of successfully infecting mosquitoes (Drakeley et al. 2000). Gametocyte carriage and successful transmission from human to mosquito is influenced by several factors including age (Ouedraogo et al. 2010), gametocyte density (Robert et al. 2000; Schneider et al. 2007; Ouedraogo et al. 2009), gametocyte sex ratio (Robert et al. 1996b; Mitri et al. 2009), antimalarial drug treatment (Buckling et al. 1999; Robert et al. 2000; Sowunmi et al. 2004), and host immunity (Fig. 1, see inset, top) (Saeed et al. 2008; Sutherland 2009). Although mature gametocyte presence in the blood has long been thought to be crucial for an efficient transmission, these stages were rarely detected by microscopy (Dowling and Shute 1966; Bejon et al. 2006) and, therefore, it was previously assumed that only a small proportion of malaria-infected individuals carried gametocytes. With the use of sensitive molecular assays, it is now clear that gametocytes are present in most malaria infections and at highly variable densities (Schneider et al. 2007; Shekalaghe et al. 2007) that can successfully infect mosquitoes (Schneider et al. 2007; Bousema et al. 2012; Churcher et al. 2013). Given the highly variable and nonlinear relationship between gametocyte density and mosquito infection rate (Bousema et al. 2012; Churcher et al. 2013), quantifying the human component of the infectious reservoir is a challenging task. Mosquito-feeding assays have been routinely
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used to quantify the infectiousness of an individual and to evaluate the effects of transmission-blocking vaccines or gametocytocidal drugs. In direct skin-feeding assays, *Anopheles* mosquitoes are allowed to take a blood meal by direct contact with the skin of an individual recapitulating a natural infection (Bousema et al. 2012). In membrane-feeding assays, there are two types: (1) Direct membrane-feeding assay (DMFA) involves feeding uninfected *Anopheles* mosquitoes with a blood sample drawn from a naturally infected individual through an artificial membrane (parafilm) in the presence of autologous sera, and (2) standard membrane feeding assay (SMFA) involves feeding mosquitoes with in vitro cultured gametocytes mixed with RBCs and human serum through the membrane in a device (Bousema et al. 2012). Mosquito infectivity is measured in these assays by quantifying the number of oocysts present in the mosquito midgut (either as mean oocyst density or oocyst prevalence across mosquitoes) following a maturation period of 7 to 8 days. Although direct skin feeding assays may yield a more accurate estimate of human transmission potential, membrane-feeding assays are appropriate to compare infectiousness between individuals and to assess transmission-reducing interventions (Bousema et al. 2012; Miura et al. 2013). The SMFA, compared with DMFA, can be performed under standardized laboratory conditions and is considered the gold standard for measuring transmission-reducing interventions (Bousema et al. 2012; Miura et al. 2013). The SMFA, compared with DMFA, can be performed under standardized laboratory conditions and is considered the gold standard for measuring transmission-reducing interventions (Bousema et al. 2012; Miura et al. 2013). The SMFA, compared with DMFA, can be performed under standardized laboratory conditions and is considered the gold standard for measuring transmission-reducing interventions (Bousema et al. 2012; Miura et al. 2013).

**Relationship between Gametocyte Density and Infectiousness to Mosquitoes**

Human infectiousness is linked to asexual parasite density and gametocyte density in the blood. Although there is a positive correlation between gametocyte density and mosquito infection rate (Schneider et al. 2007; Ouédraogo et al. 2009), the association is highly variable and complex at low gametocyte densities (van der Kolk et al. 2005). High gametocyte densities do not necessarily result in mosquito infection (Graves et al. 1988; Gamage-Mendis et al. 1991; Schneider et al. 2007), whereas individuals with low densities that carry no observable gametocytes have been found to be infectious (Jeffery and Eyles 1955; Muirhead-Thomson 1998; Coleman et al. 2004; Schneider et al. 2007). This heterogeneity in mosquito infection may result in part from sampling bias: Samples are generally collected via venipuncture but there may be a specified localization or clustering of gametocytes in the human vasculature during a blood meal (Pichon et al. 2000). Similarly, in *P. vivax* infections, the relationship between gametocyte density and mosquito infection is poorly defined which is attributed to limited sensitivity of microscopy to detect and differentiate gametocyte stages (Gamage-Mendis et al. 1991; Bharti et al. 2006). In one study, *P. vivax* infected patients were infectious to mosquitoes immediately after the appearance of asexual parasites detected by microscopy, but significantly before the emergence of gametocytes (Jeffery 1952). Similarly, several reports of infectivity at undetectable *P. vivax* gametocytemia have been published (Gamage-Mendis et al. 1991; Sattabongkot et al. 1991; McKenzie et al. 2002; Coleman et al. 2004; Pethleart et al. 2004; Bharti et al. 2006). Comparative studies of *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* indicate that malaria transmission by *P. vivax* parasites is likely to be highly efficient, with lower gametocyte densities typically resulting in mosquito infection (Pukrittayakamee et al. 2008). The use of more sensitive tools, such as QT-NASBA to detect late gametocyte-specific mRNA markers, will help to evaluate the association between *P. vivax* gametocyte density and mosquito infection (Beurskens et al. 2009). Moreover, *P. vivax* transmission is much faster and more persistent than *P. falciparum* because of their ability to form gametocytes early and the relapse of blood stage infections caused by reactivation of hypnozoites (Galinski et al. 2013).

**Dynamics of Parasite Genotypes (Complexity of Infection)**

The evolutionary success of malaria transmission is attributed to the genetic complexity of
P. falciparum gametocytes in a natural infection and their infectivity to mosquitoes. A high degree of clonal multiplicity persists in malaria-endemic regions that varies with transmission intensity (Robert et al. 1996a), whereas cross-mating in mosquitoes produces new strains in the next generation. Multiple parasite clones in an individual were found to be equally transmissible to mosquitoes with a strong correlation between multiplicity of infection and frequency of cross-mating (Hill and Babiker 1995). However, in some cases, not all clones from the same infection were infectious resulting in less variable genotypes in the infected individual (Paul et al. 1995). In natural infections in The Gambia at the end of the dry season, a higher transmissibility of multiple clones was notably observed even if they existed as a minority parasite population in asymptomatic individuals (Nwakanma et al. 2008). Existing in vitro and population studies suggest that dynamics and degree of P. falciparum gametocyte production is associated with the parasite’s genetic background (Graves et al. 1984; Teklehaimanot et al. 1987; Abdel-Wahab et al. 2002); however, factors favoring the production of different gametocyte clones within a mixed population are unknown.

Gametocyte Sex Ratio

Gametocyte sex ratio is one of the critical determinants of malaria transmission. Because one male gametocyte produces eight microgametes during final maturation, whereas the female gametocyte develops into one macrogamete, malaria parasites generally produce more female gametocytes than male, and they can also modulate the sex ratio during an infection. An optimal ratio of three or four females to one male gametocyte is commonly observed in P. falciparum infections (Robert et al. 1996b, 2003; Kar et al. 2009), but this varies considerably over the course of an infection and between malaria-endemic regions (Paul et al. 2002; Talman et al. 2004; Sowunmi et al. 2008). A higher production of male gametocytes relative to female gametocytes maximizes the success of transmission and this is especially important at lower gametocyte densities (Reece et al. 2008) where there is a need for male bias. The sex ratio is also influenced by stimulation of erythropoiesis (anemic state) and presence of competing parasite strains, which tends to favor a male-biased sex ratio in the infection (Paul et al. 2002; Reece et al. 2008). Differences in gametocyte sex ratio may be associated with the parasite’s general response to host immunity during an infection (Paul et al. 2000) and/or stress factors affecting gametocytogenesis including parasite density (Reece et al. 2008).

Gametocyte Localization to the Skin Compartment

The availability of transmission stages ready to be picked up in a mosquito’s blood meal is an essential component of human infectiousness and for efficient transmission, as mature gametocytes in circulation must traverse the microvasculature to reach the dermis. There is evidence for preferential parasite localization in the skin compartment. In a diagnostic study from the early 1950s conducted in the endemic regions of the Belgian Congo, skin scarification smears from acute and chronic malaria patients (children and adults) had a higher frequency of mature schizonts and gametocytes compared with thick peripheral blood films (van den and Chardome 1951; Chardome and Janssen 1952). Similarly, in the rodent P. chabaudi model, gametocyte numbers were higher in the mosquito’s blood meal immediately after engorgement than in the venous tail blood (Gautret et al. 1996b), suggesting an enrichment of parasites in the skin microvasculature. A recent autopsy study of cerebral malaria patients from Malawi showed significant parasite sequestration in the skin microvasculature in a subset of patients by histological analysis (Milner et al. 2015); however, the stage composition of these parasites has not been determined. In another study, infection rates of mosquitoes that were fed directly on the skin were 2.4-fold higher than those observed after feeding on venous blood samples through an artificial membrane (Bousema et al. 2012). These studies suggest that infectious P. falciparum mature gametocytes may preferentially localize to subdermal
capillaries beneath the skin (Fig. 1D). In addition, there is a distinct possibility that the parasite modulates gametocyte densities or sequestration according to the peak hours when mosquitoes take a blood meal (Hawking et al. 1971; Garnham 1974). Although conclusive evidence is still lacking, this scenario would likely enhance transmission success and maintain genetic diversity in the population by increasing the possibility of cotransmission of male and female gametocytes and of multiple genotypes in an infection. The increased deformability and subsequent interaction with specific receptors may aid in the localization of mature gametocytes to subdermal capillaries. This hypothesis needs to be rigorously tested using mosquito feeding assays and in vivo models.

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Understanding the biology of transmission stages in the human host leading to mosquito infectivity will be essential to successfully overcome current challenges in malaria elimination efforts. Despite their crucial role in transmission, many fundamental questions of gametocyte biology and development remain to be answered. In this review, we have discussed the sexual biology of malaria parasites and determinants of infectiousness emphasizing key factors influencing transmission in a human host. Transmission-blocking intervention strategies targeting sexual stages should take into account molecular targets including the genetic pathways of sexual conversion, sequestration mechanisms, intercellular parasite communication mechanisms, and gametocyte deformability. Increasing evidence in recent years point to the existence of a niche for gametocyte development in the extravascular environment of bone marrow (and presumably in the spleen) (Farfour et al. 2012; Aguilar et al. 2014; Joice et al. 2014) acting as the parasite’s hideout. Identification of stage-specific markers and development of novel tools to study sequestration of immature gametocytes are warranted. Another important open question is how the developmental decision between asexual and sexual commitment is induced. The environmental cues influencing this decision are likely connected to precise signaling pathways leading to changes in gene expression. With the identification of genetic master regulators of sexual commitment (AP-2 and DOZI) (Mair et al. 2006; Kafsack et al. 2014; Sinha et al. 2014), the transcriptional and translational mechanisms regulating induction and maturation of gametocytes are becoming clearer. It remains to be answered if this regulation is conserved across Plasmodium species and whether a specific environment in the vertebrate host favors sexual differentiation. Finally, a major challenge for future research is to understand and define the infectious potential of malaria-infected individuals. Although highly sensitive molecular detection tools such as quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR), RT-loop-mediated isothermal amplification (RT-LAMP), and quantitative nucleic acid-sequence-based amplification (QT-NASBA) indicate that a high proportion of asymptomatic individuals carry submicroscopic infections (Schneider et al. 2007; Shekalahge et al. 2007; Bousema and Drakeley 2011), elimination of lingering parasite forms in these individuals is important. In conclusion, combined human, parasite, mosquito, and environmental factors play a key role in influencing transmission and the human infectious reservoir overall. To better understand the infectious reservoir in an individual and to develop transmission-blocking drugs and vaccines, further research on the basic biology of gametocytes and their dynamics within the host is essential. Building on exciting advances in the field discussed here, studies addressing the remaining knowledge gaps in transmission biology will open up new avenues for feasible targets to interrupt malaria transmission.
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*Plasmodium vivax* is the second most prevalent cause of malaria worldwide and the leading cause of malaria outside of Africa. Although infections are seldom fatal, clinical disease can be debilitating and imposes significant health and economic impacts on affected populations. Estimates of transmission and prevalence intensity can be problematic because many episodes of vivax originate from hypnozoite stages in the liver that have remained dormant from previous infections by an unknown mechanism. Lack of treatment options to clear hypnozoites and the ability to infect mosquitoes before disease symptoms present represent major challenges for control and eradication of vivax malaria. Compounding these challenges is the unique biology of *P. vivax* and limited progress in development of experimental research tools, thereby hindering development of new drugs and vaccines. Renewed emphasis on vivax malaria research is beginning to make progress in overcoming some of these challenges.

Vivax malaria accounts for 14–80 million cases of clinical malaria each year with more than 70% of infections in Asia and the Americas (Mendis et al. 2001a; Price et al. 2007; WHO 2015). The last 10 years have seen a dramatic reduction in the burden of malaria, with many countries in the Asia-Pacific and the Americas with reductions of >90% in the number of clinical cases (WHO 2015). As a consequence, 34 countries are actively attempting to eliminate malaria and the leaders of Central American and East Asian countries have declared their intention to eliminate malaria from their regions by 2025 and 2030, respectively (PAHO 2013; APLMA 2014). In parallel to this reduction in overall incidence, a pronounced shift in species composition has been observed with *Plasmodium vivax*, now the predominant *Plasmodium* spp. in the vast majority of countries outside Africa, and is generally seen as the major obstacle to achieving malaria elimination in Asia and the Americas. The challenges in controlling and eliminating vivax malaria are likely to be related to the following aspects of *P. vivax* biology: (1) its ability to relapse from long-lasting, dormant liver stages (the hypnozoites) (White and Imwong 2012), and (2) its high transmission potential caused by early and continuous production of gametocytes, high infectivity to mosquitoes, and shorter development cycle in the vector host compared to other *Plasmodium* spp. (Mueller et al. 2009). These characteristics facilitate *P. vivax* transmission by seasonal mosquito vectors and at lower am-
bient environmental temperatures, thus extending the global distribution of *P. vivax* into temperate regions (Fig. 1) (Gething et al. 2011, 2012).

Although it has often been regarded as causing a benign self-limiting infection, there is increasing evidence that the overall burden, economic impact, and severity of disease from *P. vivax* have been underestimated (Price et al. 2007). Vivax malaria is known to incapacitate individuals of all ages resulting in repeated febrile episodes, severe anemia, respiratory distress, and, sometimes, poor outcomes in pregnancy. Increasing reports of clinical severity with complicated and lethal cases of vivax malaria, thus, challenge the perception of vivax malaria as benign (Anstey et al. 2012; Baird 2013). The increasingly widespread resistance to chloroquine, the primary frontline drug to treat vivax malaria (Baird et al. 1991; Ruebush et al. 2003; Teka et al. 2008; Ketema et al. 2009; Mohan and Maithani 2010; Rijken et al. 2011) represents an additional challenge to existing control and prevention programs for vivax malaria and highlights the critical need for more efforts toward development of new tools specifically targeting *P. vivax*.

Besides additional drugs targeting the dormant liver stages, the development of a vaccine against vivax malaria is especially important (Mueller et al. 2015). The rapid natural acquisition of immunity to *P. vivax* (Mueller et al. 2013) indicates that that the development of *P. vivax* vaccines should be feasible. Nevertheless, understanding correlates of protective immunity is as challenging for vivax malaria as it is for *Plasmodium falciparum*, because in most endemic areas, transmission is intermittent, with lack of sustained acquired immunity. Immune responses to the *P. vivax* vaccine candidate antigen, Duffy-binding protein (DBP), are often weak, short-lived, and biased toward strain-specific alleles (VanBuskirk et al. 2004; Ceravolo et al. 2009; Cole-Tobian et al. 2009; Chootong et al. 2010), which may be due, in part, to a high level degree of allelic diversity. Therefore, an effective vaccine against vivax malaria may face a greater challenge to have a substantial level of immunity against diverse strains.

In this article, we review the unique challenges associated with *P. vivax* experimental research, recent advances overcoming these obstacles, and breakthroughs needed to effectively deal with vivax malaria.

**THE UNIQUE BIOLOGY OF *P. vivax***

Significant differences in the biology of *P. vivax* compared to *P. falciparum* are obvious by simple examination of a Giemsa-stained blood smear (Fig. 2) (Coatney et al. 1971). A typical parasitemia in vivax malaria is relatively low, which is attributed to restricted infection of only reticulocytes, often necessitating the use of thick smears to concentrate the blood for reliable diagnosis (Galinski and Barnwell 2008). Although not fixing the blood significantly increases sensitivity, it comes at the cost of retaining good morphology, which can present a challenge for parasite identification for nonexpert microscopists. Important for distinguishing different *Plasmodium* species is the observation of unique morphological features, such as the small dark granules in the reticulocyte cytoplasm, known as Schüffner’s dots, representing caveola–vesicle complexes of parasite origin exported into the cytoplasm of the infected reticulocyte (Aikawa et al. 1975; Udagama et al. 1988), which increase in abundance as *P. vivax* develops. A second distinctive morphological feature for *P. vivax* is sexual-stage parasites have a round shape similar to the asexual stages. Finally, all *P. vivax* stages of blood-stage development can be observed in the peripheral blood.

The ability of *P. vivax* to remain in circulation is thought to be possible because of the increased plasticity of infected reticulocytes that is observed as the parasites develop, which is in contrast to the increased rigidity that occurs for *P. falciparum*—infected erythrocytes (Suwanarusk et al. 2004). Increased deformability is needed to periodically squeeze through the splenic chords and thereby evade removal from circulation (Handayani et al. 2009). Infected red blood cell (RBC) rigidity for *P. falciparum* is linked to cytoadherence and sequestration, but PfEMP1 knob proteins that are the key mediator of antigenic variant are absent in *P. vivax*.
Figure 1. The spatial global distribution of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria endemicity in 2010. Mean point estimates of the age-standardized annual mean *P. vivax* parasite prevalence in 2- to 10-year-olds within the spatial limits of stable transmission. Areas of no risk and unstable risk are also shown. Areas where Duffy negative prevalence was estimated as greater than or equal to 90% are hatched to provide additional context for the impact of *P. vivax* on the local population within these areas. Areas in light gray: *P. vivax* free; dark gray: unstable transmission; hatched marks: unstable transmission and high Duffy negative. Areas from red to light blue indicate *PvPR*$_{1.99}$ > 7% to 0%, respectively. (From Gething et al. 2010; reprinted under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License.)
Antigenic variation originally described in the closely related vivax-like primate malaria *Plasmodium knowlesi* (Brown and Brown 1965) and is likely common to most, if not all, malaria parasites that are mediated by a large family of small surface variant antigens (Jemmely et al. 2010). In *P. vivax*, the VIR protein products of the vir multigene family are proposed to be the key molecule for antigenic variation in *P. vivax* (del Portillo et al. 2001). More recently, VIR proteins expressed on the reticulocyte surface are suggested to have a role mediating cytoadherence to endothelial cells and placenta, albeit much weaker than for *P. falciparum* (del Portillo et al. 2001; Fernandez-Becerra et al. 2005). Therefore, the lack of knobs and a strong sequestration mechanism does not mean that there is a lack of antigenic variation and sequestration, although cytoadherence to endothelium is less prevalent and possibly occurring only in more virulent infections (del Portillo et al. 2001; Fernandez-Becerra et al. 2005; Carvalho et al. 2010; Chotivanich et al. 2012). The lack of robust continuous culture methods have greatly hampered laboratory-based experimental studies of this and other unique biological properties of *P. vivax*.

In addition to their round morphology, *P. vivax* gametocytes are noteworthy for their very early appearance during the course of infection. In part, this may be caused by a rapid development time with gametocytes often detected within 3 days after the first asexual parasites are observed (Bousema and Drakeley 2011). In controlled experimental infections gametocytes appeared in the peripheral blood within 7 days of direct *P. vivax* sporozoite infection (Vallejo et al. 2016), indicating that in most natural infections mosquito transmission can occur before onset of clinical symptoms. Although early transmissibility can lead to rapid transmission with outbreaks spreading quickly, a side benefit attributed to this phenomenon is limiting the rapid spread of drug resistance for *P. vivax*. It is important to note that because transmission usually occurs before infections are treated, an effective transmission-blocking vaccine would help contain relapse infections that result from radical cure treatment failures (Douglas et al. 2013).

The key distinguishing feature of *P. vivax* biology is, however, its ability to form long-lasting liver stages, the hypnozoites (Krotoski et al. 1982). On entry into the liver, *P. vivax* sporozoites can take two distinct pathways. Some develop directly into liver-schizonts, which after 8 days, release merozoites to initiate the asexual cycle in the blood, although others, the hypnozoites, “arrest” their development beginning about the third day after infection (Coatney et al. 1971; Mikolajczak et al. 2015). Although hypnozoites are probably metabolically active, they do not divide and remain dormant for weeks if not months before reactivating. The frequency and timing of these relapses varies globally (White 2011), with the longest relapse periods present in temperate strains (Battle et al. 2014), indicating that relapse periodicity is under natural selection (White et al. 2016). The mechanisms underlying both commitment to dormancy and reactivation are poorly understood. However, it is increasingly clear that relapses from emerging such hypnozoites may contribute up to 80% of all *P. vivax* blood-stage infections (Betuela et al. 2012; Robinson et al. 2015). As there are no diagnostic tests that can diagnose dormant liver-stage infections, undetectable hypnozoite carriers are an important
potential source of reintroduction of *P. vivax* and, if not treated appropriately, can cause new blood-stage infections months after the primary infection. Unfortunately, the only currently available drug to clear hypnozoites is primaquine, which can cause severe hemolysis in people with glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency (Baird et al. 2012). Given the lack of a cheap, reliable point-of-care G6PD test, many *P. vivax* patients do not currently receive adequate antihypnozoite treatment (Baird 2015).

**GENETIC DIVERSITY/POPULATION OF *P. vivax***

Although its closest non-ape *Plasmodium* spp. are parasites of Southeast Asia monkeys, *P. vivax* is now thought to have originated in Africa with it closest relatives still circulating in African chimpanzee and gorilla populations (Liu et al. 2014). *P. vivax* shows a higher degree of genetic diversity and more global structure than *P. falciparum*. Comparative analyses revealed that *P. vivax* genomes show twice as much single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) diversity as *P. falciparum* and also have high microsatellite and gene family variability (Neafsey et al. 2012). Although *P. falciparum* microsatellite diversity is closely associated with regional levels of endemicity, *P. vivax* shows a high genetic diversity across all endemcities (Barry et al. 2015).

The global *P. vivax* population is nevertheless more strongly structured than that of *P. falciparum*. A comparative analyses of microsatellite diversity in a global panel of 841 *P. vivax* isolates showed very strong geographical structure with clearly distinct populations in South America, Africa and India, Southeast Asia, and the Southwest Pacific (Koepfli et al. 2015b). Similar global structure is also evident in sequences encoding for vaccine candidate antigens (Arnott et al. 2013) and has recently been confirmed using genome-wide SNPs (Hupalo et al. 2016; Pearson et al. 2016).

Contrary to the pronounced global structure, most studies found limited or no population structure at local or regional scale (Imwong et al. 2007; Getachew et al. 2015), even in areas where *P. falciparum* did show substantial population structure (Jennison et al. 2015; Noviyanti et al. 2015). This is likely to be caused by the generally higher genetic complexity of *P. vivax* infections (Barry et al. 2015), which increase the likelihood of genetic recombination and result in large effective population sizes. The exception to this pattern is found in the Americas, where pronounced local population structure is observed (Ferreira et al. 2007; Van den Eede et al. 2010; Delgado-Ratto et al. 2016), despite high continent-wide genetic diversity. This pattern is thought to be a reflection of both multiple introduction and more recent bottlenecks caused by intensified control.

Together, these patterns suggest a capacity for greater functional variation, a more distinct history of global colonization and a higher ability to maintain genetic diversity in the face of intensifying control efforts. More detailed studies of global and local *P. vivax* diversity are required to develop tools that will allow examination of the impact of control and elimination programs on the parasite gene pool. Of particular importance for local elimination would be to develop tools that allow for differentiation of locally acquired infections from imported *P. vivax* infections.

**THE ROLE OF ASYMPTOMATIC INFECTIONS***

Although *P. vivax* has a lower pyrogenic threshold than that of *P. falciparum* (Hemmer et al. 2006), asymptomatic *P. vivax* infections are very common at all levels of endemicity and often account for 90%–100% of all infections detected in cross-sectional surveys (Anstey et al. 2012). The vast majority of these *P. vivax* infections are of very low density, with submicroscopic infections accounting for 67% of all infections (Cheng et al. 2015). This is significantly higher than for *P. falciparum* in the same studies.

Several factors are thought to contribute to this very high rate of asymptomatic infections. Most importantly, clinical immunity to *P. vivax* infections is acquired rapidly. When malaria therapy patients were experimentally infected with *P. vivax*, effective clinical immunity, even
against heterologous challenge, was often attained after as few as one to five \textit{P. vivax} infections (Mueller et al. 2013; Snounou and Perrignon 2013). Under natural exposure, clinical immunity to \textit{P. vivax} is also acquired significantly more rapidly than to \textit{P. falciparum}, both in high transmission settings such as Papua New Guinea, where the incidence of \textit{P. vivax} malaria starts declining in the second year of life (Lin et al. 2010) and clinical disease is virtually absent in children >5 years, although \textit{P. falciparum} episodes are common in primary school children (Michon et al. 2007), as well as in lower transmission settings such as Thailand (Phimpraphi et al. 2008), Sri Lanka (Mendis et al. 2001b), and Vanuatu (Maitland et al. 1996). With little evidence for naturally acquired immunity against (preerythrocytic) infections (Mueller et al. 2013), these patterns are indicative that, even in low transmission settings, semi-immune individuals develop effective blood-stage immunity characterized by a very good control of blood-stage parasitemia, which results in significant numbers of submicroscopic infections. The main driver for this rapid acquisition of immunity is the higher force of infections (Koepfli et al. 2013), caused largely by genetically distinct but related relapses (Bright et al. 2014) that account for up to 80% of all \textit{P. vivax} blood-stage infections (Robinson et al. 2015).

The role of these asymptomatic infections in maintaining \textit{P. vivax} transmission is not yet well understood. Most, if not all, of these asymptomatic infections produce gametocytes (Wampfler et al. 2013; Barbosa et al. 2014) with gametocyte densities closely correlated with overall parasitemia levels and thus at low levels (Koepfli et al. 2015a). Nevertheless, the asymptomatic cases are infectious to anopheline vectors, albeit at a substantially lower level than clinical cases (Pethleart et al. 2004; Alves et al. 2005), and may contribute substantially to maintaining \textit{P. vivax} transmission given the high prevalence of the “silent” infections.

These asymptomatic infections may thus be a major impediment to the elimination of \textit{P. vivax} malaria as they may not be efficiently cleared unless specifically targeted with active case detection and/or mass drug administration. Such a strategy is logistically challenging and would again require treating large numbers of individuals with asymptomatic infections, even those without detected blood-stage infections, with primaquine to clear the hypnozoite reservoir.

**ADVANCES IN ENABLING TECHNOLOGIES TO SUPPORT \textit{P. vivax} RESEARCH**

The unique biology of \textit{P. vivax} has presented major challenges for applying modern approaches in fundamental and translational research. In particular, the blood-stage requirement to infect reticulocytes and the hypnozoite liver stage have been difficult obstacles to overcome for laboratory-based research studies, thereby limiting most research efforts to using \textit{P. vivax} from clinical isolates or nonhuman primate infections. In recent years, greater efforts from multiple laboratories have begun to provide new approaches to create more useful enabling technologies for research studies on the liver stages (Dembele et al. 2011; March et al. 2013; Mikolajczak et al. 2015). Unfortunately, similar success for developing a robust continuous culture system for blood-stage parasites has not been as successful with capabilities being limited primarily for short-term assays (Russell et al. 2011; Noulin et al. 2013; Roobsoong et al. 2015).

Because reticulocytes rapidly develop to mature erythrocytes at 37°C, a reticulocyte’s half-life is shorter than the blood-stage asexual developmental cycle time. Therefore, reticulocytes must be replenished as each new generation matures or there is nothing for the parasites to infect. An important strategy to overcome the reticulocyte problem was exemplified in the Golenda study (Golenda et al. 1997). This 19-year-old study from the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research remains the gold standard for sustained growth of in vitro blood-stage culture of \textit{P. vivax}. In the Golenda study, blood-stage parasites were grown for six to eight asexual cycles using an inventive, but complex labor-intensive culturing protocol not easily replicated. The success of the Golenda study was likely because...
of a combination of variables that included use of the primate-adapted Chesson strain, obtaining reticulocytes from hemochromatosis patients undergoing traditional bloodletting treatments, which induces a reticulocytemia, and differential centrifugation methods for reticulocyte enrichment. Other important handling features of the protocol included exposure of the static cultures to intermittent agitation along with periodic addition of fresh reticulocytes. Of these variables, many subsequent studies identified the critical limiting factor for \textit{P. vivax} blood-stage culture to be sustaining reticulocyte levels. Consequently, studies now include regular addition of relatively pure reticulocyte preparations so as to not dilute the culture with mature erythrocytes that cannot be invaded by \textit{P. vivax}.

Traditional sources of reticulocytes to support \textit{P. vivax} culture for most researchers have been placenta cord blood and adult peripheral blood, which are both readily available (Noulin et al. 2013). In both cases, reticulocytes constitute a subset of the total erythroid cell population and must be enriched (Udomsangpetch et al. 2007; Kumar et al. 2015). Over time, protocols have evolved to enable enrichment of nearly pure populations of reticulocytes from both of these traditional sources to overcome a historical limitation of attempts to establish \textit{P. vivax} blood-stage cultures. Parallel to improvements in traditional approaches for enriching reticulocytes were the improvements and lowered costs in the hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) ex vivo production methods for creating reticulocytes (Douay and Giarratana 2005; Giarratana et al. 2005). These HSC reticulocytes have provided a third source, which also revealed the capacity of \textit{P. vivax} to infected nucleated erythroid progenitor cells (Panichakul et al. 2007; Noulin et al. 2014). In addition, ex vivo reticulocytes produced from HSC can be experimentally manipulated to tease apart specific molecular interactions required for parasites infection (Crosnier et al. 2011; Egan et al. 2015).

Despite being able to sustain abundant reticulocytes in vitro, a concomitant success in maintaining continuous long-term \textit{P. vivax} culture has not been achieved yet, indicating factors beyond just reticulocytes are required to sustain parasites in vitro (Martin-Jaular et al. 2013; Roobsoong et al. 2015). Nonetheless, the value of studies reliant on traditional sources of \textit{P. vivax} have improved considerably for support of fundamental and translational research (Price et al. 2010; Rijken et al. 2011; Marfurt et al. 2012; Lee et al. 2014; Malleret et al. 2015; Cho et al. 2016).

The basic capability to study complete in vitro development of \textit{P. vivax}, \textit{P. falciparum}, and other malaria parasites with primary hepatocytes and hepatocytoma cells has been established for many years (Mazier et al. 1984; Sattabongkot et al. 2006). With the increased awareness of the force of infection in vivax malaria caused by relapse (Betuela et al. 2012; Robinson et al. 2015), along with emergence of chloroquine resistance in many endemic regions (Baird et al. 1991; Ruebush et al. 2003; Teka et al. 2008; Ketema et al. 2009; Mohan and Maithani 2010; Rijken et al. 2011), there has been a renewed effort to develop higher throughput, more efficient, and longer duration systems for liver-stage studies, especially of hypnozoites (Wells et al. 2010; Campo et al. 2015). These efforts to improve capabilities for laboratory-based studies of the liver stages are yielding exciting results. Multiple new experimental platforms have emerged permitting researchers access to this least studied phase of \textit{P. vivax} and human malaria parasites. Improvements in modified culture devices for in vitro enhancement of primary cell properties have progressed greatly to provide stability of viable primary human hepatocytes enhancing their potential use for malaria research (Dembele et al. 2011; March et al. 2013; Dembele et al. 2014; Maher et al. 2014; Ng et al. 2015). However, so far, the most significant innovations established are in vivo humanized mouse models (Shultz et al. 2007; Peltz 2013). These human liver-chimeric mice support complete liver-stage development, including persisting hypnozoites, of \textit{P. vivax} and \textit{Plasmodium ovale} (Mikolajczak et al. 2015; Soulard et al. 2015). These mouse models offer the potential to explore liver-stage biology and evaluate antimalarial candidates, in ways that were previously only possible.
through the use of primate models (Coatney et al. 1971).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

If the ambitious goals for the elimination of malaria are to be achieved, new tools specifically targeting \textit{P. vivax} will be required. Accelerating the development of new drugs and vaccines will require both a better understanding of the unique \textit{P. vivax} biology and the development of key research tools such as in vitro culture and in vivo models. Although significant progress has been made, many challenges remain.

Addressing these will require novel interdisciplinary research approaches that bridge from the field to the bedside and from the laboratory to the vivax research community backed by substantial investments in both basic and applied research.
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Vectorial capacity is a mathematical approximation of the efficiency of vector-borne disease transmission, measured as the number of new infections disseminated per case per day by an insect vector. Multiple elements of mosquito biology govern their vectorial capacity, including survival, population densities, feeding preferences, and vector competence. Intriguingly, biological pathways essential to mosquito reproductive fitness directly or indirectly influence a number of these elements. Here, we explore this complex interaction, focusing on how the interplay between mating and blood feeding in female Anopheles not only shapes their reproductive success but also influences their ability to sustain Plasmodium parasite development. Central to malaria transmission, mosquito reproductive biology has recently become the focus of research strategies aimed at malaria control, and we discuss promising new methods based on the manipulation of key reproductive steps. In light of widespread resistance to all public health–approved insecticides targeting mosquito reproduction may prove crucial to the success of malaria-eradication campaigns.

PLASMODIUM SPOROGONIC DEVELOPMENT: A PROCESS INTIMATELY TIED TO MOSQUITO REPRODUCTION

Transmission of human Plasmodium parasites, the causative agents of malaria, relies on the infectious bite of female Anopheles mosquitoes. In the mosquito midgut, sporogonic development starts within minutes of a female taking a blood meal on an infected vertebrate host. At the same time, nutrients derived from the digestion of the blood meal accumulate in the ovaries during the process of oogenesis, which after 2–3 days will culminate in ovulation and oviposition. Sporogony and oogenesis are, therefore, temporally and physiologically coupled in the female mosquito (Fig. 1).

At the start of sporogonic development, male and female gametocytes—the sexual stage of the Plasmodium parasite—are ingested and enter the mosquito midgut where they immediately form gametes, triggered by a drop in surrounding temperature and by the presence of other mosquito-specific factors (Billker et al. 1997, 1998; McRobert et al. 2008; Kuehn and Pradel 2010). After escaping the enveloping
Figure 1. Plasmodium sporogony and anopheline oogenesis are temporally and physiologically tied in the mosquito. When a female Anopheles mosquito takes a blood meal from a malaria-infected host, two processes immediately begin within the midgut: Plasmodium gametogenesis, and digestion of the blood bolus for the production of nutrients vital for oogenesis. Within the first 3 days after feeding, a female will have completed her first gonotrophic cycle (GC) consisting of blood feeding, egg development, and oviposition. During this same 72-h period, the Plasmodium gametes will have fused to produce first a zygote and, subsequently, a motile ookinete form, which traverses the midgut epithelium before developing into an oocyst. Over the course of the next 10 days, the oocysts will produce transmission-stage sporozoites, whereas the female will undergo additional gonotrophic cycles, potentially becoming infectious on sporozoites reaching her salivary glands. BF, Blood feeding.
erythrocyte, male gametocytes rapidly exflagellate to produce eight motile microgametes, which fuse with female macrogametes to form a diploid zygote. During the next 18–24 h, as the female digests the blood bolus in her midgut, the *Plasmodium* zygote will transform into a motile ookinete, which traverses the peritrophic matrix and midgut epithelium to reach the basal lamina. Here, the ookinete will encyst, differentiating into an oocyst. The initiation of oocyst development coincides with the completion of oogenesis in the mosquito ovaries, and if inseminated, the female will seek a suitable site to oviposit her eggs. Over the next 8–15 days (depending on *Plasmodium* species and extrinsic factors), the oocyst undergoes rapid growth and cellular division to produce thousands of infective sporozoites. After rupture of the oocyst wall, the mature sporozoites will migrate to and invade the salivary glands, ready to be injected into the host when the female takes her next blood meal. During this period, the female mosquito may undergo up to three additional gonotrophic cycles consisting of a blood meal, egg development, and oviposition (Fig. 1). This lengthy sporogonic cycle means that only a small proportion of female mosquitoes will actually survive long enough in nature to become infective with *Plasmodium* sporozoites and transmit disease (Charlwood et al. 1997; Killeen et al. 2000). Therefore, control interventions that target female mosquito life span are particularly successful in reducing malaria transmission in the field (Macdonald 1956; Smith et al. 2012).

Our understanding of the processes regulating egg development in the mosquito is largely derived from studies in the arboviral vector *Aedes aegypti* (reviewed in Attardo et al. 2005; Hansen et al. 2014). Oogenesis starts with the synthesis and secretion of yolk protein precursors (YPPs) and deposition into the developing oocytes. After a blood meal, the mosquito brain is triggered to release ovary ecdysteroidogenic hormone that stimulates the ovaries to produce the steroid hormone ecdysone (E). The latter, in turn, is hydroxylated to produce the active 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E) form in the fat body. A potent transcriptional regulator, 20E induces the expression of YPPs, including vitellogenin (Vg) and the lipid transporter lipophorin (Lp), which provision the developing oocytes with lipids. 20E and YPPs are integral to egg development with lipids. 20E and YPPs are integral to egg development with lipids. 20E and YPPs are integral to egg development with lipids. Therefore, control interventions that target female mosquito life span are particularly successful in reducing malaria transmission in the field (Macdonald 1956; Smith et al. 2012).

Interestingly, the YPPs that facilitate oogenesis also promote *Plasmodium* development via mechanisms that include protection from the mosquito’s innate immune response (Vlachou et al. 2005; Mendes et al. 2008; Rono et al. 2010). RNi-based knockdown of YPP *Lp* in *An. gambiae* infected with the rodent parasite *Plasmodium berghei* resulted in reduced oocyst numbers and abolished egg development (Vlachou et al. 2005). It was subsequently demonstrated that the expression and combined action of *Lp* and *Vg* after a blood meal blocks the parasite killing action of the complement-like factor thioester-containing protein 1 (TEP1) (Rono et al. 2010). TEP1 was previously shown to severely impair development of *Plasmodium* species (Levashina et al. 2001; Blandin et al. 2004), including the most deadly human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* (Dong et al. 2009; Garver et al. 2009; Eldering et al. 2016). In the absence of Vg, TEP1 binds more efficiently to the surface of *P. berghei* ookinetes, resulting in higher killing efficiency. Similarly, *Lp* knockdown resulted in reduced *P. falciparum* oocyst load, although the precise mechanism was not confirmed (Mendes et al. 2008). Others have shown incorporation of *Lp* loaded with lipids into the *Plasmodium* oocysts in *Aedes* mosquitoes (Atella et al. 2009) and, although the function of these lipids in the parasite is not known, silencing *Lp* reduces oocyst size in *An. gambiae* (Rono et al. 2010). Overall, it appears that malaria parasites have developed a system by which vitellogenic factors produced after a blood meal can be coopted to facilitate *Plasmodium* development within the mosquito.
THE COST OF INFECTION: POTENTIAL FOR TRADE-OFFS BETWEEN REPRODUCTION AND IMMUNITY DURING PARASITE DEVELOPMENT

Eliciting an immune response to infection is an energy-demanding process, as is the production of eggs. It has therefore been long postulated that Plasmodium-infected females may be faced with a trade-off of their resources in an effort to temper infection. A number of studies using the rodent malaria parasites Plasmodium yoelii nigeriensis, P. chabaudi and P. berghei have shown that infected females can experience decreased fecundity and fertility compared with noninfected counterparts (Hogg and Hurd 1997; Jahan and Hurd 1997; Ahmed et al. 2001; Ferguson et al. 2003; Olayemi and Ande 2013; reviewed in Hurd 2009). Oocyte resorption, initiated by apoptosis of epithelial cells surrounding the ovarian follicles, rather than a shortage of nutrients to provision-developing eggs, is believed to be the main driver of reduced fecundity in infected females (Hopwood et al. 2001; Hurd 2003; Ahmed and Hurd 2006). The timing of egg resorption coincides with ookinete traversal of the midgut epithelium (Hopwood et al. 2001), which is associated with epithelial cell pathology (Han and Barillas-Mury 2002) and strong immune induction (Dimopoulos 2003; Levashina 2004; Smith et al. 2014), which, in turn, may trigger apoptosis within the ovaries (Ahmed and Hurd 2006).

Yet, these studies suffer from the use of mosquito–parasite pairings that do not occur in nature. In natural pairings, we argue that the coevolution of parasite and vector over tens of thousands of years has led to a more “commensal” relationship in which immune induction is tempered and the potential cost of infection in the mosquito is minimized. Indeed, a number of studies using naturally occurring Anopheles–Plasmodium combinations have failed to show a negative impact of infection on mosquito fecundity (Ferguson et al. 2005; Yaro et al. 2012; Sangare et al. 2013, 2014). With only a modest negative effect on fecundity recorded in one field study in Tanzania, An. gambiae s.l. infected with P. falciparum showed a ~10% decrease in egg numbers (Hogg and Hurd 1997).

Although the potential trade-off between reproduction and Plasmodium infection remains somewhat unresolved, increasing evidence suggests that the parasite may have developed ways to circumvent damaging its invertebrate host. A recently proposed theory of malaria globalization suggests that Plasmodium parasites have evolved a mechanism to evade triggering the mosquito’s midgut immune response in naturally occurring vector–parasite interactions (Molina-Cruz et al. 2015). This “lock-and-key theory” is based on parasite surface protein Pfs47 (the key), which suppresses the midgut nitration response (Molina-Cruz et al. 2013) through interaction with a yet-undetermined mosquito protein (the lock). It is therefore conceivable that in nonnatural infections the absence of the necessary coevolved “key” leads to immune triggering and, in turn, ovarian apoptosis and a reduction in reproductive fitness as reported in the aforementioned studies.

The mosquito may have also evolved mechanisms to overcome potential fitness costs incurred by Plasmodium infection. This fascinating topic warrants further exploration, although research must be based on Anopheles–Plasmodium combinations that naturally occur in malaria-endemic areas.

THE INTERPLAY BETWEEN MATING AND BLOOD FEEDING SHAPES VECTORIAL CAPACITY VIA THE STEROID HORMONE 20E

A number of studies point to an important role for the steroid hormone 20E during Plasmodium sporogony. 20E is the major ecdysteroid in insects where it regulates molting during juvenile stages (Yamanaka et al. 2013) and, as discussed, is also essential for egg development in many insect species including mosquitoes (Attardo et al. 2005; Belles and Piulachs 2015). Besides the induction of YPPs and their consequent modulation of the mosquito’s complement-like system previously described (Rono et al. 2010), 20E regulates a number of addition-
al genes that can either impair or facilitate parasite development within the female mosquito. In *An. gambiae* and *An. stephensi*, the 20E-induced immunomodulatory peroxidase HPX15 (also named IMPer)—alongside a role in ensuring mosquito fertility (Shaw et al. 2014)—protects *Plasmodium* parasites from the midgut epithelial immune response by catalyzing ditryrosine network formation and decreasing epithelial permeability to immune elicitors (Kumar et al. 2010). On the other hand, 20E induces the expression of a number of immune genes with potential roles in curbing *Plasmodium* infections, including numerous prophenoloxidases (PPOs), which drive the *An. gambiae* melanization response to pathogens (Ahmed et al. 1999; Muller et al. 1999), and LRIM9 (leucine-rich repeat immune protein 9), an immunity factor that in *An. gambiae* reduces *P. berghei* infection intensity through as-yet-unknown mechanisms (Upton et al. 2015). The complex interaction between 20E and the innate immune response is therefore likely to influence the outcome of *Plasmodium* development in the mosquito.

Moreover, uniquely to anophelines, 20E is also produced by males and transferred to females during copulation. In most *Anopheles* species, mating occurs at dusk in large swarms formed by tens to hundreds of individuals. Females are attracted to swarms, most likely by a combination of olfactory, auditory, and visual cues. On entering, females pair with a male before leaving to complete copulation. The female is inseminated only once in her lifetime (Tripet et al. 2003; Yuval 2006), and she uses sperm from this single copulation event to fertilize all egg batches produced during multiple gonotrophic cycles (Yaro et al. 2006). During this single mating event, females receive sperm into a specialized storage organ—the spermatheca—and seminal fluids secreted by the male accessory glands (MAGs), which in most anopheline species are coagulated to form a gelatinous mating plug deposited in the atrium (uterus) (Giglioli and Mason 1966; Rogers et al. 2009; Mitchell et al. 2015). Male 20E produced in the MAGs (Pondeville et al. 2008) is packaged into the mating plug during copulation and delivered to the female atrium together with seminal proteins and lipids (Baldini et al. 2013; Mitchell et al. 2015). In *An. gambiae* and other *Anopheles* species, sexual transfer of male 20E triggers large behavioral and physiological responses paralleled by extensive transcriptional changes that result in a long-term refractoriness to further copulation, increased egg development following a blood meal, induction of oviposition in blood-fed females, and regulation of pathways that ensure fertility (Baldini et al. 2013; Gabrieli et al. 2014a; Shaw et al. 2014).

Sexual delivery of 20E modulates the expression of more than 800 *An. gambiae* genes in the female reproductive tract (Gabrieli et al. 2014a). Among these genes is the *Mating-Induced Stimulator of Oogenesis* (MISO), whose transcriptional level is strongly up-regulated by male 20E specifically in the female atrium (Rogers et al. 2008; Baldini et al. 2013). After expression, the interaction between MISO and male 20E activates downstream signaling cascades that ultimately promote oogenesis, via mechanisms that include elevated expression of the lipid transporter *Lp* and consequent increased lipid uptake into the ovaries (Baldini et al. 2013). The MISO-male 20E interaction therefore couples mating-induced pathways with blood feeding-activated processes, regulating the development of eggs in the ovaries and potentially affecting—based on the role of *Lp* in *Plasmodium* development (Vlachou et al. 2005; Mendes et al. 2008; Rono et al. 2010)—parasite survival in the midgut.

Significant divergence in the levels of 20E both produced by the MAGs and transferred to the female on mating is observed across *Anopheles* species worldwide (Mitchell et al. 2015). However, the function of this steroid hormone appears to be conserved in the genus, with the notable exception of the New World mosquito *Anopheles albimanus*, which in absence of 20E production in the MAGs must use alternative strategies to regulate oviposition and receptivity to mating (Mitchell et al. 2015). Given the effects of this steroid hormone on multiple pathways that also influence *Plasmodium* development, heterogeneity in levels of both female and male 20E across different anophe-
line vectors may result in variation in their ability to transmit malaria. Interestingly, phylogenetic and ancestral state analyses suggest that 20E synthesis in the MAGs and transfer via the mating plug are acquired traits that have evolved in the Anopheles lineage from a plug-less and 20E-less ancestral species, inducing reciprocal adaptation in the 20E-interacting female protein MISO and likely other female reproductive factors (Mitchell et al. 2015). Although a direct link between male-transferred 20E and Plasmodium development in the female remains to be proven, these findings suggest the intriguing hypothesis that the evolution of a mating system based on sexual transfer of this steroid hormone may have affected vectorial capacity in anophelines. Consistent with this hypothesis, the Anopheles species that transfer the highest levels of 20E originate from areas with the largest malaria burden (see WHO 2015) and are among the most efficient vectors of the disease.

TARGETING MATING AND REPRODUCTION FOR MALARIA CONTROL

Current mosquito control methods based on long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs) and indoor residual spraying (IRS) are severely threatened by the rapid spread of resistance in natural mosquito populations and the lack of new insecticides coming to market (Toé et al. 2014; Hemingway et al. 2016). Of greatest concern are the reports of extensive high-level resistance to pyrethroid insecticides in sub-Saharan Africa (Ranson et al. 2011); pyrethroids are currently the only chemical class approved for use on LLINs, which are by far the most effective intervention against malaria transmission (Bhatt et al. 2015). A further challenge for the success of malaria control lies in those Anopheles populations that predominantly blood feed and rest outdoors, as these mosquitoes evade conventional indoor-based vector-control strategies and represent a significant barrier to malaria eradication (Alonso et al. 2011; Govella and Ferguson 2012).

Reproductive processes offer unexplored opportunities for the implementation of methods that may provide alternatives to, or enhance existing, insecticide interventions. In the mosquito life cycle, sex is a vulnerable step as females mate only once (Triet et al. 2003; Yuval 2006). Interfering with mating is, therefore, a potential strategy for malaria control: If mating were disrupted or if virgin females were switched to a mated state without being successfully inseminated, the size of natural mosquito populations would be drastically reduced. Alternatively, manipulation of female reproductive physiology, whether in the form of preventing egg development, inhibiting egg laying, or inducing sterility in egg batches, would also provide a powerful means to reduce vectorial capacity.

SIT and Genetic Control Strategies

The idea of exploiting mating to control insect populations was first introduced by Raymond Bushland and Edward Knipling in the 1950s, who predicted that releasing mass numbers of sterile insects over a long period of time would collapse field populations (Fig. 2A). This concept, named sterile insect technique (SIT), has since been widely applied to the control of a number of economically important agricultural pests, including the New World screwworm fly (Cochliomyia hominivorax) in the Americas and North Africa (Lindquist et al. 1992; Wyss 2000), the melon fly (Bactrocera cucurbitae) in Japan (Koyama et al. 2004), and the medfly (Ceratitis capitata) in the United States and Central America (Hendrichs et al. 2002). As male mosquitoes do not feed on blood and are therefore not involved in the direct transmission of human disease, they are logical agents for campaigns based on release of sterile adults. However, SIT strategies have met limited success when used against Anopheles populations, with failures attributed to reduced male mating competitiveness resulting from sterilization and mass rearing-associated fitness costs (Dame et al. 2009; Howell and Knols 2009) and the lack of efficient mechanisms to separate females from males before a release (Benedict and Robinson 2003).

Major advances in the field of genetic engineering and the recent availability of genomic
Figure 2. Exploiting anopheline reproductive biology for malaria control. (A) Sterile insect technique (SIT) involves the mass release of sterile males that, if released in high enough numbers, will outcompete normal wild-type males in the mating swarm (right panel) and mate with females. These females will then lay sterile egg batches and not produce any progeny, an effect that will eventually crash the population. (B) Chemical attractants such as aggregation or mating pheromones could be used in mating-disruption strategies to attract male and/or female mosquitoes to traps, diverting individuals from mating swarms. (C) The use of sterilants in combination with insecticides on long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs) could stop the spread of insecticide resistance. Females impervious to the effects of insecticides on nets (left panel) would not be able to pass genes conferring resistance on to subsequent generations if a sterilant is included on LLINs (right panel).
data for all major anopheline vectors (Neafsey et al. 2015) provide new opportunities for targeting reproductive processes via genetic manipulation of multiple natural vector populations. Since the generation of the first transgenic Anopheles strains more than a decade ago (Catteruccia et al. 2000), a wide array of gene editing tools with increased precision, flexibility, and level of sophistication have emerged (Urnov et al. 2010; Aryan et al. 2013; Mali et al. 2013). Our ability to introduce desired modifications in the genome while causing minimal perturbation to mosquito physiology has dramatically increased and can be exploited to effectively induce sterility while minimizing fitness costs to the insect. For instance, sterile, spermless males were generated by targeting a gene essential for germ cell development, “zero population growth” (ZPG), and although these males were able to mate successfully and induce refractoriness to further mating in females through the delivery of seminal secretions, mated blood-fed females laid only infertile eggs (Thailayil et al. 2011). Targeting sperm generation via genetic manipulation of zpg or similar genes offers promise as a SIT strategy, considering fertility can be specifically manipulated while minimizing any detrimental effects on male mating competitiveness. A similar strategy to SIT, “release of insects carrying a dominant lethal” (RIDL), eliminates the need for sterilization by producing fertile males whose progeny are killed during larval development (Phuc et al. 2007).

Gene Drives
Sterility or lethal transgenes can also be “driven” through populations using genetic selfish elements that can force their own spread in a non-Mendelian manner (reviewed in Gabrieli et al. 2014b). The most powerful example is CRISPR/Cas9, originally a bacterial-acquired immune defense system. It has been coopted to specifically recognize and cleave target sites in the genome through the action of Cas9 nuclease and small artificial guide RNAs (gRNAs) (Barrangou et al. 2007). When a transgene encoding Cas9 and gRNAs is inserted at the homologous chromosomal locus as their target sequence, DNA repair by homologous recombination results in the transgene being copied into the cleaved locus. When this occurs in the germline of heterozygous parents, the transgene can be inherited in >50% of the progeny, favoring the inheritance of the transgenic cassette (Esvelt et al. 2014). The ability to guarantee inheritance of transgenically encoded traits despite potential fitness defects would enable driving of otherwise highly costly sterility-causing cargoes through wild populations. Indeed, proof-of-principle examples of gene drives in mosquitoes have been generated by multiple laboratories (Gantz et al. 2015; Hammond et al. 2016), and improved “evolutionary stable” systems that prevent insurgence of deleterious mutations that block the drive are likely to emerge in the near future (Esvelt et al. 2014). By exploiting males and their need to find a mate, such genetic control strategies could play a significant role in the path to malaria eradication, contributing to the reduction of residual malaria transmission attributed to outdoor biting and resting mosquitoes that would evade even universal LLIN/IRS coverage.

Targeting Mating Behavior
Considering a female’s lifetime reproductive output is centered on the quality of a single mate, the fitness of the preferred male has important implications for female mate choice decisions. A better understanding of male reproductive biology and the factors ensuring successful mating is therefore essential for SIT- or genetic-based strategies to succeed (Howell and Knols 2009; Lees et al. 2014; Diabate and Tripet 2015). Although the components of precopulatory sexual selection in An. gambiae remain unclear, chemical and acoustic signals appears to play a major role (Polerstock et al. 2002; Howard and Blomquist 2005; Cator et al. 2009, 2010). Before mating, the two sexes engage in a brief period of tarsal interaction, likely facilitating close-range chemical communication (Charlwood and Jones 1979). Longer-range chemical cues such as aggregation pheromones, on the other hand, may play a role in male lek-
king behavior, as suggested in *Aedes* mosquitoes (Cabrera and Jaffe 2007; Fawaz et al. 2014). Recent studies have also shown the importance of precopulatory acoustic signaling in the mating success of mosquito vectors including *An. gambiae* (Cator et al. 2009, 2010; Gibson et al. 2010; Pennetier et al. 2010). Once identified and characterized, these chemical and acoustic cues could be exploited to disrupt mating or be incorporated into traps to attract and kill mosquitoes looking for a mate, thus providing alternative tools to target insecticide-resistant mosquitoes and outdoor biting populations (Fig. 2B).

Recent advances in our understanding of the molecular determinants of female postmating and postblood feeding biology offer additional opportunities for the manipulation of reproductive success of mosquito populations (Rogers et al. 2008; Dottorini et al. 2013; Gabrieli et al. 2014a; Shaw et al. 2014; Mitchell et al. 2015). The steroid hormone 20E, produced by the male and transferred during copulation, has been identified as a major regulator for switching off female’s receptivity to mating (Gabrieli et al. 2014a; Mitchell et al. 2015). If mating refractoriness could be triggered through application of 20E agonists, virgin females could be artificially switched to a mated state in the absence of insemination, dramatically reducing their reproductive output. Recent findings support the use of hormonal agonists as mosquito-control agents. Pyriproxyfen, an analog of the other major insect hormone juvenile hormone, produces both long-term sterility and life-shortening effects in *Anopheles* mosquitoes (Ohashi et al. 2012; Harris et al. 2013; Koama et al. 2015), with promising results when applied to resting surfaces and bednets in semifield trials (Kawada et al. 2014; Lwetoijera et al. 2014). By combining sterilizing compounds with insecticides, mosquitoes resistant to the killing action of the insecticide will be unable to propagate the resistance traits to the next generation, therefore preventing selection and spread of insecticide-resistant alleles in the population, an idea coined as “negative cross resistance” (Fig. 2C) (White et al. 2014).

**FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

According to the World Health Organization, “mosquito control is the only intervention that can reduce malaria transmission from very high levels to close to zero” (see WHO 2014). This statement was recently validated by an extensive meta-analysis that established the effect of malaria control interventions on *P. falciparum* in Africa over the last decade; vector control accounted for 78% of the total 663 million cases averted (Bhatt et al. 2015).

The research community has begun to address the pressing need for new mosquito-control strategies that do not exclusively rely on current insecticides and can target exophilic *Anopheles* species. Genetic modification of mosquito vectors and, in particular, the use of gene drives that can spread rapidly through insect populations have been a major focus of research efforts (reviewed in Esvelt et al. 2014; Gabrieli et al. 2014b), but have also been met with criticism at both government and community levels (Macer 2006; Lavery et al. 2008; Brown et al. 2014). Given the potential for spread across borders and possible unanticipated ecological and environmental consequences, we believe that the release of gene drives for disease control should be the subject of wide and open debate between the scientific community, national and international funding and governmental agencies, and the general public (Esvelt et al. 2014; Oye and Esvelt 2014; Oye et al. 2014; Akbari et al. 2015). Moreover, two key research priorities are fundamental to the release of successful genetic drives: the generation of stable drives that can withstand the inevitable emergence of mutations; and the development of “counter-drive” mechanisms to reverse possible negative impacts following the release of the initial drive (Esvelt et al. 2014).

Additional strategies that can extend the efficacy of current insecticide-based control are urgently needed. We argue that the opportunities provided by targeting hormonal signaling essential to mosquito reproductive fitness, such as the juvenile hormone- and 20E-regulated pathways, need to be fully explored for the
generation of control tools that target both mosquito survival and fertility. Moreover, the conservation of hormonal physiology across major malaria vector species will allow multiple disease vectors to be targeted with a single hormone analog, whereas the aforementioned ability to halt the reproductive output of females resistant to currently used insecticides will reduce the spread of this resistance in the wild.

Targeting outdoor biting mosquito populations and preventing residual malaria (defined as malaria transmission occurring despite universal LLINs or IRS) is proving particularly challenging. We believe that novel strategies, in addition to proposed genetic modification, are necessary to control these exophilic populations, and mating biology may prove key in the development of such tools. By bridging the knowledge gap between pre- and postcopulatory mating biology, we can harness essential chemical and acoustic signals for the development of traps that will target these mosquitoes outdoors.

Regardless of the strategies that will be developed, efforts to control malaria vectors must remain a top research and funding priority even in the face of emergencies such as the current Zika outbreak. Given the extraordinary success of mosquito-based interventions to date (Bhatt et al. 2015), “dropping the ball” now on Anopheles mosquito research and control would inevitably lead to disease resurgence, with disastrous consequences for millions worldwide.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

As Plasmodium transmission is contingent on the reproductive needs of its anopheline vector, with the processes of sporogony and oogenesis intimately tied within the female mosquito, it is unsurprising that reproductive pathways regulating oogenesis also impact Plasmodium development. Our increased power to explore the genomes of multiple Anopheles vectors, in combination with an exceptional ability to engineer them, provides unprecedented opportunity to target essential links in both parasite and mosquito reproductive cycles for malaria control. Whether by extending the duration of insecticide effectiveness, enhancing the success of genetic control strategies, or providing novel strategies to disrupt mosquito mating, the study of reproductive biology is fundamental to this next generation of malaria-eradication efforts.
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The rapid spread of mosquito resistance to currently available insecticides, and the current lack of an efficacious malaria vaccine are among many challenges that affect large-scale efforts for malaria control. As goals of malaria elimination and eradication are put forth, new vector-control paradigms and tools and/or further optimization of current vector-control products are required to meet public health demands. Vector control remains the most effective measure to prevent malaria transmission and present gains against malaria mortality and morbidity may be maintained as long as vector-intervention strategies are sustained and adapted to underlying vector-related transmission dynamics. The following provides a brief overview of vector-control strategies and tools either in use or under development and evaluation that are intended to exploit key entomological parameters toward driving down transmission.

**Malaria, dengue, and other mosquito-borne diseases** are public health problems in many parts of the world. There were an estimated 214 million cases and 438,000 deaths attributed to malaria in 2015 (WHO 2015a). Malaria-control strategies that have shown success include treatment of infected individuals with drugs, application of insecticide to reduce mosquito populations through indoor residual spray (IRS), and reduction of human contact with infected mosquitoes via insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) (D’Acremont et al. 2010; O’Meara et al. 2010). In 5 years (between 2000 and 2015), the global incidence of malaria fell 37% and malaria mortality decreased by 60% (WHO 2015a). However, ~3.2 billion people remain at risk of malaria, with continued associated morbidity and mortality.

Vector control remains the most effective measure to prevent malaria transmission. Vector-targeted interventions have been successful at reducing malaria mortality and morbidity worldwide—both historically and presently (WHO 2015a). The core goal of vector control is to reduce the vectorial capacity of a vector population below that required to maintain a malaria reproduction rate ($R_0$) of greater than 1—where $R_0$ is the number of human malaria cases that result from each human case in a population (malERA Consultative Group on Vector Control 2011). This has been shown from larval control in Brazil (Soper and Wilson 1943) and Egypt (Shousha 1948) in the 1940s, to the discovery of dichloro-diphenyl-trichloro-ethane (DDT) for use in IRS campaigns and present-day long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs). Vector control hence remains an integral part of the Global Malaria Control Strategy (GMSC) (WHO 1993). These remarkable effects and value to global health should be
maintained as long as vector-related interventions are sustained and remain viable.

Effective vector control depends on the overlap between the specific intervention and susceptible vector behaviors (Elliott 1972; Bayoh et al. 2010; malERA Consultative Group on Vector Control 2011; Killeen et al. 2012; Killeen et al. 2013, 2014; Russell et al. 2013; Killeen 2014). Essentially, an intervention is more efficient if it functions on repeated vector behaviors such as ITNs killing susceptible mosquitoes when they look for a blood meal, which occurs once during a gonotrophic cycle and subsequently several times during the mosquito’s life span (Killeen et al. 2014). Treated nets are, therefore, most efficient if the vector population host-seeks indoors while the local human population is asleep. In a similar manner, IRS is most effective against indoor resting vectors (Killeen et al. 2014). However, malaria transmission can be maintained by many vector species despite high coverage of ITNs and/or IRS as they may show behaviors that allow them to escape the effect of these interventions (Bugoro et al. 2011; Russell et al. 2013; Bayoh et al. 2014; Killeen 2014). With the case of ITNs, vector populations may avoid the intervention by feeding outside or early in the evening—at times when people are not sleeping under nets (Russell et al. 2013). Insecticide resistance will also impact the lethal effects of these interventions (Toe et al. 2014; Glunt et al. 2015).

Gains achieved by vector control in reducing malaria transmission cannot be relaxed without the expectation of a rebound in malaria incidence. Both a historical review and simulation modeling suggest that a scale-back of malaria vector control has a high probability of malaria resurgence for most scenarios, even where malaria transmission is very low or has been interrupted (WHO 2015b). In addition, residual transmission, that is, malaria transmission that happens outside the limits of the interventions in use (such as early-evening or outdoor biting in which ITNs are primary strategy) (Killeen 2014; WHO 2014), and insecticide resistance to pyrethroïds—the most commonly used synthetic chemicals (Quinones et al. 2015; World Health Organization pesticide evaluation scheme [WHOPES], www.who.int/whopes/en), remain the biggest threats to control and elimination strategies. Indeed, studies suggest that current interventions strategies that rely primarily on ITNs and IRS are insufficient to eliminate or eradicate malaria (Shaukat et al. 2010) and a shift to the use of nonpyrethroïds in Africa has occurred (N’Guessan et al. 2007; Mnzava et al. 2015). These points are the impec tus for several novel intervention strategies being evaluated or developed. Such new tools are targeting transmission dynamics, vector species, and behaviors not susceptible to present interventions to include outdoor transmission, animal biting, sugar feeding, and the immature stage of the vector.

CORE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION VECTOR-CONTROL STRATEGIES

Both ITNs and IRS remain core malaria-intervention strategies worldwide. These WHO-recommended interventions combined with chemoprevention in pregnant women and children, diagnostic testing, and access to treatment have largely contributed to the gains against malaria in the last few years (WHO 2015a). Typically, used independently, studies to determine impact from combination use have been conducted to help guide further gains. In Mozambique and Equatorial Guinea, protective effects of IRS with ITNs have been suggested to be additive (Kleinschmidt et al. 2009; Hamel et al. 2011; Fullman et al. 2013; West et al. 2014, 2015). Other studies found no evidence of an added benefit when combining ITS and ITNs (Nyarango et al. 2006; Corbel et al. 2012; Pinder et al. 2015; Protopopoff et al. 2015), whereas others remain unclear (Gimnig et al. 2016), pointing to the requirement of additional evidence (WHO 1993).

Insecticide-Treated Nets (ITNs)

ITNs, a form of personal protection, function by both providing a physical barrier to mosquitoes as well as the lethal effect of insecticides that are present on the bednet material. With high coverage, the size as well as the life span of the vector
population is reduced—further protecting the community (Hawley et al. 2003). This intervention targets a permethrin (or insecticide-in-use) susceptible vector population that host-seeks indoors, whereas the local human population is asleep under a treated net and functions once per gonotrophic cycle. ITNs are consequently most effective against late-night and indoor-biting vectors. Historically, mosquito nets have been used against nuisance insects (Lindsay and Gibson 1988; Lengeler 2004). Studies in the 1980s showed that pyrethroids were safe for humans and both repelled and killed mosquitoes—showing that ITNs resulted in both individual and community-wide protection against malaria infection (Lengeler 2000, 2004). Driven by increasing access and distribution of ITNs, the proportion of the population sleeping under an ITN has increased dramatically in sub-Saharan Africa since 2000. However, the increasing number of ITNs have been insufficient to achieve universal coverage (WHO 2015a) and, this, along with the increasing spread of insecticide resistance (N’Guessan et al. 2007; Temu et al. 2012; Mulamba et al. 2014; Toe et al. 2014; Mnzava et al. 2015) and behavioral modification by the vector (Bayoh et al. 2010; Russell et al. 2013; Glunt et al. 2015), may point to the limits of the effectiveness of this intervention (Bayoh et al. 2014; WHO 2015a).

Indoor Residual Spraying (IRS)

IRS is the application of insecticide to the inside of human habitation, that is, walls and other surfaces that may serve as a resting place for malaria vectors. IRS effects result in knockdown and/or mortality of those vector populations that rest on these treated surfaces and are susceptible to the insecticide in use. IRS generally functions once per gonotrophic cycle when a mosquito rests on the sprayed surface before or after a blood meal. Historically, IRS with DDT has reduced malaria in many settings around the world. IRS contributed to the elimination of malaria from parts of Asia, Russia, Europe, and Latin America. Successful IRS programs were the primary mosquito intervention during the Global Malaria Eradication Campaign (1955–1969) and have contributed to the elimination of malaria from parts of Asia, Russia, Europe, and Latin America, with successful IRS programs showed in parts of Africa. The successful use of IRS in Mozambique, South Africa, Swaziland, and Zimbabwe (Mabaso et al. 2004) prompted its present reintroduction as a primary tool in vector-control strategies with a shift to nonpyrethroids (N’Guessan et al. 2007; Mnzava et al. 2015) due to the increase in insecticide resistance of malaria vectors across Africa. Although IRS coverage has declined (primarily due to the cost of insecticides) in recent years, 2014 represents the largest proportion of the population being protected by IRS in Africa (WHO 2015a). Annual rotation of IRS insecticides is currently the best practice for resistance management in malaria vectors in most settings (Mnzava et al. 2015).

Both IRS and ITNs are effective tools for reducing disease. Studies in Mozambique and Equatorial Guinea have indicated that protective effects of IRS with ITNs may be (West et al. 2014, 2015) additive (Kleinschmidt et al. 2009; Hamel et al. 2011; Fullman et al. 2013). Other studies found no evidence of an added benefit when combining ITS and ITNs (Nyarango et al. 2006; Corbel et al. 2012; Pinder et al. 2015; Protopopoff et al. 2015), whereas others remained unclear (Gimnig et al. 2016), pointing to the requirement of additional evidence (WHO 1993).

Larval Source Management

Larval source management (LSM) is the management of bodies of water—potential larval habitats for mosquitoes, in an effort to prevent the completion of development of the immature stages (Tusting et al. 2013). Unlike IRS and LLINs, which target the adult stages, LSM targets the immature larval and pupal stages in the attempt to reduce the number of adult mosquitoes. LSM functions once in the lifetime of a mosquito during its larval stage. The four types of LSM—all directed toward limiting the adult population—include habitat modification, habitat manipulation, chemical larviciding, and biological control.
Habitat or environmental modification is meant to be permanent and includes drainage, filling, land leveling, and alteration of water reservoirs. Essentially, naturally occurring pools, pockets, and seepage ponds—suitable habitats for immature mosquitoes—are modified by the reinforcement of banks, deepening of channels, or diversion of flow (WHO 2013). Filling of holes, pits, and ponds in and around human habitation is a more simple method of habitat modification but requires more frequent management (WHO 2013). Habitat manipulation, on the other hand, is a recurrent activity more associated with agriculture, such as in rice cultivation (Mabaso et al. 2004; Temu et al. 2012). The manipulation temporarily reduces or removes mosquito habitat or kills immature stages. This includes changing the salinity of breeding sites (desalination or salination), flushing of streams and water bodies, regulation of the water level in reservoirs, as well as removal of vegetation for increased exposure to sunlight (WHO 2013). Larviciding is the regular application of insecticides, whether synthetic or natural, to water bodies (WHO 2013). These include a wide range of emulsifiable concentrates, suspension concentrates, water-dispersible granules, wettable powders, granules, pellets, and briquettes (WHO 2013). Bacterial, or biological, larvicides are highly effective with the added benefit of being selective, and having minimal nontarget effects. Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. israelensis (Bti) and Bacillus sphaericus (Bs) are the primary biologicals used for malaria vector control (WHO 2013). These bacteria produce a highly specific endotoxin, affecting only larvae of mosquitoes, black flies, and midges and are effective where target organisms are resistant to other larvicides (Fillinger et al. 2003; WHO 2013). Spinosad, another bacterial larvicide, is a combination of metabolites from the bacterium Saccharopolyspora spinosa. Insect growth regulators such as methoprene and pyriproxyfen, mimic mosquito juvenile hormone and prevent the development of larvae to the pupal stage subsequently killing the vector. Globally, trends indicate an increased use of insect growth regulators for the control of malaria vectors; however, this is minimal relative to other methods of vector control (WHOPES). Biological control is the introduction of natural aquatic predators into the breeding habitat (WHO 2013). These include fungi (e.g., Laeugenidium giganteum) and nematodes (e.g., Romanomermis culicivorax), which parasitize and kill larval mosquitoes; however, these are not widely used because of inefficiency. Likewise, mosquito-eating fish (such as Gambusia affinis and Poecilia reticulate) have largely been ineffective except in a few studies. A recent Cochrane review (Tusting et al. 2013; Walshe et al. 2013) on the use of larvivorous fish as a malaria intervention concluded that there is a lack of evidence and insufficient research to show whether larvivorous fish consistently reduce the density of malaria vectors and malaria.

LSM has a long history of use in diverse settings with various levels of success in urban, low-transmission and elimination settings (Watson 1911, 1953; Hopkins 1940; Soper and Wilson 1943; Muirhead-Thomson 1945, 1951; Shousha 1948; Holstein 1954; Clyde 1967; Fillinger et al. 2009; Fillinger and Lindsay 2011). Its contributions to recent successes in reductions of malaria burden have not been considered substantial although there has been a significant amount of attention. A 2012 review by the WHO Malaria Policy Advisory Committee (WHO 2012b) combined with a 2013 Cochrane review on mosquito LSM for controlling malaria (Tusting et al. 2013), determined that larviciding should only have a limited role in malaria control in areas where mosquito breeding sites are few, fixed, and findable (Tusting et al. 2013; WHO 2012b). An additional term “fixable” (T Burkot, pers. comm.) has also been proposed to be required, with the concern that these may not be “fixable” with LSM (e.g., large lagoon breeding sites of Anopheles farauti in the Solomon Islands) (Bugoro 2011). An additional Cochrane review on larvivorous fish for preventing malaria transmission (Walshe et al. 2013) found no reliable studies that report that the introduction of larvivorous fish has an effect on malaria infection in nearby communities, on entomological inoculation rate, or on adult anopheline density.

A WHO operational manual (WHO 2013) provides guidance on the planning, implemen-
tation, management, and evaluation of LSM strategies. The combination of a lack of scientific studies showing effect as well as the need for better understanding of basic larval biology—habitats, abundance, behavior, and distribution of the larvae of malaria vectors (Fillinger et al. 2004) agree with the WHO emphasis that LSM programs need to be tailored to local environmental conditions and should be based on comprehensive and cost-effectiveness studies—that is, require evidence based decision making. LSM requires a comprehensive infrastructure composed of trained individuals, a monitoring system with appropriate logistical and analysis capabilities and a timely feedback and reaction system, with financial, community, and political commitment.

The success of LSM on malaria control depends, in part, on the basic reproductive number for malaria, $R_0$ and the EIR capacity (Garrett-Jones and Shidrawi 1969; Killeen et al. 2000; Smith et al. 2007). LSM, with the effect being on the larval and pupal stages, has a linear and not exponential effect on $R_0$ and is only as effective as its implementation. These interventions are affected by the heterogeneous distribution of adult emergence rates from larval habitats. If the removal of a few larval breeding sites drastically reduced adult mosquito populations, LSM may possibly produce a large effect on malaria transmission with little effort (Smith et al. 2007; WHO 2012b). Biological control may have a large impact in the steady state balance of an introduced insect but not necessarily on a naturally present vector.

### Host-Mediated Control

#### Zooprophylaxis

In malaria-endemic settings where transmission is in part the result of zoophilic vectors, two routes of control have been suggested: diversion of vectors away from human hosts to alternative nonhuman blood meal sources (zooprophylaxis) and the use of nonhuman hosts as bait to attract vectors to a toxic host or blood meal source (insecticide-treated livestock/endectocides). This intervention targets a vector population that prefers feeding on animals and functions once per gonotrophic cycle. The effect this intervention has on the vector population is directly proportional to the amount of zoophagy present.

Zooprophylaxis is considered to be controversial in its potential for both beneficial and detrimental outcomes. For example, despite diverting vectors to nonhuman host sources, the use of livestock may actually result in zoopotentiation (Saul 2003), suggesting that the larger numbers of animals and the ease of acquiring a blood meal could result in less time spent host-seeking. This would thereby correspond to reduced vector mortality overall and a potential increase in the number of blood meals taken on humans by infectious vectors. In situations where livestock are kept in close proximity to humans, animals may actually increase the risk of mosquito bites to individual persons by attracting vectors to the general proximity of human hosts (Schultz 1989; Hewitt et al. 1994; Bouma and Rowland 1995). Despite these scenarios, the use of insecticide-treated livestock and endectocides is gaining in popularity (Donnelly et al. 2015; Chaccour and Killeen 2016).

The expectation is that zooprophylaxis would have the greatest impact on malaria transmitted as a result of zoophilic vectors. The efficacy of zooprophylaxis may be enhanced by attracting vectors to insecticide-treated livestock. This approach has been attempted in both the United States (Nasci et al. 1990) and the Philippines (delas Llagas et al. 1996). Treatment of all domesticated animals with insecticides resulted in a decrease of malaria incidence and prevalence in Pakistan (Hewitt et al. 1994). Although there have been encouraging findings, the impact of insecticide-treated livestock on malaria transmission in Africa has yet to be assessed.

#### Endectocides

Endectocides are classified as systemic drugs with both endoparasiticidal and ectoparasiticidal activity (Foy et al. 2011). Drugs used against endoparasites such as Avermectin and Ivermectin have long been known to have a killing effect on a number of blood-sucking arthropods. Endectocides hold several advantages...
over traditional insecticides—these interventions travel with the host and do not rely on time or place to be effective. The primary advantage is that this strategy will be effective against endophagic, exophagic, and zoophilic vectors as well as crepuscular and night-biting vectors (Foy et al. 2011). In addition, the mode of action for endectocides ensures that there is a low probability of cross-resistance with current insecticidal strategies (Strycharz et al. 2008). This increases their usage where pyrethroid-based interventions are threatened by insecticide resistance. Last, these systemic drugs have the potential to inhibit the development of the malaria parasite, thus making them a viable target to combat drug resistance in the malaria parasite. Although endectocide use is an attractive option for malaria control, issues of cost (Burnham and Mebrahtu 2004; Goldman et al. 2007), long-term human use (Duke et al. 1990; Guzzo et al. 2002), and resistance buildup in both endo- and ectoparasites (Bourguinat et al. 2007) have been raised. Alternatives to this approach are to use treated livestock as the delivery medium for the endectocide to host-seeking vectors. Such approaches would again be focused on zoopagotic vectors but would reduce health impacts associated with maintaining human populations on long-term drug therapy.

Focusing solely on these drugs ability to reduce vector abundance in intervention programs may be too simplistic (Wilson 1993), and these strategies need to be assessed for their ability to affect all variables associated with vectorial capacity.

**Push–Pull Strategies**

Personal protective measures have shown usage for preventing malaria (Hill et al. 2007, 2014; Syafruddin et al. 2014) and for reducing the overall intensity of outdoor biting (Goodyer et al. 2010). Using interventions focused on repelling mosquitoes from an individual, however, have prompted concerns that diverted vectors may result in higher attack rates on unprotected populations (Maia et al. 2013). Therefore, a strategy that relies on killing mosquitoes and reducing community-level risk is much more desirable (Howard et al. 2000).

One novel strategy currently being developed uses a push–pull approach that seeks to exploit the complementary effects of repellents and traps. Developed initially as a way to control agricultural and urban pests (Cook et al. 2007), push–pull interventions work by combining the repellency action of one component and the attractiveness of another to elicit movement away from a protected resource and toward a trap for subsequent removal from the environment (Pyke et al. 1987; Cook et al. 2007; Kitau et al. 2010; Reddy and Guerrero 2010; Paz-Soldan et al. 2011; Menger et al. 2014; Wagman et al. 2015). Accordingly, push–pull strategies for the control of mosquito vectors of human disease would use repellents to deter host-seeking mosquitoes from treated spaces toward a baited trap resulting in their capture and removal from the peri-domestic environment thereby decreasing population densities for added community protection and/or personal protection of hosts in the outdoor environment (Cook et al. 2007; Kitau et al. 2010; Paz-Soldan et al. 2011). Although still in the proof-of-concept phase, preliminary studies have been encouraging (Kitau et al. 2010; Menger et al. 2014; Wagman et al. 2015), showing reduced biting rates and house entry.

**Spatial Repellents**

The term spatial repellent is used here as a general term to refer to chemical products designed to release volatile chemicals into the air and elicit a range of insect behaviors induced by airborne chemicals that result in a reduction in human–vector contact (Achee et al. 2012a; WHO 2012a). A large number of products are commercially available that use chemical actives registered as spatial repellents (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [EPA]). These products range in cost and sophistication from expensive heat driven electrical outlet plugins used in the Europe to inexpensive mosquito coils that are widely used throughout Africa and Asia.

Spatial repellents can induce mosquitoes move away from a chemical stimulus, interfere
with their host detection (attraction–inhibition) and/or feeding response (WHO 2012a) and consequently can operate on all adult behaviors that incorporate movement. These effects have been measured in laboratory studies (Grieco et al. 2005; Suwannachote et al. 2009), in phase II testing under experimentally controlled conditions (Grieco et al. 2000, 2007; Ogoma et al. 2012), and in field settings (Pates et al. 2002; Kawada et al. 2004a,b; Lucas et al. 2007) against Aedes spp., Anopheles spp., and Culex spp. of varying insecticide resistance profiles. There is also evidence from a phase III study in Indonesia that spatial repellents can impact malaria incidence (Syafruddin et al. 2014).

The role for spatial repellents in modern vector control can best be conceptualized for transmission settings in which IRS and/or LLINs may not offer full protection or have reached their efficacy limits, especially in areas with residual transmission or areas where elimination is proposed. Control of malaria in these areas will require new approaches and this may be where spatial repellency would be most effective (Achee et al. 2012b; Ogoma et al. 2012, 2014). Spatial repellents may show effect against insecticide-resistant populations and have the potential to limit the spread of insecticide-resistant alleles because of reduced selection pressure when considering the nonlethality of effect (WHO 2012a). Spatial repellents could be offered as stand-alone tools where no other interventions are currently in use; or, most likely, combined with existing interventions to augment efficacy of these other tools.

**Attractive Toxic Sugar Baits**

Attractive toxic sugar baits (ATSBs) represent a new tool for the indoor and outdoor control of mosquito disease vectors. Mosquitoes are killed when they are attracted to and feed on toxic sugar meals that are either sprayed on plants or used in bait stations. This intervention targets a toxin susceptible vector population while sugar feeding. Because sugar feeding has been shown to occur repeatedly and often in the adult mosquito, the potential effects of this intervention on a vector population may be dramatic.

The use of sugar feeding to reduce mosquito populations was first reported in 1965 (Lea 1965) and then in several other studies (Schlein and Pener 1990; Robert et al. 1997; Muller and Schlein 2006; Xue et al. 2006; Schlein and Muller 2008; Muller et al. 2010a,b) in Aedes and other Culicine mosquitoes as well as sand flies. Effects on anopheline populations were shown in Israel and Mali (Muller et al. 2008, 2010b). This approach may be used in either of two ways, that is, direct mortality induced by feeding on the bait, and/or, the bait may be used to disseminate mosquito pathogens or toxins (Schlein and Pener 1990; Allan 2011).

Attractive toxic sugar bait solutions are composed of sugar, an attractant such as a flower scent, and an oral toxin. Toxins tested include malathion (Lea 1965), boric acid (Xue and Barnard 2003), spinosad (Muller and Schlein 2006), fipronil (Xue et al. 2008), as well as several other classes of insecticide (Muller and Schlein 2006). Toxins, such as boric acid, hold the added advantage of being safe for the environment. Attractants focus on locally acquired sugars, juices and fruit—as mosquitoes may be selective to carbohydrate choices originating from their geographic range (Grimstad and Defoliart 1974; Muller and Schlein 2005; Muller et al. 2010a).

Sugars derived from plants are an integral component of mosquito nutrition and provide energy for survival, flight, and enhance fecundity and vectorial capacity (Nayar and Sauerman 1971, 1975a,b; Foster 1995; Briegel 2003; Gu et al. 2011). Both male and female mosquitoes may feed on sugar sources several times a day—primarily after emergence and then as required (Reisen et al. 1986; Foster 1995; Foster and Takken 2004; Gary and Foster 2006). Because sugar feeding occurs more often than blood feeding and continues throughout the life of the insect, this behavior represents an opportunity in a mosquito life cycle where a vector intervention may be placed. An accumulative effect of ATSBs on a mosquito population was shown for an area with readily available alternate sugar sources; however, the overall population level lethality was delayed relative to sugar poor areas (Beier et al. 2012). This sug-
gests that ATSBs may be highly effective in arid, sugar-poor environments.

The recurrent nature of sugar feeding suggests a large ATSB effect on vectorial capacity (Garrett-Jones and Shidrawi 1969; Gu et al. 2011). A single application of ATSBs affected mosquito density, parity, survival, and hence vectorial capacity (Garrett-Jones and Shidrawi 1969; Beier et al. 2012). ATSBs in conjunction with other interventions like bednets might show an exponential effect as energy-deprived mosquitoes (e.g., those that are unable to acquire a blood meal because of bednets) seem to take more and larger sugar meals (Stone et al. 2012). A primary drawback of this strategy is the possibly effect on nontarget organisms—the killing of other sugar feeding insects (honey bees and pollinators in particular).

Although ATSB approaches are being developed and tested, they represent new powerful tools for the control of malaria vectors, especially because this method is simple, inexpensive, and environmentally friendly, and has been shown to be highly effective for mosquito control.

**Genetic Control**

Genetic control of malaria vector populations can be described as the dissemination of genetic or inheritable factors toward the decrease of disease and/or target vector populations. These control strategies rely on the dispersal of a modified organism for the purpose of mating with wild-type populations and are therefore species-specific. These strategies are expected to function synergistically with current and other proposed disease-intervention programs.

Curtis first presented the concept of genetic control in 1968 (Curtis 1968). Recent advances in molecular biology have resulted in the germ-line transformation of several anopheline species (Catteruccia et al. 2000; Grossman et al. 2001; Perera et al. 2002; Lobo et al. 2006). These systems have shown a reduction in vector competence (James et al. 1999; de Lara Capurro et al. 2000; Ito et al. 2002; Bian et al. 2013; Wilke and Marrelli 2015) and have further progressed to developing drivers that can disseminate these systems into natural populations (Burt 2003; Deredec et al. 2008; Windbichler et al. 2011; Bian et al. 2013; Gantz et al. 2015; Hammond et al. 2016). Toward testing and wild release, large sets of molecular markers and genome sequences have been produced that can be used to study population structure and gene flow (Thomas et al. 2000; Black and Lanzaro 2001; Donnelly et al. 2001; Walton et al. 2001; Holt et al. 2002; Neafsey et al. 2015).

Genetic control can be broadly separated into germline transformation of mosquitoes, involving the germline manipulation of a genome and paratransgenesis—which works with transformation of obligate symbionts (Alphey et al. 2002). Paratransgenesis involves genetically modified organisms that can colonize vector species (Wilke and Marrelli 2015). Genetically modified symbiotic bacteria are reintroduced into the vector species where they express the genetic trait (Beard et al. 1993; Conte 1997; Favia et al. 2007; Coutinho-Abreu et al. 2010). These genetic traits may be a pathogenic effect in the host, interfering with reproduction, reducing vector competence (Bian et al. 2013) or the reproductive pathway (Yoshida et al. 2001; Chavshin et al. 2012; Bongio and Lampe 2015). *Wolbachia* have recently shown the ability to confer fitness costs on *Anopheles* vectors (Joshi et al. 2014) and inhibit *Plasmodium* infection (Jin et al. 2009; Kambris et al. 2010; Hughes et al. 2011). However, some studies have shown the opposite, where *Plasmodium* infections were enhanced in the presence of *Wolbachia* (Hughes et al. 2012). This finding necessitates a clearer understanding of underlying processes. Various other symbiotic bacteria species have been identified in anophelines and may be used for paratransgenesis, the choice of which is determined by the approach being used (Beard et al. 1993; Yoshida et al. 2001; Gonzalez-Ceron et al. 2003; Lindh et al. 2005; Favia et al. 2007; Wilke and Marrelli 2015). An advantage of paratransgenesis over that of genetic transformation is that a transgenic strain is required for every species or reproductively isolated strain in the latter, while the same paratransgenic system may be used for multiple species as long as the bacteria being used can survive and the system...
function in the vector species used (Sayler and Ripp 2000; Riehle and Jacobs-Lorena 2005; Riehle et al. 2007; Wilke and Marrelli 2015).

**Suppression and replacement strategies:** Outcomes of genetic control strategies include (1) both population suppression, where the number of vectors in a target population is reduced, and (2) population replacement, where a trait is spread in the natural vector population toward the reduction of vectorial capacity at some point in the vector life cycle (Alphey et al. 2002; Wilke and Marrelli 2012). The “release of insects with dominant lethality” (RIDL) system uses a dominant lethal gene with a female-specific promoter (Heinrich and Scott 2000; Thomas et al. 2000; Atkinson et al. 2007). When genetically modified, males mate with wild females, sex-specific lethality results in inviable female progeny. Several genes and lethal mechanisms may be used with this system (Fortini et al. 1992; Alphey 2002). Population-replacement strategies have resulted in several anopheline species being genetically modified toward the disruption of *Plasmodium* transmission. *Anopheles stephensi* was transformed to express a peptide that blocked the majority of oocyte development (Ito et al. 2002). Other studies that have shown the ability of germline transformation to reduce or inhibit malaria transmission include the expression of venom phospholipase (Zieler et al. 2001; Ito et al. 2002; Moreira et al. 2002; Isaacs et al. 2011; Meredith et al. 2011). There are several gene-drive systems that include the use of selfish genetic elements like transposons (Burt 2003; Chen et al. 2007; Sethuraman et al. 2007), meiotic drive genes (Lyttle 1991), and homing endonuclease genes (HEGs) (Burt 2003; Deredec et al. 2008).

Transposable elements are able to move within a genome and increase their number (Scott et al. 2002). The spread of the P element in *Drosophila melanogaster* is an example of the spread of a transposon in a population (Kidwell 1992; Engels 1997). Although common in malaria vectors (Holt et al. 2002) and having been used in germline transformation (Catteruccia et al. 2000; Grossman et al. 2001; Perera et al. 2002), there have not been any studies showing their use as a gene-drive system in *Anopheles* vectors (Sinkins and Gould 2006).

Meiotic drive, usually in males, occurs when a heterozygous locus segregates at a greater-than-expected frequency (Lyttle 1991; Sinkins and Gould 2006) through various mechanisms. HEGs are selfish genetic elements naturally found in microbes (Burt and Koufopanou 2004; Stoddard 2005). They encode an endonuclease that recognizes and cleaves specific DNA sequences of ∼20–30 nucleotides that usually is present only once in the genome. This gene is inserted into the cleaved sequence. In a hetero-
zygous genome, the chromosome without the endonuclease gets cleaved and the broken chromosome gets repaired using the homolog containing the HEG thereby propagating itself in the genome. In principle, an HEG with a transgene cargo is able to cleave a highly conserved target gene and should be capable of population invasion from a low starting frequency (Deredec et al. 2008) with germline incorporation of an HEG transgene. HEGs may be used in two ways (Burt and Koufopanou 2004; Deredec et al. 2008), that is, an HEG can be engineered to recognize a specific nuclear gene/sequence where, on insertion, it would knockout the gene. An HEG construct may be engineered to recognize and insert into a repeat sequence on the X chromosome, be linked to meiosis-specific control sequences, and inserted on the Y chromosome. The HEG bearing Y would propagate in the population biasing the sex ration toward males (Galizi et al. 2014).

Multiple genetic control strategies are presently being investigated and some have successfully been tested in the field with other field tests ongoing.

Integrated Vector Management (IVM)

IVM is a rational decision-making process for the optimal use of resources for vector control (WHO 2004, 2011; Beier et al. 2008). This encompassing approach to prevent disease transmission relies on evidence-based decision making and aims to maximize the efficiency, cost effectiveness, ecological soundness, and sustainability of a disease vector program based on all available tools. Central to IVM is an understanding of the vector, the disease transmission cycle, the environment, and how the intervention strategy reduces man–vector contact, vector survival, and the intensity of disease transmission. The acceptability and safety of the strategies, as well as flexibility of the program, is vital to its success. The global strategic framework for IVM and the WHO handbook (WHO 1982, 2004) establish broad principles and approaches to vector control. Distinguishing factors of IVM include advocacy, social mobilization and legislation, collaboration within and outside the health sector, an integrated approach, evidence-based decision-making, and capacity building (WHO 2004; Beier et al. 2008). Success stories and various efforts in Africa include those in South Sudan (Chanda et al. 2013), Uganda (Mutero et al. 2012), Zambia (Chanda et al. 2008), among other countries (Alimi et al. 2015; Smith Gueye et al. 2016).

Although, at present, the full extent of IVM on malaria transmission is unknown, historical implementations of IVM-like strategies have shown significant effects against disease transmission across a wide range of transmission settings (Beier et al. 2008). Evidence suggests that IVM can complement present malaria intervention programs such as ITN use, by avoiding the dependence on single intervention methods (Killeen et al. 2000; McKenzie et al. 2002; Caldas de Castro et al. 2004; Beier et al. 2008; Mutero et al. 2012, 2015).

Following on from evidence-based IVM strategies, central to the development and success of any intervention be it a combination or a single tool, is the understanding of the local vector species with their bionomic characteristics. The manner in which vector populations respond to these interventions and insecticide-associated selection pressures is required to evaluate effectiveness. Control measures may be profoundly impacted by the development of physiological insecticide resistance (Ranson et al. 2011; Gatton et al. 2013; Strode et al. 2014) and behavioral resistance—the ability of a vector population to change its bionomic characteristics in response to an intervention (Taylor 1975; Reddy et al. 2011; Russell et al. 2011, 2013; Bayoh et al. 2014). Epidemiology and entomology studies as well as vector-control programs require a strategic understanding of key local vector characteristics, such as feeding preferences and insecticide resistance, while also distinguishing vectors and nonvectors within anopheline cryptic species complexes, beyond the level of morphology. The wrong associations of local vector species with behavioral traits impact interpretations of species distributions, insecticide resistance, host preference studies, trap efficacy, and even screening for malaria parasites (Stevenson et al. 2012; Lobo et al. 2014).
et al. 2015)—all of which influence the efficacy of an intervention.

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Present recommended vector-control strategies rely primarily on ITNs and IRS and have shown significant impact on malaria transmission. However, these alone may not be able to eliminate malaria and point to the requirement for additional tools, some of which are outlined in this review. Although, none of these tools present a “magic bullet,” their combination with other strategies may enhance local vector control strategies toward the elimination of malaria. The greatest opportunity for impact on elimination/eradication is the better understanding of susceptible vector bionomic traits that may be used for the implementation, development, and use of effective vector control tools. The development and validation of novel vector control tools (such as ATSBs and genetic modification), as well as new insecticides, are required to fill in gaps in protection and provide additional weapons in residual transmission settings where our current tools are inadequate. Combinations of interventions that target different aspects of a vector’s life cycle would be more efficacious and could enable the reduction of residual transmission. Other technologies—such as the use of satellite imagery—in combination with specific interventions can cater strategies to geographic requirements resulting in more efficacious interventions toward elimination.

The effectiveness of vector interventions toward elimination is dependent on local transmission dynamics that include nonvector factors such as access to health care, access to personal protective devices, and intervention distribution, human behavior, parasite species population dynamics, and drug resistance, among others. It is vital that a program aimed at geographic elimination or eradication incorporates both long-term feasibility and comprehensive primary stakeholder engagement (national, programmatic implementation, and research entities). Understanding and maximizing how various entities function in the intervention and elimination sphere and using entomological intelligence to design policies will allow for a larger effect on transmission. A systems approach should collate and analyze existing data characterizing malaria transmission dynamics while also identifying data gaps. Vital data should include entomological endpoints such as vector bionomics, epidemiological incidence and prevalence, human components that contribute to transmission such as migratory and travel patterns, and an evaluation of all stakeholder malaria-control efforts to include implementation and surveillance. This will allow the evaluation of vector intervention strategies in place, with a focus on their optimization while also examining the gaps in protection based on entomological bionomic data. Molecular analysis of Anopheles specimens will allow for a temporal characterization and association of bionomics with specific species—enabling the direct association of intervention efficacy with Anopheles species. Insecticide resistance tests will enable an indication of insecticide efficacy at the sites based on local interventions in place. Epidemiological interventions, such as mass drug administration, and other parasite-related activity should also be evaluated. Risk factors associated with human behaviors that affect transmission as well as local knowledge and practices must be examined. This systems analysis with associated filling of data gaps will enable the characterization of residual transmission: the optimization of present strategies as well as outlining possible tools that will fill gaps based on local transmission dynamics. As countries strive for malaria elimination, they must adopt proactive versus reactive strategies that will delay the onset of insecticide and/or behavioral resistance. Improved and sustained access to appropriate vector-control tools and strategies will be essential for the elimination and eradication of malaria.
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Malaria vectors have developed resistance to all classes of insecticides that are used to target the adult mosquito to prevent parasite transmission. The number of resistant mosquito populations has increased dramatically in recent years, most likely as a result of the scale-up of vector control activities, and the intensity of this resistance is increasing rapidly and compromising the performance of vector control tools. Bednets and indoor residual spray formulations containing alternative active ingredients have shown promise in field trials but are still several years away from implementation. As existing insecticides become less effective at killing mosquitoes in the countries with the highest burden of malaria, there is growing concern that the advances made in reducing malaria transmission will be eroded by insecticide resistance. The likelihood of this scenario, and strategies that may help mitigate against this, are reviewed below.

USE OF INSECTICIDES IN MALARIA CONTROL

Wide-scale implementation of tools to prevent malaria transmission by the mosquito vectors has achieved dramatic results across Africa. It is estimated that nearly half of the population at risk of malaria in Africa are protected by insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) and approximately 7% live in houses that have received indoor residual spraying (IRS). The scale-up in coverage with these preventative measures has contributed to an approximate halving of malaria mortality in Africa between 2000 and 2013 (WHO 2014a). Outside of Africa, the feeding patterns of malaria vectors mean that ITNs are generally less effective and, hence, usage patterns are lower. IRS is reportedly used in over half of the countries in the Americas and Asia that have ongoing malaria transmission (WHO 2014a).

Only pyrethroid insecticides are approved for bednet treatment and with hundreds of millions of ITNs in use today, this imposes a major selection pressure on mosquitoes. Until recently, the majority of IRS programs were also reliant on this insecticide class although an increasing number of countries are switching to organophosphates (principally primiphos-methyl) or, in some cases, carbamates (bendiocarb) in response to emerging pyrethroid resistance. The organochlorine, DDT, is also still used in some IRS programs in Africa and in India.
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THE EMERGENCE AND SPREAD OF RESISTANCE

Pyrethroid resistance was first detected in African malaria vectors in Sudan in the 1970s and later in West Africa in the early 1990s (Brown 1986; Elissa et al. 1993). These early instances of resistance were likely selected for by exposure of mosquitoes to pyrethroids used to protect agricultural crops against insect damage and pyrethroid resistance remained relatively rare until the end of the 20th century (Ranson and Lissenden 2016). But in recent years, reports of pyrethroid resistance in the major African malaria vectors have increased markedly and it is now becoming increasingly difficult to find a population of *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. that is fully susceptible to this insecticide class (Fig. 1). Pyrethroid resistance is also widespread in *Anopheles funestus* in southern Africa and resistance has been detected in West and East African populations of this vector species (Riveron et al. 2013; Mulamba et al. 2014).

DDT resistance is also prevalent across Africa. In *An. gambiae* s.s. and *An. coluzzi* cross resistance between DDT and pyrethroids, caused by alterations in the common target site of both insecticide classes, the voltage-gated sodium channel (known as *kdr* mutations or alleles), is common (Donnelly et al. 2009). Kdr is less common in *An. arabiensis* and absent in *An. funestus* but metabolic resistance can confer DDT resistance in both these species (see below).

Resistance to carbamates and organophosphates is also on the increase (Ranson and Lissenden 2016). Cross resistance between these insecticide classes can be caused by mutations in their shared target site. Of increasing concern is the emergence of mosquito populations that are resistant to all four classes of insecticide. This can arise when multiple resistance mechanisms are selected for in the same population (e.g., *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzi* in West Africa containing multiple target site resistance alleles have been reported [Dabire et al. 2008; Edi et al. 2012; Essandoh et al. 2013]) or when a single

![Figure 1](https://www.irmapper.com)
resistance mechanism can cause resistance to multiple insecticide classes (e.g., elevated activity of enzymes that detoxify pyrethroids, DDT, organophosphates, and/or carbamates [Mitchell et al. 2012; Edi et al. 2014]).

RESISTANCE MECHANISMS
Understanding the genetic basis of insecticide resistance can help elucidate patterns of cross resistance, as described above, and also lead to field-based diagnostics to track and manage resistance (Donnelly et al. 2015).

Target Site Resistance
The best-characterized resistance mechanisms are mutations in the insecticide target sites that reduce the binding of the toxicant. In An. gambiae, two alternative substitutions in the same codon of the voltage-gated sodium channel, the 1014F and 1014S kdr alleles, are now widely distributed across the continent (Donnelly et al. 2009; Djegbe et al. 2011). An additional mutation (N1575Y) in the same target site gene is present in West Africa but is only found in mosquitoes that contain the 1014F kdr mutation (Jones et al. 2012a). In vitro binding studies have shown that the 1575Y allele alone does not confer pyrethroid resistance but it acts synergistically with 1014F to confer a stronger level of resistance in mosquitoes with this double mutation (Wang et al. 2015). This example highlights a common pattern in the emergence of resistance—the overlaying of multiple resistance mutations, each of which serves to further increase the level of resistance of the individual to the toxicant.

Carbamates and organophosphates both target the acetylcholine esterase (AchE) enzyme, which is responsible for degrading the neurotransmitter acetylcholine in the nerve synapses. By inhibiting this enzyme, the insecticides cause a toxic level of acetylcholine to accumulate. A glycine to serine substitution in codon 119 of AchE is strongly associated with resistance to carbamates and/or organophosphates in some West African countries (Essandoh et al. 2013; Edi et al. 2014). The frequency of this mutation is increasing, which is of great concern, particularly in areas where these insecticide classes are replacing pyrethroids for IRS.

Metabolic Resistance
Metabolic resistance refers to the ability of the mosquito to detoxify the insecticide before it reaches the target site. Several enzyme families have been implicated in insecticide detoxification (Li et al. 2007), but the two families most strongly associated with resistance in malaria vectors are the glutathione transferases (GSTs) and the cytochrome P450s. Overexpression of, or amino acids substitutions in, the GSTE2 enzyme can confer DDT resistance in An. gambiae and An. funestus and molecular diagnostics have been used to demonstrate the association between genotype and phenotype at this locus (Ranson et al. 2001; Mitchell et al. 2014; Riveron et al. 2014).

Increased expression of multiple cytochrome P450s have been associated with pyrethroid resistance. Several Anopheles P450 enzymes have been expressed in vitro and their ability to metabolize pyrethroids demonstrated, with some also metabolizing other insecticide classes (Muller et al. 2008; Edi et al. 2014; Mitchell et al. 2014). Nevertheless, the genetic mechanism responsible for elevated P450 gene expression in mosquitoes has proved elusive so far. In An. funestus, there is strong genetic evidence to implicate a mutation in a regulatory element in the vicinity of the CYP9P9 genes (Riveron et al. 2013) and, thus, it is hopeful that a molecular diagnostic for this resistance mechanism will be available soon. The situation is less clear in An. gambiae s.l. Although genetic mapping, transcriptomic studies, and whole-genome sequencing have identified several strong candidates for P450-mediated resistance, they have so far failed to coalesce on a single major locus controlling the overexpression of P450s. This may indicate that P450-based resistance has emerged independently in multiple An. gambiae populations with different enzymes responsible for resistance in separate populations. Although the search for DNA markers for resistance continues (Donnelly et al. 2015),
robust qPCR diagnostic tools to detect the major pyrethroid-resistance-associated P450s are now available.

Reduced Penetration

Until recently, reduced penetration, sometimes referred to as cuticular resistance, was considered a minor, secondary resistance mechanism in mosquitoes. However, several indirect lines of evidence suggest this mechanism may be evolving in African malaria vectors. Measurements of the cuticle in *An. funestus* and *An. gambiae* have found a significant thickening in pyrethroid-resistant mosquitoes (Wood et al. 2010; Balabanidou et al. 2016) and a reduced uptake of pyrethroids has been demonstrated in a resistant *An. gambiae* strain (Balabanidou et al. 2016). Multiple genes implicated in cuticular hydrocarbon synthesis have been found elevated in pyrethroid-resistant strains of *Anopheles arabiensis* in Zanzibar (Jones et al. 2013) and *An. coluzzi* in Burkina Faso (Toe et al. 2015). Both of these populations have very high pyrethroid-resistance phenotypes, which cannot be attributed to other known resistance mechanisms alone. Two cytochrome P450s genes (CYP4G16 and CYP4G17) are elevated in these populations but these P450s do not metabolize insecticides. Instead CYP4G16, and its ortholog in *Drosophila melanogaster* CYP4G1, catalyzes a critical step in the production of CHCs (Qiu et al. 2012; Balabanidou et al. 2016). Finally, several members of the ABC transporter family belonging to a subfamily that, in other insects, has been implicated in transport of lipids to the cuticle are elevated in insecticide-resistant populations of *An. gambiae* (Broehan et al. 2013; Jones et al. 2013). Ongoing work measuring insecticide uptake rates and cuticle composition will hopefully clarify the importance of reduced penetration in insecticide resistance in malaria vectors.

Behavioral Resistance

Increased use of insecticides in the domestic environment may be selecting for genetic changes in the behavior of malaria vectors that increase the rates of outdoor feeding and/or resting, making them less amenable to control by ITNs or IRS. Outdoor transmission is a major obstacle to effective vector control in South East Asia and South America (Santos et al. 2009; Gryseels et al. 2015). In Africa, outdoor transmission has previously been thought to account for only a very small percentage of malaria cases and it is clear that, even in countries that have had sustained coverage with ITNs over many years, the majority of malaria transmission still occurs indoors (Bayoh et al. 2014). However, if African malaria vectors do change their behavior as a result of intensive indoor use of insecticide the implications for malaria control could be catastrophic (Gatton et al. 2013; WHO 2014b). This is clearly something that needs careful monitoring.

LIMITATIONS IN INSECTICIDE-RESISTANCE MONITORING

Understanding the extent and magnitude of resistance in the local vector population is an essential requirement for the design and implementation of effective resistance management strategies. Yet, less than half the 96 countries reporting the use of ITNs and IRS for malaria control, were able to produce any data on resistance for the previous year (WHO 2014a), suggesting monitoring is intermittent at best. However, before criticizing programs for the lack of data on resistance, it is worth reflecting on the utility of the data routinely collected for evidence-based decision making in malaria control.

The vast majority of insecticide resistance monitoring, including the data in the map in Figure 1, relies entirely on the use of discriminating dose bioassays using World Health Organization tube assays or, in a small number of cases, CDC bottle bioassays. Data are reported as percentage mortality and a threshold of less than 90% mortality is used to define resistance (WHO 2013). This standardized methodology is useful for tracking the spread of resistance but does not provide information on the strength of this resistance or its impact. It is not uncommon to find close to zero mortality after exposure of the main African malaria vectors, *An. gambiae*
An. funestus, to the discriminating dose of pyrethroids but simply collating data on the prevalence of resistance may mask important changes in the strength of this resistance. For example, 3 years of monitoring insecticide resistance in An. gambiae from Vallé de Kou in Southwest Burkina Faso using discriminating dose assays showed no significant difference in percentage mortality between the years, but when a more quantitative measure was used to assess the strength of this resistance, resistance was found to have increased 10× in a single year (Toe et al. 2014).

Several bioassays that measure the strength of resistance have been described and are compared in a recent publication (Bagi et al. 2015). A consensus on the most suitable methodology would facilitate geographic comparisons and also aid evaluations of the efficacy of resistance-management strategies. However, measuring the intensity of resistance is only the first step. As discussed below, agreement is also needed on what level of resistance has an operational impact. In the agricultural sector, definitions of operationally significant resistance are often related to the field dose of insecticide by dividing the insecticide concentration required to achieve 50% mortality (LC\textsubscript{50}) by the field dose (Zimmer and Nauen 2011). This is not so straightforward in vector control as formulation issues can have a major impact on the bioavailability of insecticide making the field dose difficult to determine. Furthermore, as discussed below, to assess the public health impact of resistance, we are not only interested in whether or not a mosquito survives exposure to insecticide, but also how this exposure impacts the vectorial capacity. The disconnect between the data generated by resistance monitoring and the data needed to assess the impact of this resistance on malaria control activities must be addressed if this information is going to be used to drive decision making.

IMPACT OF RESISTANCE ON VECTOR CONTROL

The data shown in Figure 1 provide a snapshot of the location of known pyrethroid-resistant malaria vectors in Africa. From the limited number of studies assessing the strength of resistance it is clear that pyrethroid-resistance levels have reached extremely high levels in some sites, with LC\textsubscript{50}s 100- or even 1000-fold higher than standard laboratory susceptible strains being reported (Edi et al. 2012; Mawejje et al. 2013; Toe et al. 2014). However, the evidence for the impact of this resistance on current control activities is less clear. Part of the reasons for this uncertainty is methodological. As discussed above, the use of discriminating dose assays, which bear no resemblance to the application rates and measure resistance prevalence rather than intensity makes correlations between bioassays and control failure difficult to infer. Inclusion of cone bioassays, in which mosquitoes are exposed directly to a sprayed surface or an ITN can partially help fill these gaps and several studies have now shown that the level of resistance in the field is sufficient to compromise the performance of currently available ITNs (Ochomo et al. 2013; Toe et al. 2014). It is important that these cone bioassays are not just performed on freshly sprayed surfaces or new ITNs as the public health impact of resistance is most likely to manifest itself as insecticide rates decay (Churcher et al. 2016). Studies in Burkina Faso and Kenya both found ITNs that had been in use for a year or more in the field were much less effective at killing resistant mosquitoes than new nets. (Toe et al. 2014; Wanjala et al. 2015).

Experimental Hut Studies Have Been Used to Demonstrate Reduced Personal Protection from ITNs in Areas of Resistance

One of the first such studies was conducted in Benin, in an era when the malaria vectors in the North of the country were still fully susceptible to insecticides but in the South the majority of the vectors were resistant to pyrethroids. Using experimental huts containing volunteers sleeping under holed nets, the study found that ITNs reduced blood-feeding by 96% at the Northern site with susceptible vectors, but had almost no impact in the Southern site with high levels of pyrethroid resistance (N’Guessan et al. 2007). Furthermore the mortality of mosquitoes enter-
ing huts at the susceptible site was nearly three times as high as that at the site with high levels of pyrethroid resistance. This was followed up with household studies in 2007, which showed that sleeping under an ITN was no more protective than sleeping under an untreated net in areas with high pyrethroid resistance (Asidi et al. 2012).

It is important to remember that ITNs work by providing both personal protection to users and community protection to non-ITN users and, assuming the ITNs are of good physical quality, it is the latter effect that is most likely to be reduced as resistance increases (Thomas and Read, 2016). Experimental hut studies can measure how personal protection is impacted by resistance (see, for example, Strode et al. 2014) but modeling approaches are needed to extrapolate from this to assess the public health impact of resistance on the entire community. Using a meta-analysis of experimental hut data and a mathematical model of malaria transmission Churcher found that personal protection remained substantial until pyrethroid resistance reached high levels in the population however, the community protection dissipated much more rapidly as resistance increased (Churcher et al. 2016). Although ITN use has increased greatly in recent years, most countries are still a long way from universal coverage and use of ITNs. It is, therefore, critical that the impact of resistance on both ITN used and nonusers is considered when measuring the public health impact of resistance.

In addition, it is important that models of malaria transmission also consider other possible impacts of the resistance phenotype, the majority of which are woefully under studied. Most assays record mortality 24-h post-exposure as the end point; there is very little data on the long-term impact on the mosquito after surviving exposure to insecticides. Yet to quantify the public health impact of insecticide resistance, it is necessary to measure the impact of resistance on all traits that impact the ability of the mosquito to transmit the parasite. As an example, a recent laboratory study found that exposure to ITNs reduced the mean lifespan of adult mosquitoes. Including this delayed mortality in models of malaria transmission suggested that ITNs would still dramatically reduce the malaria transmission potential even in areas where the mosquito population was largely resistant to the immediate killing effect of the pyrethroids (Viana et al. 2016). Furthermore, it is already established that older mosquitoes are less resistant than their younger counterparts (on which bioassays are routinely conducted). If resistance declines with mosquito age, to the extent that mosquitoes old enough to transmit malaria are still killed by field doses of insecticide, the impact of resistance will again be diminished (Lines and Nassor 1991; Jones et al. 2012b). Other studies have found that exposure of insecticide-resistant mosquitoes to ITNs reduces the likelihood of parasite development (Kristan et al. 2016). These are all important parameters that must be investigated and quantified. However, it is already abundantly clear that, although these factors may possibly reduce the impact of resistance, they will not remove the threat altogether. In Kenya (Ochomo et al. 2013) and Benin (Gnanguenon et al. 2013), mosquitoes with fully developed sporozoites have been found resting inside ITNs, clearly unperturbed by the presence of the insecticide.

The epidemiological impact of resistance will be influenced by a large number of non-vector factors (e.g., efficacy of case management approaches, drug resistance, etc.). Thus, it is complex to attribute an effect directly to insecticide resistance, and even harder to extrapolate between different ecological settings. Longitudinal studies, with accurate records of malaria transmission and resistance levels, afford one of the best chances of demonstrating the impact of resistance. The most widely cited evidence for the impact of resistance comes from such a study in KwaZulu Natal, which demonstrated a correlation between the emergence of pyrethroid resistance and a spike in malaria cases, which was later contained by the reintroduction of DDT (Barnes et al. 2005). More recently, a similar conclusion was reached in Senegal, where reduction in ITN efficacy was attributed to resistance, although the absence of longitudinal resistance data makes this conclusion difficult to validate (Trape et al. 2011). Unfortunately, the opportunity for initiating new
studies of this nature, at least for pyrethroid resistance in Africa, may have passed, unless good historical data sets already exist.

Indirect evidence that insecticide resistance is impacting on malaria transmission can be obtained from retrospective analysis in countries that have changed insecticide class in IRS programs (usually either in response to reports of resistance or increases in malaria cases) and seen an improvement in control. As an example, DDT and pyrethroids were being used for IRS in Uganda, despite the known presence of resistance. When these insecticides were replaced with bendiocarb, a marked improvement in slide positivity rates was observed (Kigozi et al. 2012). Similarly, in Ghana, pyrethroid resistance triggered a switch to use of the organophosphate insecticide Actellic (primiphos-methyl) for IRS, which was associated with a noticeable impact on key indicators (Fig. 2) (President’s Malaria Initiative 2015).

RESISTANCE-MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES
Although there are many challenges to definitively linking insecticide resistance with increased malaria transmission most stakeholders remain in no doubt that if the selection pressure on malaria mosquitoes is allowed to continue unchecked, resistance will eventually result in the failure of existing tools. In 2012, WHO published the Global Plan for Insecticide Resistance Management (GPIRM) (WHO 2012). This document outlined a number of strategies designed to prevent this scenario becoming a reality and provided guidelines to vector control programs on how best to respond to the presence of resistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>% RTD positive</th>
<th>% Children with fever</th>
<th>% Children with asexual parasitemia</th>
<th>% Children with anemia (Hb &lt;11g/dL)</th>
<th>Entomological inoculation rate in district (bites/person/night)</th>
<th>Parity (% of mosquitoes that were pregnant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010 (Pre-IRS)</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011 (Pyrethroids)</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>66.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 (Pyrethroids)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 (Organophosphates)</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>20.06</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Improvement in malaria indicators in Bunkpurugu-Yunyoo, Ghana between 2010 and 2013 after a switch in insecticide class from pyrethroids to carbamates for indoor residual spraying. (Source: President’s Malaria Initiative 2015.) RTD, Rapid diagnostic test; IRS, indoor residual spraying.
Resistance-management strategies for programs reliant on ITNs are clearly very limited given that WHO recommends universal coverage with bednets (WHO 2014c) and yet there are currently no alternative insecticides to pyrethroids for net impregnation. Some net manufacturers have introduced new nets to the market that contain pyrethroids, plus the synergist piperonyl butoxide (PBO): PermaNet 3.0, is a mosaic long-lasting insecticide treated net with PBO on the roof of the net and deltamethrin on the sides, while Olyset Plus has PBO and permethrin combined throughout the net. Both of these ITNs have interim approval from the WHO pesticide evaluation scheme (WHOPES) as conventional LLINs and the Vector Control Advisory Group (VCAG) have supported the PermaNet 3.0 manufacturers’ claim that this net has increased bioefficacy against mosquitoes that have developed metabolic resistance to insecticides (WHO 2014d). Despite this, these LLINs are not in widespread use against resistant populations and instead WHO recommends pilot implementations only (WHO 2015a).

Bednets containing alternative insecticides with new modes of action that differ to the currently available adulticides are under evaluation in the field. Interceptor G2, from BASF, contains the slow acting insecticide chlorfenapyr and the pyrethroid alphacypermethrin and is currently being reviewed by WHOPES (WHO 2015b). Olyset Duo, from Sumitomo Chemicals, contains permethrin and an insect growth regulator, pyriproxifen. This is also undergoing WHOPES evaluation and, as the idea of sterilizing the resistant mosquitoes that survive contact with the bi-treated net is a novel paradigm, this net is being evaluated in a clinical trial in Burkina Faso (Tiono et al. 2015). It is anticipated that one or both of these new nets with novel modes of action will be on the market by 2017–2018.

For malaria control programs using IRS, it should theoretically be possible to manage insecticide resistance by careful preplanned rotation of insecticide classes with different modes of action (i.e., alternating between DDT or pyrethroids and carbamates or organophosphates). This can be effective if implemented in a proactive manner to prevent the emergence of resistance. However, in reality, rotation of insecticide class is usually triggered by reports of resistance or perceived failures of the current product (e.g., increases in malaria cases, increased reports of mosquitoes inside homes). If resistance to one or more classes of insecticide to be used in rotation has already developed, effective resistance management will only be achieved if resistance has a fitness cost. In the worst-case scenario, the end result may be a more rapid selection of resistance to both chemicals. Little is known about the fitness costs of different resistance mechanisms in mosquitoes and this raises questions over the long-term efficacy of current IRM strategies. Furthermore, simply changing insecticide class may not be sufficient to relieve the selection pressure on the mosquito. Three of the four insecticide classes used in public health are widely used in agriculture and hence mosquitoes may be continually exposed to low doses of these chemicals even if their use in vector control is suspended. For programs including pyrethroids in their IRS rotations, the reality of continued selection pressure from ITNs and the use of coils and pyrethroid-based repellents and aerosols must be factored in. Finally, the possibility that increased expression of a single enzyme could render three or more insecticide classes obsolete is obviously a major impediment to even the best-planned IRM strategies (Edi et al. 2014).

As with ITNs, new products for IRS are under development. However, for these to be implemented in the timeframe necessary to prevent resistance from derailing malaria control, a more coordinated approach to their evaluation, regulation, and to the production of guidelines on when and where they should be deployed is essential (Hemingway et al. 2016).

**FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

It is vital that the lessons learned from the current resistance crisis are used to inform future insecticide development and deployment. This will be dependent on the coordinated action of a wide range of stakeholders (Hemingway et al.
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and will also require brave decisions to be made to ensure the stewardship of these new products. The introduction of a single new insecticide into the market place would provide a very short-term solution and inevitably lead to the same issues now being faced with pyrethroid resistance. Selection pressure might be reduced if this product was not widely used in agriculture but, if this new insecticide met the desired criteria (reviewed in Vontas et al. 2014), it would likely be used at scale very rapidly, exerting intense selection pressure on mosquito populations. Resistance-management strategies, including plans for monitoring, recording, and sharing data on resistance evolution, must be planned from the outset and should be evidence-based.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

With resistance to one or more insecticides in African malaria vectors now becoming the norm, rather than the exception, it is time to switch the emphasis from simply describing the problem to providing effective, practical solutions. This includes revisiting the way we monitor for resistance to provide information that is of more direct value to implementers and funders, providing a more robust set of evidence on the current and projected impact of resistance to aid in planning and budgeting malaria control activities, evaluating current options to tackle resistance, and, finally, synthesizing lessons learned to develop guidelines for the effective stewardship of new insecticide products as they are introduced into the market. It may be too late to preserve the pyrethroids for future generations but now is the time to start planning evidence-based insecticide-resistance-management strategies for new public health insecticides.
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Plasmodium sporozoite transmission is a critical population bottleneck in parasite life-cycle progression and, hence, a target for prophylactic drugs and vaccines. The recent progress of a candidate antisporozoite subunit vaccine formulation to licensure highlights the importance of sporozoite transmission intervention in the malaria control portfolio. Sporozoites colonize mosquito salivary glands, migrate through the skin, penetrate blood vessels, breach the liver sinusoid, and invade hepatocytes. Understanding the molecular and cellular mechanisms that mediate the remarkable sporozoite journey in the invertebrate vector and the vertebrate host can inform evidence-based next-generation drug development programs and immune intervention strategies.

Malaria-related pathology is exclusively caused by asexual parasite propagation inside vertebrate erythrocytes, rendering the life-cycle phases preceding blood infection a prime window of opportunity for targeted prophylactic interventions. The time from an infectious Anopheles bite to the occurrence of the first parasites in the peripheral blood, the so-called prepatent period, reflects the obligate preerythrocytic tissue phase that leads to a dramatic expansion of the Plasmodium population from a tiny sporozoite inoculum. This phase is clinically silent and remains diagnostically inaccessible. Intriguingly, phylogenetic placement of mammalian Plasmodium parasites suggests that asexual blood replication is the exception rather than the rule (Perkins 2014). Closely related taxa, including Hepato-
cystis and Nycteris, are nonpathogenic, and first-generation tissue merozoites do not replicate asexually inside erythrocytes. Instead, these parasites differentiate straight into microgametes and macrogametes. In any case, successful completion of the obligate preerythrocytic development is most critical for host colonization, propagation of parasite populations, and onward transmission. Despite the recent awareness of the central importance of sporozoite-based interventions for malaria control many fundamental knowledge gaps remain and a broader molecular, cellular, and immunological understanding of sporozoite–host cross talk is urgently needed. Here, we present an overview of the foundation, recent discoveries, and challenges in Plasmodium sporozoite biology.
THE NATURAL HISTORY OF SPOROZOITES

*Plasmodium* sporogony, an extracellular phase of asexual replication, occurs in *Anopheles* mosquitoes, although some species of lizard plasmodia, for instance *Plasmodium mexicanum*, can also be transmitted by sand flies (Ayala 1971). The onset of sporogony is marked when ookinetes settle after their journey from the blood meal across the midgut epithelium. They transform and develop into oocysts underneath the basal lamina surrounding the digestive organ of *Anopheles* mosquitoes (Beier 1998). Curiously, the distribution of oocysts can differ in mosquitoes depending on the insect’s ability to agglutinate blood. In mosquitoes that agglutinate blood, parasites settle at the bottom of the digestive organ following the force of gravity, whereas in those who do not, for example, in *Anopheles stephensi*, they distribute randomly (Shortt 1948; Kan et al. 2014). Within the oocysts, sporozoites develop over the course of 10 days to 4 weeks depending on the *Plasmodium* species and ambient temperature before they emerge into the circulatory fluid of the insect, the hemolymph (Figs. 1 and 2). They are crescent-shaped, 8- to 14-μm long, and display substrate-dependent locomotion known as gliding motility (Figs. 3 and 4). Within the hemolymph, sporozoites are transported throughout the body cavity but seem to specifically interact with and enter into salivary glands, crossing the basal lamina surrounding this organ and passing through the saliva-producing acinar cells (Sterling et al. 1973; Pimenta et al. 1994; Douglas et al. 2015). Sporozoites accumulate in the salivary cavities from where they can also move into the narrow salivary ducts that connect to the proboscis. Sporozoites are considered mature once they reach the salivary cavities, but experimental infections with sporozoites liberated from oocysts or collected from the hemolymph can initiate a malaria episode (Shute 1943; Vanderberg 1975; Sato et al. 2014). During an infectious bite, the mosquito ejects saliva and with it a small fraction of the sporozoites in the gland. Most sporozoites are deposited in the dermis of the host during the probing phase when the mosquito searches for a blood vessel to puncture (Sidjanski and Vanderberg 1997; Matsuoka et al. 2002; Vanderberg and Frevert 2004; Amino et al. 2006). Once the mosquito sucks up blood, sporozoites that continue to be ejected with the saliva appear to be largely reingested into the mosquito midgut by the stronger flow and, thus, are lost for transmission (Kebaier and Vanderberg 2006). Sporozoites in the dermis can actively migrate at high-speed passing through several skin cells and

Figure 1. Sporozoite formation within the oocyst. Transmission electron micrograph showing *Plasmodium berghei* sporozoites budding from sporoblasts 10 days after the uptake of parasites by the mosquito. On the right image, some selected structures are highlighted. Blue, plasma membrane; yellow, inner membrane complex; green, microtubules; magenta, nascent rhoptries; cyan, nascent micronemes; brown, rootlet fiber; light blue, nucleus. The image is 4 μm wide. (Image courtesy of Mirko Singer and Stefan Hillmer.)
enter blood or lymphatic vessels (Amino et al. 2006, 2008). Sporozoites of plasmodia that infect birds and lizards can enter into and differentiate within phagocytic cells of the reticuloendothelial system, including within the skin. Sporozoites of plasmodia that infect mammals need to enter the bloodstream to be transported to the liver, in which they specifically differentiate in hepatocytes. To access this niche sporozoites arrest in the sinusoids of the liver and pass through endothelial cells or Kupffer cells, liver resident macrophages, to gain access to the underlying hepatocytes (Baer et al. 2007; Tavares et al. 2013). Here again, they usually migrate through a few hepatocytes before settling in one for differentiation into thousands of red blood cell–invading merozoites (Mota et al. 2001; Prudêncio et al. 2006). Hence, sporozoites are the most versatile of Plasmodium stages because they are formed in the invertebrate host to eventually differentiate in the vertebrate host. On their journey, sporozoites undergo massive changes in their proteomic makeup, migrate actively through different cells in different tissues, and use the circulatory fluid of vector and host for reaching their intermediate (salivary gland) and final (hepatocyte) destination. It is no surprise then that the sporozoite is as exciting and enigmatic a parasite stage to study with still plenty of scope for fundamental discovery.

THE IMMUNE-DOMINANT SPOROZOITE ANTIGEN: CSP

The circumsporozoite precipitation test was the first antigen assay that permitted a correlation of antisporozoite antibody titers with sterile protection in a volunteer that was immunized with high doses of Plasmodium falciparum–infected irradiated mosquitoes (Clyde et al. 1973). In this test, sporozoite surface antigens were broadly precipitated and the major protein was termed circumsporozoite protein (CSP). CSP is thought to be secreted at the anterior sporozoite tip, translocated backward to the anterior end, and abundantly shed into the microenvironment (Stewart and Vanderberg 1991). CSP was among the first cloned and sequenced Plasmodium antigens (Dame et al. 1984; Enea et al. 1984) and is structurally similar in different Plasmodium species (Sinnis and Nardin 2002). CSP is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored membrane protein and contains two conserved regions flanking a central repeat region of various lengths.
A formulation that contains a fusion protein of the *P. falciparum* CSP carboxyl terminus, including 19 repeats, the hepatitis B surface (S) antigen, and the very strong, investigational adjuvant AS01, termed RTS,S/AS01, is the first advanced candidate antimalaria vaccine (Cohen et al. 2010). The recent completion of the first multicenter phase III antimalaria vaccine trial in sub-Saharan Africa (RTS,S Clinical Trials Partnership 2015) highlighted the reputation of targeting sporozoite transmission on a population level. Among the aims of the RTS,S/AS01 vaccine and improved formulations was to elicit a strong, nonnatural immune response against sporozoites, which is typically not acquired during natural transmission in endemic areas (Offeddu et al. 2012).

As predicted for a stage-specific major surface protein, targeted deletion of *Plasmodium berghei* CSP did not affect blood infection but aborted sporozoite formation, yielding vacuolated oocysts (Ménard et al. 1997). This was further confirmed by down-regulation of CSP, which resulted in aberrant development of oocyst membranes and stumpy, noninfectious sporozoites (Thathy et al. 2002). The amino-terminal region I apparently mediates sporozoite invasion of invertebrate and mammalian host cells (Sidjanski et al. 1997; Aldrich et al. 2012). Region I and a carboxy-terminal region II bind...
heparin-sulfate proteoglycans on the surface of liver cells (Cerami et al. 1992; Frevert et al. 1993). On the developmental switch from cell traversal to invasion CSP is proteolytically cleaved thereby exposing region II, indicating that this region is important for liver colonization and covered throughout most of the sporozoite journey to prevent premature cell invasion (Coppi et al. 2011). Proteolytic processing of CSP can be targeted by protective antibodies (Espinosa et al. 2015), indicating that RTS,S/AS01 might be considerably improved by replacing the truncated antigen with full length CSP.

An attractive path for in vivo testing of CSP-based vaccines was the generation of transgenic *P. berghei* parasites that harbor a CSP from human-infecting parasites. This proved difficult for PfCSP, because complemented *P. berghei* parasites are severely impaired in salivary gland colonization and yield only very few and impaired sporozoites for transmission experiments (Tewari et al. 2002). However, *P. berghei* parasites harboring a chimeric CSP containing the repeat region from *P. falciparum* or *Plasmodium vivax* CSP (Persson et al. 2002; Espinosa et al. 2013) and, more recently, complemented *Plasmodium yoelii* sporozoites (Zhang et al. 2016) can be used in robust infection models. Naturally acquired antispore antiadhesion antibody responses are

**Figure 4.** Sporozoite motility in vitro. (A) Three sporozoites move in a circular fashion with their apical end leading (red arrowheads) at speeds above 1 μm/sec. Their near-perfect circular trajectory is revealed by the maximum intensity projection (0–60). Time between images is indicated in seconds. Scale bar, 10 μm. (B) Reflection interference contrast microscopy reveals the contact points between sporozoites and substrate (dark areas). Arrowheads point to the same positions of a migrating sporozoite. Note that at these positions the dark and bright areas appear and disappear highlighting the dynamic adhesion to the substrate. Time between images is indicated in seconds. Scale bar, 10 μm. (C) Schematic of the sporozoite pellicle with a focus on the core gliding motility machinery.
almost exclusively directed against CSP repeats but a potential association with immunity against malaria in children and adults remains elusive (Offeddu et al. 2012). This remarkable immunodominance could well be a mechanism of immune evasion (Schofield 1990) and could explain the modest efficacy of the RTS,S/AS01 vaccine (RTS,S Clinical Trials Partnership 2015). Hence, deciphering the cellular and immunological functions of CSP in parasite–host interactions and discovering additional protective sporozoite antigens remain research priorities (Hafalla et al. 2011, 2013).

**SPOROZOITE GLIDING MOTILITY, TRAP FAMILY ADHESINS, AND ACTIN FILAMENTS**

Sporozoites need to be motile to succeed in their arduous journey. Evidence suggests that sporozoites actively exit the oocysts, but the requirement of motility has not been formally shown. Several proteins, including CSP and egress cysteine protease 1 (ECP1) (Aly and Matuschewski 2005; Wang et al. 2005), function in sporozoite egress indicating an active participation by the sporozoites, but liberation might be further aided by oocyst rupture. Subsequently, sporozoites need to actively migrate to enter salivary glands. Inside the salivary glands, most sporozoites stop moving and aggregate within the salivary cavities, whereas a few move slowly down the salivary ducts (Frischknecht et al. 2004). Once in the dermis, sporozoites move at average speeds between 1 and 2 μm/sec, about 10 times as fast as neutrophils migrate (Amino et al. 2006). Without this motility, sporozoites are stuck in the skin and hosts remain largely uninfected after mosquito bites (Montagna et al. 2012a). After entering blood vessels, sporozoites use their motility to infect the liver, although they can efficiently accomplish this with much diminished migration capacity (Montagna et al. 2012a).

To move, sporozoites need to attach to a substrate and generate a force against this substrate. Furthermore, they must continuously generate new substrate attachment sites and turn them over (Fig. 4B). How this is all achieved is not yet understood completely but a complex picture emerges; plasma–membrane-spanning proteins of the thrombospondin-related anonymous protein (TRAP) family, actin filaments, and actin-binding proteins including the motor protein myosin and a complex of myosin anchoring proteins form a transient motor complex that integrates signaling proteins and molecules, such as calcium and possibly cAMP and cGMP (Ono et al. 2008; Montagna et al. 2012b; Carey et al. 2014; Lakshmanan et al. 2015).

The gene coding for TRAP was the first gene to be deleted from the parasite genome that revealed an effect in parasite motility (Sultan et al. 1997). TRAP is specifically expressed in sporozoites and trap− sporozoites can neither move continuously nor enter into salivary glands, resulting in a complete life-cycle arrest just before sporozoite transmission. Curiously, trap− sporozoites still attach to substrates and move back and forth over single adhesion sites (Münter et al. 2009). It thus appears that TRAP plays a role in generating directional migration, although precisely how it does this is currently not understood. Parasites expressing TRAP with point mutations in conserved residues of the cytoplasmic tail also show aberrant motility suggesting that TRAP links extracellular interactions with the interior to modulate motility (Kappe et al. 1999). Subtle mutations in the extracellular domains of TRAP that mediate adhesion to the substrate lead to no effect on gliding motility of sporozoites but abrogate sporozoite invasion into salivary glands and liver cells (Matuschewski et al. 2002a). Notably, replacement of *P. berghei* TRAP with the *P. falciparum* ortholog affects gliding motility and partly reproduces the defects observed in trap− sporozoites (Wengelnik et al. 1999). This finding is in agreement with species-specific signaling roles during motility, as suggested by structural studies of the adhesive domains (Song et al. 2012).

TRAP is the founding member of a larger family of TRAP-like adhesins, and sporozoites express two additional members, TRAP-like protein (TLP) and TREP/S6/UOS3. Ablation of these genes revealed a major function for
TREP during sporozoite invasion of salivary glands (Combe et al. 2009; Steinbüchel and Matuschewski 2009) and a role for TLP during migration in the skin (Moreira et al. 2008; Hegge et al. 2010a; Hellmann et al. 2011). Replacing the cytoplasmic tail of TRAP with that of TLP showed that sporozoites expressing this hybrid protein could still move, albeit not as efficiently as wild-type sporozoites (Heiss et al. 2008). This result suggests that the cytoplasmic tails of TRAP and TLP bind to the same protein(s) but possibly with different affinities. TRAP family proteins are trafficked to and stored within micronemes and released to the surface on activation of sporozoites (Gantt et al. 2000; Carey et al. 2014). Sporozoites can be activated by serum albumin (Vanderberg 1974) or small ligands (Perschmann et al. 2011), which leads to an increase in intracellular calcium followed by exocytosis of TRAP (Carey et al. 2014). Strikingly, only a small percentage of the total amount of TRAP family proteins is on the surface of activated sporozoites (Gantt et al. 2000). This scarceness of TRAP family proteins makes investigation of their role in motility using classic GFP tagging and microscopy localization approaches a formidable challenge.

Actin filaments are moved by myosin motors in most examined cells and such is the case also in *Plasmodium*. Myosin is anchored to the inner membrane complex (IMC), a flattened organelle that subtends the plasma membrane of apicomplexan parasites at a close distance of ~30 nm in sporozoites (Fig. 4C). Myosin is likely also connected across the IMC to the subpellicular network, which gives the sporozoite its shape (Khater et al. 2004; Kudryashev et al. 2012). The subpellicular network can be considered to be a rigid structure that provides a counterforce for the moving myosin. Thus, myosin can push actin filaments toward the back of a sporozoite, and when TRAP family adhesins are connected to the filaments the adhesins are pushed back simultaneously. This retrograde flow can be indirectly seen by the motion of tissue debris or small microspheres attached to activated sporozoites (Münter et al. 2009; Quadt et al. 2016). When sporozoites are attached to a rigid substrate they move forward by the same mechanism of force and counterforce.

Yet, actin filaments are elusive structures in sporozoites. Unlike actin in metazoan cells, which forms long filaments, *Plasmodium* actin filaments are short and feature a highly dynamic turnover (Schmitz et al. 2005; Schüler et al. 2005). This dynamic turnover is mediated by a number of actin-binding proteins (Sattler et al. 2011) and is also built into the structure of *Plasmodium* actin itself (Skillman et al. 2011; Vahokoski et al. 2014). Again, reverse genetic analysis has revealed some insight into which proteins are important in regulating actin dynamics in sporozoites. For example, sporozoites lacking a subunit of the heterodimeric actin filament capping protein (CP) moved in a similar fashion as *trap*− sporozoites and also failed to enter salivary glands (Ganter et al. 2009). The resulting life-cycle arrest could only be rescued by both orthologous *P. falciparum* CP subunits, indicating distinct species-specific adaptations of microfilament regulation in sporozoites (Ganter et al. 2015). One basic open question is how actin filaments are oriented in the sporozoite. For directed forward motility, it is generally envisaged that actin filaments are aligned along the parasite long axis so that myosin motors can move them backward. The phenotypic back-and-forth movements of *trap*− and *cp*− sporozoites suggest that in these parasites the actin filaments might not be properly oriented thus leading to aberrant movement, but without direct visualization of the filaments this hypothesis remains conjecture.

Even if we were to understand the interplay between TRAP family adhesins and actin, the process of movement likely involves more players. TRAP itself is likely cleaved by an intramembrane protease of the rhomboid family and this cleavage is important to achieve continuous migration (Ejigiri et al. 2012). Moreover, an inhibitor of cysteine proteases is necessary for sporozoite motility, and *icp*− sporozoites reproduce the life-cycle arrest observed in *trap*− infected mosquitoes (Boysen and Matuschewski 2013; Lehmann et al. 2014).
Several *Plasmodium*-specific membrane proteins that do not belong to the TRAP family of adhesins, including SIAP-1/ag17/S5, S23/SSP3, PCRMP3, and PCRMP4, play critical roles in sporozoite transmission (Engelmann et al. 2009; Douradinha et al. 2011; Harupa et al. 2014), indicative of a complex and nonredundant protein network that mediates sporozoite–host interactions. Deletion of the small heat shock protein HSP20 also slowed motility, which showed the essential role of sporozoite migration in the skin, as *hsp20* parasites still entered the salivary gland but moved at very low speed and could not migrate efficiently through the dermis (Montagna et al. 2012a,c). Considering the numbers of unexplored proteins present in sporozoites (Matuschewski et al. 2002b; Kaiser et al. 2004; Lasonder et al. 2008; Lindner et al. 2013a), it is likely that many of these will be important for migration, moving a complete understanding of this crucial and fascinating process a few decades into the future.

**IN AND OUT OF CELLS: FROM GLIDING TO INVASION**

During sporozoite migration the parasites need to pass through cells of the hosts to cross the barriers constituted by the salivary gland, the skin, and the liver (Fig. 5) (see online Movie 1 at perspectivesinmedicine.cshlp.org). To do so, the sporozoite uses CSP, TRAP, and other proteins that recognize target cells and allow sporozoites to pass them (Ishino et al. 2004, 2005). The salivary gland surface is likely initially recognized by MAEBL (Kariu et al. 2002; Saenz et al. 2008). Thereafter, TRAP interacts specifically with the mosquito protein saglin to allow entry into the glands (Ghosh et al. 2009), a process so far only observed by electron microscopy (Sterling et al. 1973; Pimenta et al. 1994). These studies suggest that sporozoites form a tight junction with the acinar cells of the salivary gland on their way in and curiously “bud” out from the cells at the apical end facing the salivary cavities such that they are initially surrounded by a host cell membrane (Pimenta et al. 1994). How they shed this membrane and arrange in large nonmotile aggregates is not clear. Once in the skin, sporozoites pass through dermal cells by breaching their membranes as revealed by in vivo imaging of migrating sporozoites injected into mice along with a cell-wounding marker (Formaglio et al. 2014). This wounding phenomenon is clearly associated with the capacity of the sporozoite to migrate over long distances in the dermis because ablation of genes preventing cell wounding inhibits intradermal motility (Bhanot et al. 2005; Amino et al. 2008; Risco-Castillo et al. 2015).

It is currently not clear whether sporozoites also need to breach endothelial cells to enter the bloodstream but they clearly can do so when they move from the bloodstream toward the hepatocytes (Tavares et al. 2013). They can also enter through the liver resident macrophages called Kupffer cells (Baer et al. 2007). In both cases, sporozoites need their capacity to wound

---

**Figure 5.** Sporozoite migration in vivo. Sporozoite migration after transmission into the dermis. Shown are sporozoites (white) (far left), the tracks of sporozoites migrating for 50 sec (left), sporozoites (yellow) at the start of the movie overlaid by the tracks (red) (center), and tracks over 50 sec (right) and 500 sec (far right) spatially color-coded such that red, green, and blue colors represent different confocal planes 10 µm apart. (Shots taken from movie courtesy of Rogerio Amino, Institut Pasteur, Paris.)
the cells to progress toward hepatocytes. Even when they reach hepatocytes they continue to wound several cells before settling in a last hepatocyte (Mota et al. 2001; Frevert et al. 2005).

Two competing models aim at explaining how a sporozoite finally productively infects a hepatocyte. The first model suggests that specific signaling occurs to "prepare" the sporozoite for entry (Mota et al. 2002), whereas the second one suggests a switch of the sporozoite from a generic "wounding and transmigration" mode to an invasion mode (Amino et al. 2008). In the first model, the host cells play a role in providing a signal to the sporozoite. The second model suggests a stochastic process that stipulates that the number of proteins needed for transmigration is limited and that once they have been used up the sporozoite will settle in whichever cell is the next on its path. Experiments suggest that both mechanisms might play a role. The lack of one of the major proteins needed for transmigration, termed SPECT, causes sporozoites to invade and develop within fibroblasts in the dermis (Amino et al. 2008; Gueirard et al. 2010). Hence, it is clear that in the absence of SPECT no further signal is required to a full invasion mode, which apparently is the default activity of sporozoites. However, this does not rule out that in the presence of SPECT additional regulatory processes also play a role. One such process could be the sensing by the sporozoite of the higher potassium concentration within cells than in the extracellular medium (Kumar et al. 2007; Ono et al. 2008). High potassium buffers have been shown to increase the intracellular cAMP concentration, which causes increased intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations followed by exocytosis of microvesicles. This brings more TRAP onto the surface of sporozoites, arrests their motility, and leads to more invasion (Ono et al. 2008). Also, as described above, the processing of CSP on contact to highly sulfated proteoglycans was shown to switch the sporozoite toward an invasive mode (Coppi et al. 2011). Thus, a stepwise process involving first CSP processing followed by potassium signaling might, among others, contribute to sporozoite invasion.

Intriguingly, we still do not know the receptor–ligand pairs involved in the formation of a tight junction that appears to be essential for host cell invasion in *Plasmodium* and related parasites and thus possibly also in sporozoite invasion of hepatocytes. TRAP and AMA-1 on the sporozoite appear to be involved (Mutschewski et al. 2002a; Silvie et al. 2004). On the host cell side, several proteins have been suggested to be important for invasion including fetuin (Jethwaney et al. 2005), CD81 (Silvie et al. 2003; Risco-Castillo et al. 2014), and CD68 (Cha et al. 2015). Although fetuin might directly interact with TRAP, the roles of CD81 and CD68 are likely more complex as no direct interaction with a sporozoite protein could be shown yet.

Once in the host cells, the sporozoite rapidly dedifferentiates, not undetected by the host. During this dedifferentiation, the IMC and the subpellicular network disassemble leading to a round parasite. Curiously, a similar rounding up of sporozoites can be detected in vitro in the absence of host cells (Kaiser et al. 2003; Hegge et al. 2010b). This transformation program is initiated prematurely in salivary gland sporozoites that lack *UIS1*/*IK2*, a sporozoite-specific protein kinase (Zhang et al. 2010), or *PUF2*, which encodes an RNA-binding protein (Gomes-Santos et al. 2011; Müller et al. 2011; Lindner et al. 2013b). Sporozoites transcribe and store mRNAs that encode proteins required for liver-stage development. For instance, translational repression of *UIS4*, encoding an essential component of the liver-stage parasitophorous vacuolar membrane, is important for sporozoite infectivity and subsequent stage conversion (Silvie et al. 2014). Deciphering the entire signaling network that controls translational repression and sporozoite latency is central to a better understanding of the host switch between the insect and mammalian hosts.

**PERSPECTIVES**

Considering the potential medical application of whole sporozoite vaccination, it would be a fundamental progress if initial results of axenic sporozoite cultures (Warburg and Miller 1992;
Warburg and Schneider 1993; Al-Olayan et al. 2002; Porter-Kelley et al. 2006) could be substantially improved to allow mass production of sporozoites without the need for mosquito colonies. Especially, if this could be applied to the different human infective parasite species research on the sporozoite would be boosted to new heights, as the parasite would become workable without the need of running an insectary, which for the case of human parasites needs to be in a costly biosafety environment. Furthermore, one of the black boxes of sporozoite biology concerns its interaction with the salivary gland. Also, this would be pushed forward if it were possible to culture salivary glands in vitro for extended periods or generate stable cell lines from the terminally differentiated salivary gland cells, which clearly is no minor task. Furthermore, most in vitro assays currently used to investigate the sporozoite are not considering the flow of the blood, so incorporating microfluidic devices into sporozoite research also promises a molecular and biophysical understanding of the key interactions that arrest the sporozoite at the liver.

Last, the field would benefit from standardized in vitro liver culture systems that would allow higher throughput research on the fascinating sporozoite liver cell interaction, which could lead to the discovery of the molecular mechanism of sporozoite liver cell entry. Ideally, such a culture system would also include other liver cells with which the sporozoite interacts such as endothelial and immune cells to retrace and dissect its journey into the liver. Such functional assays would then also require a higher computerized image data throughput, which should not be the bottleneck considering the progress in imaging techniques in other fields. Such a set of new assay systems would be valuable for investigating innovative approaches to stop the sporozoite, which might ultimately contribute to control malaria.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The Plasmodium sporozoite constitutes the first form of the malaria parasite entering the human body and, hence, provides the first and leading targets to control an infection. Only few (~10−100) sporozoites are injected by infected mosquitoes, suggesting that they form excellent intervention targets. Nonetheless, it might be particularly challenging to eliminate every one of these few individuals, because a single sporozoite breakthrough will initiate a fulminant blood infection. Sporozoites could be targeted by small molecules and/or antibodies by either stopping the rapid migration and liver entry of sporozoites or direct killing. Yet, intervention programs focusing on sporozoites remain scarce and underexplored. Antibodies against the major surface protein CSP have been shown to prevent a detectable blood infection under experimental conditions. However, the clinical trials results of RTS,S/AS01 were unsatisfactory and failed to prevent the occurrence of natural infections. The search for new sporozoite antigens and a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms that drive sporozoite motility and liver cell entry are expected to yield previously unrecognized targets that could turn into urgently needed control measures.
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In their infection cycle, malaria parasites undergo replication and population expansions within the vertebrate host and the mosquito vector. Host infection initiates with sporozoite invasion of hepatocytes, followed by a dramatic parasite amplification event during liver stage parasite growth and replication within hepatocytes. Each liver stage forms up to 90,000 exoerythrocytic merozoites, which are in turn capable of initiating a blood stage infection. Liver stages not only exploit host hepatocyte resources for nutritional needs but also endeavor to prevent hepatocyte cell death and detection by the host’s immune system. Research over the past decade has identified numerous parasite factors that play a critical role during liver infection and has started to delineate a complex web of parasite–host interactions that sustain successful parasite colonization of the mammalian host. Targeting the parasites’ obligatory infection of the liver as a gateway to the blood, with drugs and vaccines, constitutes the most effective strategy for malaria eradication, as it would prevent clinical disease and onward transmission of the parasite.

The genus Plasmodium consists of many different parasite species, each with a narrow host range and the causative agent of the disease malaria in its respective host. Plasmodium parasites have evolved exceedingly complex life cycles, using mosquitoes as the vehicle of transmission to infect reptiles, birds, and mammals, including humans. Human malaria parasite infection incurs tremendous morbidity and significant mortality, mainly caused by two parasite species, Plasmodium falciparum and Plasmodium vivax. When the parasites’ sporozoite stages are transmitted by a mosquito bite, these preerythrocytic forms establish the gateway to host infection by invading host cells and initiating the first round of intracellular replication. Preerythrocytic infection precedes blood stage infection and, for all Plasmodium species that infect mammals, takes place in hepatocytes within the liver. Hence, the intrahepatocytic replication stages are called liver stages or exoerythrocytic forms (EEFs). Liver infection is completely asymptomatic; thus, it cannot be detected in humans and, in consequence, cannot be directly studied during natural infection. Fritz Schaudinn’s infamous blunder at the turn of the 19th century, the alleged observation that sporozoites could directly infect red blood cells,
held back research on preerythrocytic infection for decades, and it was only in the late 1940s that the liver stages of the parasite were discovered. This breakthrough was made possible by the discovery of rodent malaria parasites such as *Plasmodium yoelii* and *Plasmodium berghei*, and their introduction into laboratory research, which provided exceptionally powerful experimental tools for the direct analysis of liver infection. Indeed, most of the data concerning preerythrocytic infection have been generated with these models. Preerythrocytic stages of human malaria parasites remain exceedingly difficult to study. However, the development of in vitro platforms for the culture of human hepatocytes and the development of liver-humanized mice provide, for the first time, powerful preerythrocytic infection models, which are revolutionizing research on the initial stages of human malaria parasite infection. Here, we review the biology of liver infection by sporozoites and liver stage development, raise outstanding questions regarding the field, highlight opportunities for future research, and argue for the liver stages as the essential target for malaria eradication efforts.

HEPATOCYTE INVASION AND TRANSITION TO LIVER STAGE

After an infectious mosquito bite, sporozoites must leave the bite site and find their way to hepatocytes, where liver stage development occurs. The dermis-to-hepatocyte journey (reviewed in Ejigiri and Sinnis 2009) is complex and perilous for the sporozoites and their numbers are modest, yet a single infectious mosquito-to-bite leads to liver infection, thereby setting the stage for successful host colonization. The sporozoite (Fig. 1A) is a unique invasive stage—unlike the parasite’s ookinete stage that only traverses across the basal lamina of the mosquito midgut (Vinetz 2005) and the merozoites that only invade red blood cells (Cowman et al. 2012)—sporozoites are highly motile and must traverse and invade cells. They enter the bloodstream by traversing a dermal capillary, are transported to the hepatic capillary network (the sinusoids), and then traverse across the endothelial barrier to enter the hepatic parenchyma. Here, sporozoites traverse multiple hepatocytes and then finally undergo a functional switch that allows them to invade a hepatocyte to take up residence. During and after invasion, the sporozoite releases the contents of a unique set of invasive organelles, the micronemes and rhoptries, whose constituent proteins mediate molecular interactions with the host cell (Fig. 1A–C). The molecular mechanisms and sequence of events in cell invasion have been extremely well dissected with regard to merozoite invasion of red blood cells, but much less is known about hepatocyte infection by sporozoites. Merozoite and sporozoite host cell invasion share commonalities, such as the parasite actin–myosin motor that powers active entry into the host cell (Fowler et al. 2004) and the host cell plasma membrane invagination during invasion that ensconces the intracellular parasite within a host membrane, known as the parasitophorous vacuole membrane (PVM) (Fig. 1D) (Meis et al. 1983). The PVM is extensively modified by the developing liver stage parasite and acts not only as a barrier to the host cell cytoplasm but also as a conduit for communication and nutrient acquisition (Figs. 1E and 2A,B). Research has revealed some of the key molecular players, both parasite and host, in the hepatocyte invasion process, many of which are unique to sporozoites.

The major sporozoite surface protein, circumsporozoite protein (CSP), is a key mediator of the sporozoites’ interaction with the host during its journey to the liver and the infection of hepatocytes. CSP is composed of a central repeat region, diverse across *Plasmodium* species, flanked by two conserved domains—the amino-terminal region I and a cell-adhesive carboxy-terminal motif known as the type I thrombospondin repeat (TSR). Region I masks the adhesive TSR until the sporozoite reaches the liver at which time it is cleaved, allowing the TSR to mediate hepatocyte adhesion (Coppi et al. 2011). This begs the question, how does the sporozoite know it has reached the liver? Localized within the liver sinusoid are highly sulfated heparin sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs), produced by stellate cells within the space of...
Figure 1. Sporozoite invasion of host hepatocytes. Graphic representation of the invasion steps that culminate in the productive infection of the hepatocyte host cell. (A) The surface of the motile sporozoite is coated with circumsporozoite protein (CSP) (shown in inset), which engages heparin sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) on the hepatocyte surface. The apical end of the sporozoite contains organelles whose contents are essential for hepatocyte invasion: rhoptries (Rh, pink), micronemes (Mc, orange), and likely dense granules (DG, blue), although the latter have not been unequivocally identified. (B, C) Contact with an invasion-permissive hepatocyte (brown) triggers CSP processing, which, via an unknown mechanism, triggers the release of invasion-essential proteins from the micronemes (inset). These proteins include thrombospondin-related adhesive protein (TRAP), which binds to HSPGs on the hepatocyte cell surface and to the sporozoite internal glideosome complex (not shown) via its cytoplasmic domain to provide traction for the invading sporozoite at the moving junction (also known as the tight junction, shown as a gray ring). The microneme proteins P52 and P36 are also involved in the invasion process and may interact with each other as well as interacting with the hepatocyte Ephrin A2 receptor (EphA2). The P52/P36/EphA2 axis appears to be critical for the formation of the parasitophorous vacuole (PV). The hepatocyte receptor CD81 is also important for the invasion process and PV formation, but it is not clear whether the sporozoite directly interacts with it. The inner membrane complex (IMC) (in yellow) anchors the internal glideosome complex, allowing for sporozoite movement into the hepatocyte. Hepatocyte invasion occurs through the moving junction at the point of entry and is accompanied by the polymerization of host F-actin in association with Arp2/3. Invasion results in the invagination of the hepatocyte plasma membrane and the release of rhoptry proteins, including ROP2, ROP4, and RAP2/3. (D) Successful invasion results in the sporozoite residing within a PV surrounded by a parasitophorous vacuole membrane (PVM) of hepatocyte origin. The PVM is extensively modified by the parasite and the putative dense granule proteins UIS3 and UIS4 are released and trafficked to the PVM, as is EXP-1 (inset). During sporozoite dedifferentiation, the IMC (dashed yellow line) and the apical organelles are broken down. (E) The nascent liver stage trophozoite resides within a PV, has its own parasite plasma membrane still coated by CSP (green), and is surrounded by a PVM (blue).
Disse, and these HSPGs are recognized by the sporozoite via CSP (Fig. 1B) (Frevert et al. 1993). This might lead to a signaling event that switches the sporozoite to an invasive phenotype (Coppi et al. 2007), resulting in the aforementioned cleavage of region I, a process that is catalyzed by a sporozoite protease (Coppi et al. 2005).

CSP cleavage appears to be a prerequisite for productive infection, but what other molecules are involved in the process? A number of sporozoite micronemal proteins are known to be crucial and they include thrombospondin-related anonymous protein (TRAP) and the 6-cys domain proteins P52 and P36 (Fig. 1B). TRAP is a type I transmembrane protein, and like CSP, it contains a TSR domain but also an integrin-like domain. TRAP is released onto the sporozoite surface from the micronemes during invasion and, during this process, TRAP binds to the sporozoite actin–myosin motor through its carboxy-terminal cytoplasmic domain and binds to HSPGs through its extracellular domain (Kappe et al. 1999). During invasion, TRAP is translocated from the anterior to the posterior of the sporozoite and is subsequently

Figure 2. Liver stage development. Graphic representation of liver stage maturation that leads to the formation of exoerythrocytic merozoites. (A) The tubulovesicular network (TVN) (blue)—membrane-bound extensions and whorls that emanate from the parasitophorous vacuole membrane (PVM)—interacts with the hepatocyte’s autophagosomes (which express Atg8/LC3) likely for nutrient uptake. Parasite proteins expressed on the PVM/TVN include IBIS1, EXP1, UIS4, and UIS3, which has been shown to interact with host L-FABP. The parasite plasma membrane (PPM)-associated protein B9 is known to be important for liver stage development. (B) As the liver stage parasite matures, multiple invaginations of the PPM occur (cytomere formation), and this is accompanied by the expression of MSP1 and ZIPCO. Additionally, LISP1 and LISP2 expression occur on the PVM. (C) Toward the end of liver stage development, individual exoerythrocytic merozoites begin to form, the PVM breaks down (a process that relies on LISP1), and LISP2 is released into the host hepatocyte. (D) Merosomes, merozoites surrounded by hepatocyte plasma membrane, are released into the bloodstream through the liver sinusoid, which is demarcated by epithelial cells (red) and liver resident macrophages, the Kupffer cells (yellow).
shed from the sporozoite by cleavage within its transmembrane domain, likely by the rhomboid protease ROM4, which allows for hepatocyte invasion, because the cleavage releases the TRAP–HSPG bond (Baker et al. 2006; Ejigiri et al. 2012) (Fig. 1B). The micronemal proteins P52, a predicted GPI-anchored protein, and P36, a predicted secreted protein, are members of the 6-cys protein family (Templeton and Kaylor 1999), and they are critical for hepatocyte infection (Fig. 1B,C). Other members of the 6-cys family that are expressed in different parasite stages also have roles in parasite–parasite or host–parasite interactions (Pradel 2007; van Dijk et al. 2010; Molina-Cruz et al. 2013; Sala et al. 2015). The 6-cys domains are likely involved in protein–protein interaction, but evidence for this has been scarce. P52 is released from the micronemes to the sporozoite surface before invasion and disruption of either P52 or P36 in P. berghei (Ishino et al. 2005; van Schaijk et al. 2008), and P52 in P. falciparum (van Schaijk et al. 2008) results in sporozoites that are defective in hepatocyte invasion. In P. yoelii, the simultaneous deletion of P36 and P52 renders sporozoites unable to form or maintain a PVM, resulting in early abortion of liver stage development (Labaied et al. 2007). Similarly, P. falciparum sporozoites lacking P36 and P52 cannot develop after hepatocyte entry (VanBuskirk et al. 2009). Recent work revealed the hepatocyte receptor that directly interacts with these proteins: the transmembrane receptor Ephrin A2 (EphA2) (Fig. 1B). EphA2 is essential for sporozoite invasion and concomitant PVM formation in rodent and human malaria parasites and antibodies to EphA2 block sporozoite infection (van Schaijk et al. 2008). Antibodies to SR-BI do not block sporozoite invasion (van Schaijk et al. 2008), adding credence to the idea that SR-BI expression is necessary for CD81 microdomain formation but not a receptor for the sporozoite.

Recently, an important link between the initial interaction of the sporozoite with the hepatocyte surface and the signaling to trigger processes within sporozoites has been revealed—the presence of CD81 on hepatocytes appears to be critical for the subsequent discharge of the rhoptries (Risco-Castillo et al. 2014). Research thus indicates an orchestrated sequence of events whereby sporozoite interaction with the hepatocyte promotes downstream signaling that triggers the secretion of proteins necessary for the formation and modification of the PVM.

Once the sporozoite lies completely ensconced in the PVM (Fig. 1D), it must metamorphose into a highly metabolically active intracellular replication machine, a process that requires jettisoning or disassembling its invasive organelles and inner membrane complex (IMC) (Fig. 1D). IMC breakdown is accompanied by spherical plasma membrane expansion in the center of the still elongated parasite and
the contraction of its distal ends (Jayabalasingham et al. 2010). This shape conversion is accompanied by the clearance of the IMC and invasion-associated organelles, which are discharged as large exocytic vesicles or possibly undergo autophagy. However, the presence of autophagy in Plasmodium is controversial because the key autophagy marker protein Atg8/LC3 localizes to the parasite apicoplast and does not relocalize to autophagosome-like vesicles or vacuoles (Eickel et al. 2013; Jayabalasingham et al. 2014). The nascent liver stage preferentially develops in the host juxtanuclear region and the PVM appears to form an association with the host endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Bano et al. 2007). Parasite modification of the PVM allows the passage of molecules of up to 855 Da from the host cytosol to the PV through open channels, and it is possible that host-derived cholesterol that accumulates at the PVM may modulate channel activity (Bano et al. 2007). These channels ensure that molecules from the nutrient-rich hepatocyte can feed the growing liver stage, although a tubulovesicular network, described below, likely allows for the uptake of larger molecules. Although the PVM is vital for successful liver stage development, the initial metamorphosis from sporozoite to trophozoite can occur outside a host cell. This indicates that the intracellular environment does not provide specific signals to trigger this process but rather that more general changes that occur with the sporozoites transition into a mammalian host, such as an elevation in temperature and the presence of serum components (Kaiser et al. 2003).

As described above, the initiation of liver stage development is a complex process that is initiated when the sporozoite detects a suitable hepatocyte for infection. Once the sporozoite has invaded its host cell, it must then dedifferentiate from an invasive extracellular parasite into an intracellular trophozoite contained within a PVM. During this process, signaling events prevent the parasites’ destruction by the host cell. Finally, the trophozoite transitions into a highly replicative schizont that relies on nutrient uptake from its host as well as its own metabolic processes to ensure its eventual goal of exoerythrocytic merozoite release.

It must be noted that the transition to schizogony, which always occurs in P. falciparum liver stage development, does not always occur in P. vivax. The P. vivax sporozoite, once it has entered a host hepatocyte, dedifferentiates and can then become a dormant trophozoite, known as the hypnozoite. The hypnozoite can lie dormant for months and even years and then reactivate and fully develop, leading to P. vivax malaria relapses. The processes that lead to hypnozoite formation and reactivation are very poorly understood, and malaria elimination must address the radical cure of the dormant hypnozoite.

LIVER STAGE DEVELOPMENT

The liver stage undergoes schizogony—nuclear division without cell division—during its maturation and only in the final phase of this process do invasive exoerythrocytic merozoites form. Liver stage schizogony constitutes one of the most rapid eukaryotic replication events—in a little >2 d, rodent malaria sporozoites can transform into a mature ellipsoid liver stage containing up to 29,000 exoerythrocytic merozoites (Baer et al. 2007). Liver stage development in P. falciparum takes longer, ~6.5 d, with estimates of up to 90,000 exoerythrocytic merozoites packaged in the fully mature parasite (Vaughan et al. 2012). Liver stage schizogony is characterized by the rapid branching and growth of the parasite’s organelles such as the mitochondria and the relict plastid organelle, the apicoplast (Stanway et al. 2009), as well as massive DNA replication. Studies utilizing parasite and host transgenesis have shed light on the important pathways that allow for parasite growth, and, not surprisingly, a number of parasite proteins involved in PVM formation and modification are essential for liver stage formation and development.

The liver stage PVM proteins UIS3 and UIS4 are encoded by genes belonging to the up-regulated in infective sporozoites (UIS) group (Figs. 1D–E, 2A, 3A–C,F). These genes are highly transcribed in sporozoites when they...
Figure 3. Immunofluorescence images of liver stage development. (A–E) *Plasmodium yoelii* liver stage development in a mouse liver. (A) The spherical, early liver stage parasite, 12 h after sporozoite invasion, is significantly smaller than the host hepatocyte nucleus (blue). The liver stage parasitophorous vacuole (PVM) is shown in red (UIS4 expression), the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is shown in green (BiP expression), and the parasite contains a single nuclear center (blue). (B) By 24 h, the *P. yoelii* liver stage parasite (the UIS4-positive PVM is shown in red) has entered schizogony (multiple centers of nuclear replication [blue]). (C) At 30 h of development, the *P. yoelii* liver stage (the UIS4 PVM is shown in green) has undergone multiple rounds of nuclear replication (blue) and contains a highly branched apicoplast (acyl carrier protein expression, shown in red). (D) Late in *P. yoelii* liver stage development (48 h), the parasite plasma membrane (PPM) undergoes extensive invagination resulting in cytomere formation (MSP1, green). LISP2 (red) is expressed on the PVM as well as the hepatocyte membrane (white arrows). The nuclei (blue) of the individual parasites can be seen within the liver stage parasite. (E) At the end of liver stage development (52 h), individual exoerythrocytic merozoites (MSP1 expression in green, upper panel, delineates the merozoite membrane) can be seen within the mature liver stage parasite (differential interference contrast, lower panel). (F) Image of a *P. vivax* hypnozoite in a humanized mouse liver. The hypnozoite remains latent, is small, and does not replicate its DNA, and its PVM has a unique UIS4-positive prominence (green). The hypnozoite mitochondrion (HSP60 expression) is shown in red and is branched. Scale bars, 10 µm.
still reside in the mosquito salivary glands but some are translationally repressed with protein production peaking only after hepatocyte invasion. This “just in time” protein expression from stored mRNAs exemplifies one of the approaches the sporozoite has evolved to ready itself for the rapid transition to liver stage replication and indicates that proteins such as UIS3 and UIS4 must be playing an important role starting very early in liver stage development. Indeed, deletion of either UIS3 or UIS4 gene causes arrest of early liver stage development (Mueller et al. 2005a,b; Jobe et al. 2007). The carboxyl termini of both UIS3 and UIS4 face the hepatocyte cytoplasm and the amino termini the PV lumen, suggestive of roles in parasite–hepatocyte interactions. Indeed, UIS3 interacts with liver fatty acid protein (L-FABP) (Fig. 2A) (Mikolajczak et al. 2007), suggesting an interaction that could serve as a conduit for the transport of lipid into the liver stage to support growth. Lipid transport within and between eukaryotic cells can occur through membrane-bound ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, and the ABCC class of transporters is expressed by both P. berghei and P. falciparum liver stages. Interestingly, the deletion of ABCC2 (also known as MRP2) in both P. berghei and P. falciparum leads to liver stage arrest, demonstrating the importance of parasite ABC transporters in liver stage development and hinting to their role in lipid transport (Rijpma et al. 2016). To date, UIS4 function has remained elusive, as has the function of a second PVM-resident protein exported protein 1 (EXP1, also known as Hep17 in rodent malaria parasites) (Fig. 2A). Later in liver stage development, two further PVM-associated proteins are expressed, LISP1 and LISP2 (Figs. 2B,C and 3D) (Ishino et al. 2009; Orito et al. 2013). LISP1 is involved in PVM breakdown and subsequent merozoite release, whereas LISP2 (which contains a modified 6-cys domain) is localized to the PV and PVM and is also transported to the cytoplasm and plasma membrane of infected hepatocytes (Figs. 2B,C and 3D). Although the functions of these two proteins are not fully understood, gene deletion studies in P berghei have indicated their importance in late liver stage growth because parasites that lack LISP1 or LISP2 do not mature effectively. Further critical proteins expressed by liver stage parasites include the 6-cys-like protein, B9, expressed on the parasite plasma membrane (PPM) (Fig. 2A) (Annoura et al. 2014) and ZIPCO, an iron transporter expressed on the late liver stage PPM (Fig. 2B) (Sahu et al. 2014). Although an increasing number of PV and PVM proteins have been identified that play important roles in liver stage development, an important future goal is the comprehensive identification of all liver stage PVM, PV, and PPM proteins that will supersede the current identification of important players using candidate approaches. Importantly, research needs to go beyond the assembly of “parts lists” and ought to delineate the relationship and interaction among liver stage PVM and PV proteins and their interaction with host proteins to establish a network of important liver stage–hepatocyte interactions.

Although the liver stage clearly parasitizes the extremely metabolically active host hepatocyte, the massive increase in parasite biomass during liver stage development likely requires the participation of parasite anabolic pathways. Indeed, combined transcriptome and proteome analysis of liver stage development showed that the parasite de novo type II fatty acid synthesis pathway (FAS II) is highly active (Tarun et al. 2008). To assess the importance of FAS II, which is localized to the parasite apicoplast, gene knockouts in rodent malarias have been performed and showed that FAS II is critical for late liver stage development (Yu et al. 2008; Vaughan et al. 2009; Pei et al. 2010; Falkard et al. 2013; Lindner et al. 2014). These reports show that the liver stage requires its own fatty acid synthesis, but it is not currently understood why the fatty acids are synthesized—they might be incorporated into exoerythrocytic merozoite membranes, but they could also be incorporated into the growing hepatocyte plasma membrane or the PVM. As mentioned above, the UIS3/L-FABP interaction likely allows for lipid acquisition from the hepatocyte. The cholesterol component of this lipid can be derived from low-density lipoprotein internalization by the hepatocyte as well as de novo synthesized hep-
tocyte cholesterol (Labaied et al. 2011). Further research has shown that hepatocyte phosphatidylcholine, the major membrane phospholipid, is taken up by liver stages, and preventing the rate-limiting steps in the synthesis of hepatocyte phosphatidylcholine affects liver stage development (Itoe et al. 2014). All of these studies show that liver stage parasites critically depend on both lipid uptake from the host hepatocyte and endogenous lipid synthesis to support their dramatic growth and differentiation.

During growth, liver stage mass increases so much that the infected hepatocyte must significantly expand to accommodate the replicating parasite. However, the liver stage parasites remain relatively undifferentiated until shortly before completion of liver stage maturation. Late in development, the PPM undergoes rapid expansion and extensive invagination (called cytomere formation) (Figs. 2B and 3D), which dramatically increases parasite surface area and enables rapid formation of individual exoerythrocytic merozoites (Figs. 2C and 3E). This process also requires coordinated nuclear and organellar fission to ensure partitioning of nuclei and organelles into nascent merozoites (Stanway et al. 2011). This coordinated fission likely relies on both DNA- and RNA-binding proteins, and a liver stage–specific RNA-binding protein, PlasMei2, appears to be essential for the partitioning of nuclear DNA. The deletion of PlasMei2 in P. yoelii, without affecting liver stage growth, prevents the partitioning of nuclear DNA, and this in turn prevents the formation of exoerythrocytic merozoites (Dankwa et al. 2016). Once merozoites have fully formed, the PVM must break down (Fig. 2C) before they can be released, and this process is a result of changes in PVM permeability (Sturm et al. 2009) and relies on a parasite phospholipase, which localizes to the PVM (Burda et al. 2015).

Intravital microscopic observations of live-fluorescent P. yoelii and P. berghei mature liver stages in mice have shown that they induce the detachment of their host hepatocyte, leading to the budding of merosomes (merozoite-filled vesicles) into the sinusoidal lumen (Fig. 2D) (Sturm et al. 2006; Tarun et al. 2006). The merozome membrane derives from the host hepatocyte plasma membrane (Graewe et al. 2011), allowing the merozome to hide from the immune system and thereby avoid uptake and destruction by the numerous liver-resident macrophages (the Kupffer cells), on its way to the bloodstream. Merosomes have also been observed in mature P. falciparum liver stages (Vaughan et al. 2012), confirming their existence in human malaria parasite liver stages. Most P. yoelii merosomes exit the liver intact and adapt to a relatively uniform size, within which 100 to 200 merozoites reside (Baer et al. 2007). Merosomes eventually break up inside pulmonary capillaries, resulting in merozite liberation and red blood cell infection.

PARASITE PERTURBATIONS OF HOST HEPATOCYTES

So far, we have discussed parasite proteins and some host molecules that are necessary for sporozoite invasion and liver stage development, but to acquire nutrients and grow, the parasite must not simply reside in the hepatocyte and exploit it but actively manipulate and subvert it to avoid its demise. How does this happen? Already at the point of invasion, the parasite subverts its host. As the sporozoite invades, it induces the formation of a ring-shaped structure in the hepatocyte composed of de novo polymerized host F-actin (Fig. 1C) (Gonzalez et al. 2009). The hepatocyte Arp2/3 complex, an actin-nucleating factor, is recruited to this ring structure and is necessary for parasite invasion (Fig. 1C). The PVM is essential for liver stage development, and the formation of a productive PVM is a prerequisite for the parasite’s ability to render its host hepatocyte resistant to apoptosis (van de Sand et al. 2005; van Dijk et al. 2005; Kaushansky et al. 2013a). Although the sensitivity to apoptosis is dependent on the Bcl-2 family of mitochondrial proteins that the parasite subverts (Kaushansky et al. 2013a), the parasite molecules that interfere with hepatocyte apoptosis are not known. A line of defense used by many host cells against pathogen infection is the synthesis of stress granules, thereby shutting down protein synthe-
sis. However, there is no evidence that hepatocyte infection causes stress granule formation, which implies that the parasite has evolved to prevent this response from occurring in the hepatocyte (Hanson and Mair 2014). In a surprising twist on parasite–host manipulation, liver stage survival actually benefits from the host ER stress response pathway (Inacio et al. 2015), which typically shuts down cellular activity. Proteins and transcripts that act in the unfolded protein response leading to ER stress were found to be elevated in hepatocytes in response to infection, but why the parasite perturbs its host in this way is not clear. It is possible that ER stress supports parasite growth by regulating lipid metabolism, vital for parasite growth (Itoe et al. 2014), or diminishing antigen presentation so the liver stage can remain hidden from the immune system—a trick used by hepatitis C (Tardif and Siddiqui 2003).

The perturbations of the host hepatocyte have been explored by analyzing changes in hepatocyte transcription and protein expression early during liver stage infection (Albuquerque et al. 2009; Kaushansky et al. 2013b). Transcriptome data showed that parasite infection initially causes a global stress response, which then morphs into an engagement of host metabolic processes and the maintenance of cell viability to ensure parasite and host cell survival (Albuquerque et al. 2009). Using cell lysate arrays to simultaneously monitor many protein perturbations, a subset of hepatocyte protein levels and accompanying posttranslational modifications were measured 24 h postinfection with rodent malaria parasites and compared with uninfected hepatocytes (Kaushansky et al. 2013b). This revealed a signaling network aimed at preventing host cell death but also determined that the tumor suppressor P53 is substantially dampened in infected hepatocytes. This is of functional significance because increasing P53 levels whether chemically or genetically nearly eliminates liver stage infection and development and mice lacking P53 are hypersusceptible to infection (Kaushansky et al. 2013b).

During liver stage development, a tubulovesicular network (TVN) forms, which includes membranous extensions of the PVM as well as the creation of autonomous vesicular structures within the infected hepatocyte (Fig. 2A) (Mueller et al. 2005a). The TVN likely functions in hepatocyte interactions and in accessing nutrients from the hepatocyte, but these functions need to be experimentally verified. Fluorescent tagging of two P. berghei PVM proteins, UIS4 and IBIS1, and the use of correlative light-electron microscopy have shown that the TVN membranes extend throughout the host cell and include dynamic vesicles as well as long tubules that extend and contract from the PVM (Fig. 2A) (Grutzke et al. 2014). Additionally, labeling of host hepatocyte compartments revealed an association of late endosomes and lysosomes with the TVN-associated elongated membrane clusters. Furthermore, the host autophagosome protein Atg8/LC3 colocalizes with UIS4 at the PVM and TVN (Fig. 2A). These data suggest that the intimate association between the TVN and host endosomes/lysosomes allows for parasite–hepatocyte signaling as well as nutrient uptake. Further studies have shown that complete parasite development actually correlates with the gradual loss of autophagy marker proteins and associated lysosomes from the PVM even though other autophagic events such as nonselective canonical autophagy continue in the host cell (Prado et al. 2015). Thus, it is possible that the parasite continues to benefit from nonselective canonical autophagy for its growth.

Supporting the hypothesis that the endosomal system supports liver stage growth, the growth of the parasite is dependent on phosphoinositide 5-kinase (PIKfyve), which converts phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate into phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate (PI(3,5)P2) within the endosomal system—an enzymatic reaction essential for late endocytic membrane fusion (Thieleke-Matos et al. 2014). Indeed, the PI(3,5)P2 effector protein TRPML1, involved in late endocytic membrane fusion, was shown to be present in vesicles in close contact with the PVM and PIKfyve inhibition delayed parasite growth. Additionally, contents of late endocytic vesicles have been found within the parasite cytoplasm (Lopes da Silva et al. 2012). We are only just beginning to un-
understand how the parasite manipulates its host, and many questions remain unanswered. Most notably, there is a complete lack of understanding of how the liver stage, during its own massive growth, also enables the growth of the PVM and expansion of the host hepatocyte to accommodate its heft—cells do not normally dramatically increase in size, but the mature liver stage–infected hepatocyte can expand to 200 times its normal volume.

The developing liver stage parasite, as well as interacting with its host hepatocyte to ensure its survival, must also evade the host’s immune system to ensure merozoite maturation and release. As noted above, the autophagy marker Atg8/LC3 colocalizes with UIS4 at the PVM and TVN, and aids in liver stage growth. Conversely, autophagy has been identified as a downstream pathway that is activated in response to interferon γ (IFN-γ) in the control of intracellular infections (Deretic et al. 2013). Indeed, IFN-γ, a master regulator of immune functions, has been known for many years to induce the elimination of liver stage parasites in vivo (Ferreira et al. 1986). Thus, the parasite needs to precisely coordinate autophagy for its benefit. Research on P. vivax liver stages has shown that IFN-γ-mediated restriction of liver stage P. vivax depends on autophagy-related proteins including Beclin-1, PI3K, and Atg5 and enhanced the recruitment of LC3 to the PVM. In this case, the LC3 decoration of the PVM led to a noncanonical autophagy pathway resembling that of LC3-associated phagocytosis, promoting the fusion of P. vivax compartments with lysosomes and subsequent killing of the pathogen (Boonhok et al. 2016). Thus, research has uncovered positive and negative aspects of autophagy on parasite survival, and it is clear that although the parasite can take advantage of host cell autophagy, it can also be killed by the pathway and thus must carefully control this intracellular process for its own gain.

IFN-γ production in response to liver stage parasite detection is part of a programmed innate immune response to liver stage infection (Liehl and Mota 2012). This innate immune response to infection is pronounced and can actually lead to the effective killing of a secondary liver stage infection (Miller et al. 2014). This response is in part initiated by liver-resident cells and driven by Plasmodium RNA, which acts as a pathogen-associated molecular pattern capable of activating the type I IFN response via the cytosolic pattern recognition receptor Mda5 (Liehl et al. 2014). Furthermore, the response is abrogated in mice deficient in IFN-γ, as well as the type I IFN-α/β receptor (IFNAR), demonstrating the important role of IFNAR in driving the innate immune response (Liehl et al. 2014; Miller et al. 2014). The cell type linked to the secretion of IFN-γ was shown to be CD49b+CD3+ natural killer T (NKT) cells and an increase in CD1d-restricted NKT cells was critical in reducing liver stage burden of a secondary infection (Miller et al. 2014). In turn, the lack of IFNAR signaling abrogated the increase in NKT cell numbers in the liver, showing a link between type I IFN signaling, cell recruitment, and parasite elimination (Miller et al. 2014).

The above highlights the extent of our current knowledge on how the liver stage parasite influences both the host hepatocyte and the host innate immune system. Far more research is necessary and will help in the design and implementation of drugs to target the liver stage parasite and effective vaccines that engender appropriate immune responses to liver stage parasites. For these advances to take place, we need better models for liver stage development and liver stage isolation.

ADVANCES IN MODEL DEVELOPMENT FOR HUMAN MALARIA PARASITE LIVER INFECTION

A natural sporozoite infection typically results in the productive invasion of only a small number of hepatocytes. Because of this bottleneck, the liver stage parasite has been extremely difficult to study. However, such studies are essential to uncover pathways that are necessary for parasite survival and replication. These pathways can then be perturbed to prevent development and ultimately to thwart life cycle progression to blood stage disease. To this end, parasite transgenesis has enabled the creation of fluorescent parasites and this led to the sorting of murine
hepatocytes infected with *P. yoelii* fluorescent liver stages, resulting in the first extensive liver stage transcriptomes and proteomes (Tarun et al. 2008; Albuquerque et al. 2009). However, extensive -omic analysis of human malaria liver stages has still not been completed and needs to be performed. Such analysis is important for the reason that numerous studies have shown significant biological differences between rodent and human malaria parasites. Nevertheless, platforms for the growth of human malaria parasite liver stages are not easily established, because of the limitation that *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* preerythrocytic stages only infect human and some nonhuman primate hepatocytes and do not grow well in hepatoma cells. Additionally, the *P. vivax* sporozoite, unlike the model rodent malaria sporozoite, can develop into either a liver stage schizont or a liver stage hypnozoite (Krotoski et al. 1982)—a dormant liver stage form (Fig. 3F) that can activate and cause malaria relapse infections in affected individuals.

In vitro development of *P. vivax* liver stages in primary human hepatocytes was first documented in 1984 (Mazier et al. 1984) and for *P. falciparum* in 1985 (Mazier et al. 1985), and both parasites were later shown to mature in the human hepatocyte cell line HC-04 (Sattabongkot et al. 2006), albeit poorly. Rapid advances in cryopreservation methods for primary human hepatocytes make this cell type more easily available, and investigators no longer depend on cells fresh from liver surgery. Recently, an improved in vitro system using primary human hepatocytes in a microscale human liver platform was shown to support *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* liver stages development including *P. vivax* hypnozoite formation (March et al. 2013). An additional promising platform uses induced pluripotent stem cell-derived hepatocyte-like cells and liver stage development of both *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* appears to mirror that observed in primary human hepatocytes (Ng et al. 2015). Thus, this platform is an attractive alternative because it enables a potential unlimited production of hepatocytes.

Although in vitro models for infection have greatly improved over the past years, robust in vivo infection models for preerythrocytic stages of human malaria parasites are highly desirable. Fortunately, human-liver chimeric mouse models that were originally developed for drug metabolism studies and hepatitis research are promising as animal models for human malaria liver infection. These models are all built around some form of immunocompromised background plus genetically encoded liver injury that selectively ablates mouse hepatocytes, thereby creating niches in the liver that can then be repopulated with primary human hepatocytes. An initial study using the SCID Alb-uPA mouse transplanted with human hepatocytes showed that *P. falciparum* liver stages developed after intravenous sporozoite injection (Sacci et al. 2006).

A second liver-chimeric mouse model, the FRG huHep mouse (Azuma et al. 2007), can yield up to 95% human hepatocyte chimerism. After intravenous injection of *P. falciparum* sporozoites into FRG huHep mice, complete liver stage development occurred, resulting in the creation of schizonts packed with tens of thousands of exoerythrocytic merozoites that were able to transition in vivo to red blood cell infection, which was then continuously maintained in vitro (Vaughan et al. 2012). This enabled the creation of *P. falciparum* genetic crosses, which were previously possible only in splenectomized chimpanzees (Vaughan et al. 2015). The FRG huHep mouse has, in addition, been used to show the efficacy of antibodies against sporozoite proteins to reduce or prevent *P. falciparum* liver infection (Sack et al. 2014). This has also been achieved in SCID Alb-uPA mice (Behet et al. 2014; Foquet et al. 2014). Together, the work establishes liver-chimeric mice as an important preclinical tool to assess interventions against human malaria parasite preerythrocytic stages and further refinement could ascertain their use as a new stepping-stone toward clinical testing of interventions in controlled human malaria infection trials.

Intriguingly, the FRG huHep mouse was also recently used for infections with *P. vivax* (Mikolajczak et al. 2015). In this study, robust liver infection with *P. vivax* sporozoites was observed that culminated in complete liver stage
development and exoerythrocytic merozoite release 9.5 d after infection and infectious merozoites could be captured by the injection of human reticulocytes. Alongside liver stage development, the establishment and persistence of hypnozoites was observed, enabling, for the first time, a detailed characterization of this unique latent EEF. Although DNA replication did indeed not occur in hypnozoites as previously thought, in contrast to previously held assumptions, latent hypnozoites were not “dormant” but metabolically active, showing limited organelle replication and modest growth over time. Hypnozoites also exhibited a unique thickening of the PVM, called a “prominence,” that appears to distinguish them from replicating liver stages (Fig. 3F). The study also showed that the FRG huHep mouse will be useful to model hypnozoite activation, which leads to the production of second- and third-generation exoerythrocytic merozoites and relapsing blood stage infection, which is a distinguishing clinical feature of *P. vivax* infection. Thus, the FRG huHep model might prove useful to test the effectiveness of drugs that can eliminate hypnozoites and thus prevent relapsing infection with *P. vivax*.

VACCINATION WITH ATTENUATED PREERYTHROCYTIC PARASITES

Following earlier research on bird malaria (which do not have a liver stage), a study in 1967 showed that mice inoculated with irradiation-attenuated *P. berghei* sporozoites induced protection from a subsequent infection with wild-type sporozoites (Nussenzweig et al. 1967) and with this, the concept of an attenuated, whole sporozoite malaria vaccine was born. Similar studies in humans with irradiated *P. falciparum* sporozoites showed equal promise (Clyde et al. 1973; Rieckmann et al. 1974). Subsequent work showed that attenuated sporozoites have to be infectious and invade hepatocytes to unfold their protective potential likely by virtue of expressing new antigens before the cessation of liver stage development. Live sporozoite immunization elicits both protective humoral responses and cellular responses (Doll and Harty 2014). In the 1970s, irradiation-attenuated sporozoites were not considered a practical vaccine because of the issues with irradiation, mass production, preservation, and delivery. However, increasing success in producing and storing *P. falciparum* sporozoites, irradiated sporozoites gained a resurgent interest in the 2000s and intravenous inoculation of humans with cryopreserved, irradiated *P. falciparum* sporozoites recently showed complete protection from infectious sporozoite challenge (Seder et al. 2013).

The discovery of genes that are essential for liver stage development, discussed earlier, gave rise to the idea that attenuation of the parasite could be accomplished through engineered gene deletion within the parasite’s complex genome, thus rendering irradiation obsolete. In this effort, vaccine development meets parasite biology and synergizes effectively to create a biologically informed malaria vaccine strategy. Today, numerous genes essential for liver stage development have been identified, mostly in rodent malaria models (Table 1). Gene deletions arrest the parasite at distinct points during liver stage development, and studies have shown that late liver stage–arresting parasites afford superior immunity when compared with both an early arresting, genetically engineered parasite and irradiation-attenuated sporozoites. This is due to the significant increase in antigen load and breadth, which engenders a broader and diversified immune response (Butler et al. 2011; Sack et al. 2015). Engineering of *P. falciparum* liver stage–attenuated parasites has been undertaken (VanBuskirk et al. 2009); the parasites have undergone initial human clinical trial testing and are now undergoing improvements in attenuation (Table 1) (Mikolajczak et al. 2014; van Schaijk et al. 2014b). Ultimately, this will likely yield an engineered parasite that can replace irradiated sporozoites and such a vaccine will play a crucial role in malaria elimination.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

A call for malaria eradication by 2040 is perceived to be an achievable goal (endmalaria
Table 1. *Plasmodium* gene knockouts that lead to liver stage arrest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene knockout</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Temporal/spatial expression</th>
<th><em>Pb</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B9</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>PPM</td>
<td>Late arrest/partial attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Ketoacyl-acyl-carrier protein synthase I/II (Fab B/F)</td>
<td>Fatty acid synthesis</td>
<td>Apicoplast throughout development</td>
<td>Late arrest/partial attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cGMP-dependent protein kinase (PKG) (conditional knockout)</td>
<td>Protein phosphorylation</td>
<td>Throughout development</td>
<td>Late arrest/complete attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol 3-phosphate acyltransferase (G3PAT)</td>
<td>Phosphatidic acid synthesis</td>
<td>Apicoplast throughout development</td>
<td>Late arrest/complete attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH)</td>
<td>Phosphatidic acid synthesis</td>
<td>Apicoplast throughout development</td>
<td>Late arrest/complete attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipoic acid protein ligase B (LipB)</td>
<td>Fatty acid synthesis</td>
<td>Apicoplast throughout development</td>
<td>Late arrest/partial attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver stage antigen 1 (LSA1)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>PV late in development</td>
<td>Aberrant maturation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver-specific protein 1 (LISP1)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>PVM late in development</td>
<td>Late arrest/partial attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver-specific protein 1 (LISP2)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>PVM late in development/host hepatocyte</td>
<td>Late arrest/partial attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein/Function</td>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Cytoplasm</td>
<td>Decreased size/partial attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP1 (conditional knockout)</td>
<td>Invasion</td>
<td>PPM late in development</td>
<td>Late arrest/complete attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP2 (ABCC2)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Liver stage</td>
<td>Mid arrest/complete attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P52</td>
<td>Invasion</td>
<td>Sporozoite microneme/PPM</td>
<td>Early arrest/partial attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Plasmodium</em>-specific apicoplast protein for liver merozoite formation (PALM)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Apicoplast late in development</td>
<td>Late arrest/partial attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate dehydrogenase subunit E1α (PDH E1α)</td>
<td>Acetyl COA synthesis</td>
<td>Apicoplast throughout development</td>
<td>Late arrest/complete attenuation No sporozoites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmei2</td>
<td>RNA binding</td>
<td>Mid-to-late liver stage cytoplasm</td>
<td>Late arrest/complete attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate dehydrogenase subunit E3 (PDH E3)</td>
<td>Acetyl CoA synthesis</td>
<td>Apicoplast throughout development</td>
<td>Late arrest/complete attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sporozoite asparagine-rich protein 1 (SAP1)/sporozoite and liver stage asparagine-rich protein (SLARP)</td>
<td>mRNA stability</td>
<td>Sporozoite cytoplasm</td>
<td>Early arrest/complete attenuation No sporozoites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-2-Enoyl-acyl carrier protein reductase (Fab I)</td>
<td>Fatty acid synthesis</td>
<td>Apicoplast throughout development</td>
<td>Late arrest/partial attenuation No sporozoites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene knockout</td>
<td>Function</td>
<td>Temporal/spatial expression</td>
<td>( Pb )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up-regulated in infective sporozoites gene 3 (UIS3)</td>
<td>Lipid uptake</td>
<td>Sporozoite microneme then PVM throughout development</td>
<td>Early arrest/complete attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up-regulated in infective sporozoites gene 4 (UIS4)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Sporozoite microneme then PVM throughout development</td>
<td>Early arrest/partial attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zrt-, Irt-like protein domain-containing protein (ZIPCO)</td>
<td>Iron uptake</td>
<td>PPM late in development</td>
<td>Late arrest/partial attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B9/SAP1 (SLARP)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Early arrest/complete attenuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P52/P36/SAP1 (SLARP)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Early arrest/partial attenuation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, *P. falciparum* malaria will not be eradicated globally without an effective preerythrocytic vaccine that will prevent the onset of disease-causing blood stage infection and onward transmission through the mosquito vector. In addition, the elusive *P. vivax* preerythrocytic hypnozoite forms must be targeted by radical cure drugs to ensure full malaria eradication, and thus drug development ought to place more emphasis on finding compounds with activity against liver stages. A robust understanding of hypnozoite biology might well be a prerequisite to develop new drugs that afford radical cure. A further critical research question in this context is whether preerythrocytic vaccination can be used to provide an “immunological radical cure” and as such should be a major aspect of *P. vivax* vaccine research. Overall, we must be continuously guided by the principle that novel and innovative intervention strategies will emerge only from critical research of parasite biology and the immunology of infection and vaccination.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The past decade has seen an unprecedented progress in research on liver stage malaria. This has brought forth the identification of numerous parasite and host factors that are of critical importance to hepatocyte infection and intra-hepatocytic parasite development. Without doubt, research in the coming years will identify additional important factors. However, the study of parasite–hepatocyte interaction must now enter the next phase, by not only identifying critical host–pathogen interactions but also assembling these interactions into a temporal and spatial cascading network that fully delineates parasite infection of and development within hepatocytes at the molecular level, from the point of invasion to the release of merozoites. These studies will be likely performed with rodent malaria models, but the increased maturity of in vitro and in vivo hepatocyte infection models of human malaria parasites gives hope that at least some of this work can be achieved with medically relevant parasite species. This is particularly important for the study of *P. vivax* hypnozoites in gaining insights into the molecular mechanisms of their latency, their activation, and their interaction with hepatocytes during long periods of persistence.

Finally, identification of parasite molecules that are critical for liver stage development has transcended basic biology in that it enabled the development and use of genetically engineered, attenuated parasite strains for vaccination. It is hoped that the continued biological interrogation of liver stage malaria will carry on to bear fruit for parasite immunology and vaccine development, thereby potentiating its role in helping to develop new tools for the eradication of human malaria infection.
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During the blood stage, *Plasmodium* spp. merozoites invade host red blood cells (RBCs), multiply, exit, and reinvases uninfected RBCs in a continuing cycle that is responsible for all the clinical symptoms associated with malaria. Entry into (invasion) and exit from (egress) RBCs are highly regulated processes that are mediated by an array of parasite proteins with specific functional roles. Many of these parasite proteins are stored in specialized apical secretory vesicles, and their timely release is critical for successful invasion and egress. For example, the discharge of parasite protein ligands to the apical surface of merozoites is required for interaction with host receptors to mediate invasion, and the timely discharge of proteases and pore-forming proteins helps in permeabilization and dismantling of limiting membranes during egress. This review focuses on our understanding of the signaling mechanisms that regulate apical organelle secretion during host cell invasion and egress by malaria parasites. The review also explores how understanding key signaling mechanisms in the parasite can open opportunities to develop novel strategies to target *Plasmodium* parasites and eliminate malaria.

*Plasmodium falciparum* has a complex life cycle involving multiple stages in two hosts, namely, the vertebrate human host and the invertebrate *Anopheles* mosquito, which also serves as the vector for transmission. *P. falciparum* sporozoites are introduced into the human host following a bite by an infected female *Anopheles* mosquito in search of a blood meal. The injected sporozoites traverse through the bloodstream to the liver where they infect hepatocytes, multiply, and differentiate into merozoites. The merozoites are released into the bloodstream in membrane-bound packets called merosomes, which protect the parasite from host immune mechanisms. Merozoites emerge from merosomes in the bloodstream and go on to invade host red blood cells (RBCs) within which they develop and multiply by schizogony over a period of 36–48 hours. Once the next generation of merozoites has developed, the mature schizont ruptures and merozoites egress in a highly synchronized manner. Merozoite egress requires disruption of the RBC cytoskeleton and rupture of multi-
ple limiting membranes (Salmon et al. 2001; Wickham et al. 2003). Key parasite effectors that mediate egress include proteases as well as perforin-like proteins (Blackman 2008; Roiko and Carruthers 2009, 2013; Agarwal et al. 2012; Garg et al. 2013). Many of these effectors are localized in apical organelles and are secreted in a timely, regulated manner to initiate egress of merozoites from mature schizonts. The released merozoites go on to invade uninfected erythrocytes to continue blood-stage multiplication.

Invasion of host erythrocytes by *P. falciparum* merozoites is also a complex multistep process that involves initial attachment, apical re-orientation, junction formation, development of a nascent vacuole, and movement of the parasite into the vacuole, followed by resealing of the vacuole so that the parasite is surrounded by a vacuolar membrane at the end of invasion (Dvorak et al. 1975; Aikawa et al. 1978; Cowman and Crabb 2006; Gilson and Crabb 2009; Riglar et al. 2011; Baum 2013). Many of the parasite ligands that bind host receptors to mediate these steps during invasion are initially localized in the micronemes and rhoptries at the apical end of merozoites. They are secreted to the surface of the merozoite in a highly regulated manner to enable receptor binding and invasion (Singh et al. 2010; Gaur and Chitnis 2011; Riglar et al. 2011; Singh and Chitnis 2012; Sharma and Chitnis 2013).

Here, we will review our current understanding of the signals and signaling mechanisms that regulate the highly coordinated processes of parasite egress and host cell invasion. This review will focus on our understanding of the key signaling mechanisms that trigger the release of parasite proteins from apical organelles to mediate parasite egress and invasion. We will include information from both malaria parasites as well as the related apicomplexan parasite, *Toxoplasma gondii*, which shares many common features in the process of host cell invasion. Finally, we will discuss how a better understanding of signaling processes during invasion and egress may provide novel targets for intervention to interrupt the malaria parasite life cycle and eliminate malaria.

### MOLECULAR PLAYERS AND SIGNALS FOR EGRESS OF *Plasmodium falciparum* MEROZOITES FROM MATURE SCHIZONTS

Egress of *P. falciparum* merozoites from RBCs requires breaching of multiple barriers including the parasitophorous vacuole membrane (PVM), the host cytoskeleton, and the host plasma membrane (HPM). Parasite egress is synchronized with completion of its replicative cycle when next generation of invasive parasites have developed fully. Several parasite proteases have been identified as key effectors of the egress process in apicomplexan blood-stage parasites (Blackman 2008; Roiko and Carruthers 2009). In addition, perforin-like proteins with homology with mammalian perforins are involved in egress by *T. gondii* tachyzoites (TgPLP) as well as *P. falciparum* merozoites (PfPLP) (Kafsack et al. 2009, 2010; Garg et al. 2013). The PLPs and the subtilisin-like protease, PfSub1, which mediate egress, are located in micronemes and exo-nemes, respectively (Yeoh et al. 2007; Agarwal et al. 2012; Garg et al. 2013). What are the signals and signaling mechanisms that trigger release of these effectors to initiate egress?

The phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) was identified as the internal signal that triggers egress of *T. gondii* tachyzoites (Nagamune et al. 2008). In plants, ABA acts as a hormone that mediates growth and responses to environmental cues through cyclic ADP-ribose (cADPR) and calcium (Ca^{2+}) fluxes (Wu et al. 1997). In *T. gondii*, ABA induces the production of cADPR, which activates Ca^{2+} release from an internal membrane-bound pool (probably the endoplasmic reticulum [ER]) leading to secretion of microneme proteins such as TgPLP (Chini et al. 2005; Nagamune et al. 2008). Although the parasite genome has candidate genes for ABA synthesis, the presence of the entire biosynthetic pathway remains to be confirmed. Reflecting its plant heritage, ABA is most likely synthesized in the apicoplast, an organelle found in apicomplexans that is derived from an algal endosymbiont. Interestingly, ABA levels spike late in schizogony just before parasite egress. Thus, the steep increase in production of ABA at the end of the replicative cycle might...
serve as an intrinsic cue for egress. The herbicide fluridone, which inhibits ABA synthesis, blocks egress of \textit{T. gondii} tachyzoites (Nagamune et al. 2008). Furthermore, mice treated with fluridone survive inoculation with a lethal dose of \textit{Toxoplasma}. Fluridone also blocks Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-mediated egress of \textit{P. falciparum} merozoites from mature schizonts (S Singh and CE Chitnis, unpubl.), suggesting a conserved role for ABA in regulating egress in apicomplexan parasites (Fig. 1).

Rupture of limiting membranes during egress exposes \textit{T. gondii} tachyzoites to a drop in the concentration of K\textsuperscript{+} ([K\textsuperscript{+}]), which activates tachyzoite motility leading to rapid exit of mature tachyzoites (Moudy et al. 2001). Precisely how the tachyzoite senses [K\textsuperscript{+}] is not known, although phospholipase C (PLC), cytosolic [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] levels, and several Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-responsive proteins are thought to play a role (Moudy et al. 2001). The levels of [K\textsuperscript{+}] in the environment may be a natural external cue for tachyzoite egress at the end of the replicative cycle. However, parasites have also been observed to exit host cells in the absence of parasite motility or low [K\textsuperscript{+}] (Lavine and Arrizabalaga 2008; Abkarian et al. 2011). In case of malaria parasites, osmotic stress and host cell membrane tension may induce egress (Abkarian et al. 2011). Under normal circumstances, however, it is likely that the parasite uses intrinsic as well as extrinsic cues such as ABA and [K\textsuperscript{+}], respectively, as signals to trigger escape.

Several recent studies have provided compelling evidence for a role for proteases in egress of \textit{Plasmodium} spp. merozoites (Blackman 2004, 2008). Protease inhibitors have proved invaluable tools in analyzing the role of proteases in \textit{Plasmodium} biology and have shown that egress is a protease-dependent function. Although multiple models for merozoite egress have been proposed, evidence is accumulating in support of a model in which the PVM is disrupted before the RBC membrane (RBCM) (Winograd et al. 1999; Wickham et al. 2003). Further evidence for a model in which the parasite egresses in a stepwise manner came from observations that disruption of each limiting membrane is sensitive to different protease inhibitors (Salmon et al. 2001; Wickham et al. 2003; Soni et al. 2005; Millholland et al. 2011). Whereas the cysteine and serine protease inhibitors, leupeptin and antipain, block RBCM disruption, the cysteine protease inhibitor E-64 blocks PVM disruption (Glushakova et al. 2008). The targets of these inhibitors, however, remain to be determined.

Other proteases involved in egress include DPAP3, a cathepsin-C like cysteine protease, which is required for maturation of PfSUB1, a subtilisin-like protease implicated in egress (Arastu-Kapur et al. 2008). PfSUB1 is an essential protein that is expressed in late-blood-stage parasites and is secreted into the PV from apical merozoite organelles called exonemes before egress (Yeoh et al. 2007). Once in the PV, PfSUB1 processes serine-rich antigen 5 (SERA5) and potentially other serine-repeat antigen (SERA) proteins (Yeoh et al. 2007; Arastu-Kapur et al. 2008). The SERAs are PV proteins with papain-like putative protease domains. Although evidence of proteolytic activity has yet to be shown by SERAs, it is possible that PfSUB1 processing of SERA5 and other SERAs results in their activation and proteolysis of host cytoskeletal proteins to aid in parasite egress (Yeoh et al. 2007). Alternatively, SERAs might activate other parasite or host proteins that promote parasite egress. PfSERA5 processing from a precursor to mature form is linked to egress because this putative activation step occurs coincident with parasite exit from the erythrocyte (Blackman 2008). Inhibition of PfSERA5 by a cyclical peptide halts late-stage development of intraerythrocytic parasites possibly by blocking egress (Fairlie et al. 2008).

A host calcium-dependent protease, calpain-1, is also required for efficient parasite egress of \textit{Plasmodium} spp. merozoites and \textit{Toxoplasma} tachyzoites (Olaya and Wasserman 1991; Chandramohanadas et al. 2009). Treatment of \textit{P. falciparum} infected erythrocytes with an irreversible cysteine protease inhibitor, DCG04, did not affect parasite maturation but prevented parasite release from the host cell (Chandramohanadas et al. 2009). Selective extraction of treated cells identified host calpain-1 as the target of the inhibitor. Calpain-1 was observed in
Figure 1. Regulation of merozoites’ egress from host erythrocyte. An increase in abscisic acid (ABA) in schizonts leads to release of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) through the cADPR pathway. Increase in cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} triggers release of microneme (Mn) proteins such as PfPLP1 and exoneme (Exo) proteins such as PfSub1. Following secretion, PfPLP1 relocalizes to the limiting membranes and permeabilizes them to facilitate egress. Following its discharge to the parasitophorous vacuole (PV), PfSub1 mediates the processing of PfSERA5, leading to membrane degradation and egress.
the cytoplasm of the infected host cell until the schizont stage of parasite growth, when it relocated to the membrane following binding to Ca\(^{2+}\) and activation. Calpain-1 depletion from erythrocytes prevented parasite egress and led to growth arrest in the schizont stage, whereas reconstitution with recombinant calpain-1 restored normal growth development (Chandramohanadas et al. 2009). Human host cells treated with siRNA for host calpains (calpains 1 and 2) showed that it was required for *T. gondii* egress (Chandramohanadas et al. 2009).

**ROLE OF APICAL ORGANELLE DISCHARGE IN REGULATION OF PARASITE EGRESS**

Until recently, micronemes, the tubular shaped secretory organelles at the apical end of apicomplexan parasites, were thought to primarily contain adhesins that bind to cognate receptors on host cells during invasion. However, recently it has been shown that they also contain proteins that may be involved in parasite egress such as the pore-forming *T. gondii* perforin-like protein (TgPLP1) (Kafsack et al. 2009, 2010). It has been known for some time that microneme secretion is triggered by elevation of cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\). More recently, Ca\(^{2+}\) has also been implicated in regulating microneme secretion, which is required for parasite egress (Kafsack et al. 2009; Garg et al. 2013). Some of the molecular players in the calcium signaling pathway that play key roles in microneme secretion include TgCDPK1 and TgCDPK3, members of the calcium-dependent protein kinase family (Lourido et al. 2010). In a parallel study, using whole genome sequencing of *Toxoplasma* egress mutants, TgCDPK3 was found to be an essential factor for Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced egress from host cells. Because TgCDPK3 localizes to the periphery of the parasite, TgCDPK3 is proposed to be part of a signaling pathway that senses changes in the environment leading to egress (Lourido et al. 2012; McCoy et al. 2012). In case of *P. falciparum*, PfCDPK1 has been shown to play a role in microneme secretion (Bansal et al. 2013). In addition, although conditional deletion of PfCDPK5 results in a block in *P. falciparum* merozoite egress from mature schizonts, loss of PfCDPK5 does not impair RBC invasion (Dvorin et al. 2010). Whether PfCDPK5 is responsible for regulating release of an apical organelle that is required for egress remains to be determined.

The activation of cGMP-dependent protein kinase G (TgPKG) has also been shown to induce microneme secretion and egress. Compound 1, a selective apicomplexan PKG inhibitor, was used to establish the role of TgPKG in microneme secretion and egress (Wiersma et al. 2004). Treatment of *P. falciparum* schizonts with compound 1 results in a block in schizont rupture, which does not occur when transgenic parasites with a gatekeeper mutation are treated with compound 1 (Taylor et al. 2010; Collins et al. 2013). Phosphoproteomic analysis of merozoites with and without treatment with Compound 1 suggests that a cGMP-dependent kinase serves as a signaling hub that regulates key processes in invasion and egress of *P. falciparum* merozoites (Alam et al. 2015). Interestingly, in contrast to PfCDPK5 knockdown parasites, Compound 1-treated merozoites were not invasive (Dvorin et al. 2010). Collectively, these findings describe the complex signaling pathways that regulate egress and suggest that the different pathways cross talk with each other enabling the parasite to respond to multiple signals to orchestrate a timely escape from host cells.

**MOLECULAR PLAYERS FOR ERYTHROCYTE INVASION BY *Plasmodium* spp. MEROZOITES**

Following egress and release from mature schizonts, *Plasmodium* merozoites go on to invade uninfected erythrocytes to initiate another round of intracellular replication. Erythrocyte invasion by *Plasmodium* spp. merozoites has been studied extensively by live cell imaging and electron microscopy (Dvorak et al. 1975; Aikawa et al. 1978; Riglar et al. 2011; Hanssen et al. 2013). After attachment to erythrocytes, merozoites reorient and develop a tight junction between their apical ends and target erythrocytes (Aikawa et al. 1978; Besteiro et al. 2011; Riglar et al 2011). These steps are mediated by multiple interactions between parasite
protein ligands and host receptors (Gaur and Chitnis 2011; Cowman et al. 2012). The identity of host receptors involved in erythrocyte invasion came initially from studies that used genetically deficient erythrocytes or enzyme-treated erythrocytes for invasion studies. The first report on essentiality of a molecular interaction between Plasmodium spp. merozoites and erythrocytes during invasion was based on the observation that Duffy negativity in West Africa was associated with the absence of P. vivax infection (Miller et al. 1976). It was shown that P. vivax is dependent on interaction with the Duffy blood group antigen (also known as Duffy antigen receptor for chemokines [DARC]) to establish a blood-stage infection in humans (Miller et al. 1976; Horuk et al. 1993). However, recent studies have identified P. vivax strains in Kenya, Brazil, and Madagascar that can infect Duffy-negative individuals suggesting that P. vivax may invade using alternative invasion pathways (Ryan et al. 2006; Cavasini et al. 2007; Ménard et al. 2010). In case of P. falciparum, glycophorins A, B, C (GPA, GPB, GPC) were identified as receptors for invasion (Gaur and Chitnis 2011). However, no human erythrocyte lacking an individual receptor has been found to be completely refractory to invasion by P. falciparum indicating redundancy in invasion pathways. Following identification of host receptors, a family of erythrocyte-binding proteins (EBPs) that includes P. vivax and P. knowlesi Duffy-binding proteins (PvDBP and PkDBP) and P. falciparum erythrocyte-binding antigens, EBA-175, EBA-140, EBA-181, and EBL-1, were identified as receptors for invasion (Gaur and Chitnis 2011). However, no human erythrocyte lacking an individual receptor has been found to be completely refractory to invasion by P. falciparum indicating redundancy in invasion pathways. Following identification of host receptors, a family of erythrocyte-binding proteins (EBPs) that includes P. vivax and P. knowlesi Duffy-binding proteins (PvDBP and PkDBP) and P. falciparum erythrocyte-binding antigens, EBA-175, EBA-140, EBA-181, and EBL-1, were identified as receptors for invasion (Gaur and Chitnis 2011). GPA, GPB, and GPC have been shown to bind EBA-175, EBA-140, and EBL-1, respectively (Gaur and Chitnis 2011).

Another P. falciparum multigene family, which shares homology with P. vivax reticuloocyte-binding proteins and binds erythrocytes, is the PfRH family of P. falciparum proteins (Gaur and Chitnis 2011). The PfRH protein family includes PfRH1a, PfRH1b PfRH2a, PfRH2b, PfRH4, and PfRH5. Genetic knockout studies have confirmed that PfRH2a/b and PfRH4 mediate sialic acid independent invasion pathways (Cowman et al. 2012). Complement receptor 1 (CR1) has recently been shown to serve as the erythrocyte receptor for PfRH4 (Tham et al. 2010). PfRH5 has also been shown to mediate invasion of Aotus erythrocytes by P. falciparum (Hayton et al. 2008). Basigin has been recently shown to be a receptor for PfRH5 binding (Crosnier et al. 2011). Other merozoite proteins implicated in invasion include thrombospondin-related anonymous protein (TRAP) homologs such as MTRAP and PTRAMP that contain the characteristic thrombospondin repeat (TSR) adhesive domains (Bartholdson et al. 2012; Siddiqui et al. 2013). Recent work has shown that the conserved apical membrane antigen-1 (PfAMA1) in complex with the rhoptry neck proteins (PfRON2 and PfRON4) localizes to the junction (Richard et al. 2010; Besteiro et al. 2011; Srinivasan et al. 2011). The exported PfRON2 protein is inserted into the erythrocyte membrane and interacts with secreted PfAMA1 during invasion. Other invasion-related proteins include two protein complexes, the high-molecular-weight (HMW) complex composed of RhopH1, RhopH2, and RhopH3 and the low-molecular-weight (LMW) complex composed of RAP1, RAP2, and RAP3. Apart from these adhesins and their interacting parasite ligands, Plasmodium spp. merozoites have a conserved molecular machinery for motility, which comprises of a central actin–myosin motor located in the pellicle of the parasite that is linked with both a surface adhesin and the inner membrane complex (IMC) and is likely to drive the invasion process (Sharma and Chitnis 2013). Recent work has shown that PfRON2-PfAMA1 interaction may not be essential for junction formation and there may be alternative pathways for invasion that are not dependent on the actin–myosin motor (Bargieri et al. 2014).

MOLECULAR SIGNALS THAT REGULATE APICAL ORGANELLE DISCHARGE DURING INVASION

As discussed above, many of the parasite proteins that bind erythrocyte receptors to mediate different steps in the invasion process are localized to the micronemes and rhoptries and must be translocated to the merozoite surface in a
regulated manner to enable receptor engagement and invasion. For example, PfAMA1 and PfEBA175 are localized in the micronemes, whereas PfRON2, and PfRON4 are localized in the rhoptry neck and PfRH2, PfRH5, PRAP1-3, and PfRhop1-3 are localized in the rhoptry bulb. The timely discharge of microneme and rhoptry proteins is essential for successful invasion by *P. falciparum* merozoites (Singh et al. 2010; Riglar et al. 2011; Hanssen et al. 2013).

What are the external signals and internal signaling pathways that mediate discharge of microneme and rhoptry proteins in *Plasmodium* merozoites and *Toxoplasma* tachyzoites during invasion? Studies with *T. gondii* tachyzoites first revealed that the levels of free cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ are high in tachyzoites during the process of gliding and are restored to basal levels following attachment to target cells during invasion (Carruthers and Sibley 1999; Carruthers et al. 1999; Lovett et al. 2002; Moreno and Docampo 2003). Similarly, cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ levels are high in *P. falciparum* merozoites and are restored to basal levels following attachment to target erythrocytes during invasion indicating that Ca$^{2+}$ may regulate processes such as vesicular secretion during invasion (Singh et al. 2010). Indeed, treatment of *P. falciparum* merozoites with A23187 triggers an increase in cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ and secretion of microneme proteins such as PFAMA1 and PfEBA-175 but has no effect on secretion of rhoptry proteins (Singh et al. 2010). Importantly, the Ca$^{2+}$ ionophore, A23187, induces microneme secretion in absence of extracellular Ca$^{2+}$, which indicates that Ca$^{2+}$ from intracellular stores is sufficient for secretion of microneme proteins (Singh et al. 2010). Ethanol and other short-chain alcohols elevate cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ in *T. gondii* tachyzoites to stimulate microneme secretion (Carruthers and Sibley 1999; Carruthers et al. 1999). Treatment of tachyzoites with thapsigargin, which inhibits sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase (SERCA) and blocks pumping of Ca$^{2+}$ from the cytosol back to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), increases cytosolic [Ca$^{2+}$] levels and triggers secretion of microneme proteins. In contrast, chelation of cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ by treatment of *T. gondii* tachyzoites or *P. falciparum* merozoites with BAPTA-AM inhibits microneme release and invasion of host cells (Singh et al. 1990; Carruthers and Sibley 1999; Carruthers et al. 1999). Ca$^{2+}$ is stored in organelles such as the ER, mitochondria, and acidocalcisomes in apicomplexan parasites (Garcia 1999; Alleva and Kirk 2001). Treatment of *T. gondii* tachyzoites with ethanol raises levels of intracellular inositol triphosphate (IP$_3$), which trigger Ca$^{2+}$ release by binding to IP$_3$-receptor gated Ca$^{2+}$ channels (IP$_3$R) on the ER. Furthermore, xestospongin C, an IP$_3$R antagonist, inhibits ethanol-triggered release of Ca$^{2+}$ and microneme secretion in *T. gondii* suggesting the presence of IP$_3$R (Lovett et al. 2002). The presence of PLC has been shown in *T. gondii* and PLC transcripts have been detected in *P. falciparum* blood-stage parasites. Moreover, an inhibitor of PLC has been shown to block Ca$^{2+}$ release in *T. gondii* and *P. falciparum* providing further evidence for the presence of an IP$_3$-mediated pathway for Ca$^{2+}$ release in these parasites (Carruthers and Sibley 1999; Carruthers et al. 1999; Lovett et al. 2002; Moreno et al. 2003; Vaid et al. 2008; Singh et al. 2010). Treatment of *T. gondii* with ryanodine or caffeine, which are agonists of the ryanodine receptor (RyR)-gated Ca$^{2+}$ channel on ER, also leads to an increase in cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ and microneme secretion (Lovett et al. 2002). The ER thus appears to be the primary intracellular store from which Ca$^{2+}$ is mobilized. Endogenous cyclic ADP ribose (cADPR), which can bind RyR to induce calcium release, was detected in *T. gondii* extracts suggesting that it may also play a role as a second messenger to regulate calcium levels and microneme secretion (Chini et al. 2005). Moreover, treatment of *T. gondii* tachyzoites with RyR antagonists, 8-bromo-cADPR and ruthenium red, blocks microneme secretion (Chini et al. 2005). Although use of modulators has provided pharmacological evidence for the presence of both IP$_3$R and RyR in both *P. falciparum* and *T. gondii*, the genes encoding IP$_3$R and RyR have not yet been identified.

The increase in cytosolic [Ca$^{2+}$] levels activates *P. falciparum* calcium-dependent protein kinase 1 (PfCDPK1) and *T. gondii* CDPK1. Cite this article as Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a026815
(TgCDPK1), which play central roles in orchestrating many of the processes required for invasion of host cells, including microneme release and the activation of the actin–myosin motor (Green et al. 2008; Kato et al. 2008b; Lourido et al. 2010; Bansal et al. 2013). Recently, a screen for molecules required for invasion of host cells by T. gondii identified a DOC2 protein that is required for microneme secretion, presumably by mediating Ca$^{2+}$-dependent vesicular docking activity (Farrell et al. 2012). The P. falciparum ortholog of this protein is also required for the invasion of red blood cells and release of micronemes (Farrell et al. 2012). Independent of Ca$^{2+}$ release, chemical genetic and phosphoproteomics evidence suggests that cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) and the cGMP-dependent kinase, PKG, also play an essential role in microneme release and invasion (Moon et al. 2009; Alam et al. 2015).

What might be the external signals that trigger secretion of microneme and rhoptry proteins during invasion? We have shown that exposure of P. falciparum merozoites to a low-[K$^+$] environment as found in blood plasma can serve as a natural signal that triggers an increase in cytosolic [Ca$^{2+}$] through the PLC pathway leading to secretion of microneme proteins such as PfEBA175 and PfAMA1 to the merozoite surface (Singh et al. 2010). Following translocation to the merozoite surface, binding of EBA175 to GPA restores basal [Ca$^{2+}$] levels and triggers release of rhoptry proteins such as PfRH1b, Clag3.1, and PfTRAMP (Singh et al. 2010; Siddiqui et al. 2013). Secretion of microneme and rhoptry proteins to the merozoite surface is thus a two-step process as shown in Figure 2. Microneme proteins have also been shown to play a role in rhoptry secretion in T. gondii. Deletion of microneme protein MIC8 resulted in a block in rhoptry secretion and parasite growth (Kessler et al. 2008). The cytoplasmic domain of microneme proteins was implicated in playing a role to trigger rhoptry secretion (Kessler et al. 2008).

The pathway by which a low-[K$^+$] environment triggers an increase in cytosolic [Ca$^{2+}$] in P. falciparum merozoites is regulated by another ubiquitous second messenger, namely, 3'-5' cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) (Dawn et al. 2014). The transfer of P. falciparum merozoites to a low-[K$^+$] medium activates the parasite carbonic anhydrase (PfCA), which produces H$^+$ ions and bicarbonate (HCO$_3^-$) to balance cytosolic pH (Dawn et al. 2014). Increase in cytosolic bicarbonate levels activates bicarbonate-sensitive soluble adenylyl cyclase, PfACβ leading to an increase in cAMP levels, which activates protein kinase A (PfPKA) as well as the cAMP responsive GTP exchange protein called exchange protein activated by cAMP (PfEPAC) (Fig. 2) (Dawn et al. 2014). In other eukaryotic cells, activated EPAC transfers GTP to Rap1 GTPase, which then activates PLC (Gloerich and Bos 2010). Use of specific agonists as well as inhibitors has shown that the EPAC pathway for activation of PLC following an increase in cAMP is present and is responsible for the increase in [Ca$^{2+}$] when merozoites are exposed to a low-[K$^+$] environment following egress from schizonts. In addition to regulating levels of free [Ca$^{2+}$] in merozoites, cAMP also directly regulates microneme secretion by activating PKA (Dawn et al. 2014).

A recent study describes a different mechanism for elevation of cytosolic [Ca$^{2+}$] in merozoites leading to microneme release. This study suggests the interaction of rhoptry protein PfRh1 to host RBCs triggers a surge in cytosolic [Ca$^{2+}$] levels in merozoites leading to release of microneme proteins. However, this model does not explain what triggers the release of PfRh1 from the rhoptries to the merozoite surface in the first place and implies that rhoptry secretion may precede microneme secretion (Gao et al. 2013).

The identification of some of the key players involved in signaling pathways leading to microneme and rhoptry discharge during invasion provides novel targets for intervention. For example, targeting PfCDPK1 with small molecule inhibitors will block release of key invasion-related microneme proteins to inhibit blood-stage parasite growth (Bansal et al. 2013). Given that enzymes such as PfCDPK1, which contain both the calmodulin-like Ca$^{2+}$-binding domain and kinase domain, are of plant origin and are not found in mammalian cells suggests that it should be possible to design specific inhibitors.
Figure 2. Model for cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and Ca\(^{2+}\)-mediated signaling pathways that regulate apical organelle discharge in *Plasmodium falciparum* merozoites. Exposure of *P. falciparum* merozoites to a low-K\(^{+}\) environment as present in blood plasma leads to production of H\(^{+}\) and HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) ions by carbonic anhydrase (CA) to maintain pH. HCO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) ions activate soluble adenylyl cyclase (PfAC\(_b\)) leading to increase in levels of cAMP. Elevation of cAMP activates its downstream effectors, protein kinase A (PKA) and exchange protein activated by cAMP (EPAC). PKA plays a direct role in regulating microneme secretion. EPAC activates Rap1 GTPase by transferring GTP to Rap1. Rap1-GTP activates phospholipase C (PLC) leading to an increase in cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) levels, which leads to activation of calcium-dependent protein kinase 1 (PfCDPK1) and secretion of microneme proteins such as EBA175. Engagement of EBA175 with its receptor glycophorin A (GlyA) restores basal [Ca\(^{2+}\)] levels and triggers discharge of rhoptry proteins such as PfClag3.1. Mn, Micronemes; Rh, rhoptries.
that block activation of PfCDPK1 following binding to Ca\(^{2+}\). Similarly other \emph{P. falciparum} enzymes such as carbonic anhydrase (PfCA) or adenylate cyclase (PfACβ) could also be targeted to block production of second messengers required for transduction of signals that trigger microneme release to block blood-stage growth. The signaling pathways that lead to release of rhoptry proteins remain to be defined. Targeting both signaling pathways that are involved in microneme and rhoptry release may provide synergy to efficiently block erythrocyte invasion by apicomplexan pathogens.

**FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

Significant progress has been made toward understanding the molecular basis of key steps in the biology of blood-stage malaria parasites such as RBC invasion and merozoite egress following replication in schizonts. As we identify the key parasite ligands involved in invasion, it is clear that it is unlikely that a single merozoite antigen will hold the key to the invasion process. Instead, we have learned that the invasion process is complex and has multiple steps with redundancies built into each step. So, there will be no key parasite ligand that can be targeted to block invasion. It is more likely that we will find combinations of antigens that are critical for invasion and yield synergy to block invasion with high efficiency when targeted together with a combination of specific antibodies. Such combinations may yield high rates of growth inhibition across diverse parasite strains and may provide the basis of highly effective blood-stage vaccines. Such vaccines may have an impact not only on blood-stage parasitemia but also on gametocyte densities leading to a reduction in transmission potential. Such vaccines that interrupt malaria transmission (VIMT) may be useful in elimination strategies in endemic regions in addition to providing protection against malaria.

The regulated secretion of key effector molecules from merozoite apical organelles plays an important role in the complex processes of host cell invasion and egress. A clear understanding of the complete signaling cascades that regulate apical organelle secretion is likely to reveal targets for small molecule inhibitors that can block invasion or egress. Such targets may provide attractive points for intervention to block blood-stage parasite growth. Some of the signaling pathways may be common to different stages. For example, development of gametocytes is also regulated by calcium and requires secretion of effectors such as perforin-like protein PPLP2 for gamete egress. The signaling pathways that regulate processes such as gametocyte activation and gamete egress are not understood. If the signaling pathways that regulate steps such as vesicular secretion leading to egress of sexual-stage parasites are similar to those in blood stages, it may be possible to target both blood-stage growth and malaria transmission. Such interventions can not only protect against malaria but also assist in elimination of malaria by reducing malaria transmission.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

During blood-stage infection \emph{Plasmodium} spp. merozoites repeatedly invade, multiply, exit, and reinvasive host RBCs. The key steps of exit from mature schizonts and reinvasion of RBCs by merozoites requires timely regulation of key cellular processes such as apical organelle discharge, which is regulated by cAMP and Ca\(^{2+}\) dependent signal transduction pathways. The internal and external signals that regulate levels of second messengers such as cAMP and Ca\(^{2+}\) have been identified and some of the key players of the signaling pathways are known. A complete understanding of the signaling pathways that regulate the process of apical organelle secretion will enable the design of novel intervention strategies that block parasite egress or invasion to block blood-stage parasite growth. Some of the signaling pathways that regulate processes such as vesicular discharge in the blood stage may be common to other stages as well. It may thus be possible to target pathways that are common to both the blood and sexual stages to provide protection against malaria as well as block malaria transmission to eventually eliminate malaria.
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Plasmodium falciparum and Plasmodium vivax account for most of the mortality and morbidity associated with malaria in humans. Research and control efforts have focused on infections caused by P. falciparum and P. vivax, but have neglected other malaria parasite species that infect humans. Additionally, many related malaria parasite species infect non-human primates (NHPs), and have the potential for transmission to humans. For malaria elimination, the varied and specific challenges of all of these Plasmodium species will need to be considered. Recent advances in molecular genetics and genomics have increased our knowledge of the prevalence and existing diversity of the human and NHP Plasmodium species. We are beginning to identify the extent of the reservoirs of each parasite species in humans and NHPs, revealing their origins as well as potential for adaptation in humans. Here, we focus on the red blood cell stage of human infection and the host cell tropism of each human Plasmodium species. Determinants of tropism are unique among malaria parasite species, presenting a complex challenge for malaria elimination.

More than 60% of known infectious organisms are zoonotic, and they account for 75% of emerging human diseases (Taylor et al. 2001; Jones et al. 2008). The predominant species of malaria parasites infecting humans, Plasmodium falciparum and Plasmodium vivax, are anthropoontic in human populations; however, these species also originated from a transmission event from African great apes to humans (Liu et al. 2010, 2014). In addition, four other malaria parasite species from the genus Plasmodium infect humans: Plasmodium malariae, Plasmodium ovale curtisi, Plasmodium ovale wallikeri, thought to be transmitted within humans, and Plasmodium knowlesi, a zoonosis of humans from macaque monkeys. All Plasmodium species are characterized by a complex life cycle with several stages of differentiation through its anopheline mosquito vector and the vertebrate host. In humans, following a primary stage of infection and multiplication in the liver, parasites are released into the bloodstream. The clinical symptoms of malaria are associated with the blood stage, when parasites proliferate asexually by invasion of red blood cells (RBCs), replication, egress from the infected cell, and reinvasion of an uninfected cell in a cyclical fashion. A subset of parasites can leave this asexual cycle to develop into sexual forms known as gametocytes, which are taken up by mosquitoes,
in which sexual recombination and development occur to form parasites that can be reintroduced into the host, completing the life cycle.

In contrast to *Plasmodium* species that infect lizards and birds, the host range for primate *Plasmodium* species was thought to be highly restricted with only rare instances of zoonotic transmission. Recently, however, this dogma of strict host tropism in nature has been challenged, particularly with the emergence of *P. knowlesi* in the human population. Restrictions to infection of the host can occur at many points throughout the life cycle of *Plasmodium* parasites. Because host and vector habitat and behavior are difficult to study in natural settings, host–cell tropism at the RBC invasion step has been the most studied at the molecular and cellular level. Furthermore, with advances in molecular genomic and genetic tools, we now have a greater understanding of parasite species diversity present also in animal host populations. This increased knowledge raises several questions and concerns as the research agenda shifts toward the eradication of malaria. How large and deep is the repertoire of existing *Plasmodium* species? Is the range of *Plasmodium* species to which humans are susceptible fully known? Is the zoonotic reservoir significant today as a means of transmission?

Here, we review the molecular determinants at the RBC invasion step that regulate host-cell tropism, discussing how these factors may influence the ability of *Plasmodium* parasites to breach species barriers and expand host range, impeding efforts to eliminate malaria.

**MOLECULAR MEDIATORS OF RBC INVASION AND TROPISM**

For successful RBC invasion, an extracellular *Plasmodium* parasite must initiate and execute a complex, well-ordered series of molecular interactions with the plasma membrane surface of the host cell. At each step of invasion, parasite proteins or invasion ligands bind to native receptors on the RBC surface or secreted parasite receptors (*Cowman et al.* 2012). The two superfamilies of *Plasmodium* invasion ligands that mediate the most specific interactions with receptors on the RBC surface, and therefore thought to be primary determinants of tropism, are the Duffy-binding protein ligand (DBL) family and the reticulocyte-binding protein homolog (RBL) family (*Fig. 1*) (*Cowman and Crabb* 2006; *Tham et al.* 2012; *Wright and Rayner* 2014; *Paul et al.* 2015).

The DBL invasion ligands all contain a well-characterized Duffy-binding-like receptor-binding domain, named for the founding members of this family—the *P. vivax* Duffy-binding protein (PvDBP) (*Wertheimer and Barnwell* 1989) and the orthologous *P. knowlesi* Duffy-binding protein α (PkDBPα) (*Haynes et al.* 1988). The interaction of PvDBP or PkDBPα with the RBC Duffy antigen receptor for chemokines (DARC) is the major determinant of human infection by these *Plasmodium* species (*Miller et al.* 1975; *Singh et al.* 2005). *P. falciparum* has an even larger repertoire of DBL invasion ligands, all of which use sialic acid–containing receptors on the human RBC surface to mediate invasion. At least one of these invasion ligands, PfEBA-175 has previously been implicated in host tropism (*Martin et al.* 2005).

The *P. vivax* proteins PvRBP1 and PvRBP2, for which the RBL family is named, are thought to restrict *P. vivax* to reticulocytes by virtue of their specific binding to reticulocytes (*Galinski et al.* 1992). Despite the kinship between the two species, the RBL ligands in *P. knowlesi*, PkNBPXa and PkNBPXb, are strongly divergent from their *P. vivax* counterparts (*Mayer et al.* 2009); their role in human infection is not known. In *P. falciparum*, PfRh proteins have been shown to underlie preferences for specific receptor repertoires (PfRh4; *Stubbs et al.* 2005; *Gaur et al.* 2006), as well as preference of RBCs from different host species (Rh5; *Hayton et al.* 2008, 2013).

A more detailed review of factors involved in invasion of host RBCs by the parasites can be found in *Singh and Chitnis* (2016).

**Plasmodium knowlesi: AN ESTABLISHED ZOONOSIS**

**A Zoonosis Happening Today**

*P. knowlesi* is currently the only clearly zoonotic malaria parasite. Although the first natural case
Figure 1. Specific ligand–receptor interactions mediate *Plasmodium* invasion of red blood cells (RBCs) that can determine host tropism. Parasite invasion ligands and their cognate RBC receptors are shown for *Plasmodium falciparum*, *Plasmodium vivax*, and *Plasmodium knowlesi*. A question mark or letter indicates receptors that are yet to be identified. Orange shading highlights known host tropism determinants, whereas grey shading indicates potential host tropism determinants. The triangles on some RBC receptors denote sialic acid residues. For *P. vivax*, DBP2 represents the duplicated DBP that has been implicated in *P. vivax* invasion of Duffy antigen receptor for chemokines (DARC)-negative individuals (Ménard et al. 2013). The expanded RBP family identified through whole-genome sequencing (WGS) of the *P. vivax* Sall reference strain (Carlton et al. 2008) comprises RBP1a, RBP1b, RBP2a, RBP2b, RBP2c, RBP2d, and RBP3, whereas EBP and RBP2e represent the predicted Duffy-binding protein ligand (DBL) and reticulocyte-binding protein homolog (RBL) orthologs identified through WGS of a *P. vivax* field isolate (Hester et al. 2013). *P. knowlesi* DBPα-DARC invasion pathway functions in invasion of macaque RBCs; however, it does not appear to be a tropism determinant for the macaque host population. NBPXa has been shown to bind human RBCs in vitro, but its role in invasion of human RBCs remains to be defined.
of *P. knowlesi* malaria was reported as early as 1965 (Chin et al. 1965), it was only in 2004 that Singh et al. conclusively showed that the majority, if not all, of cases diagnosed as *P. malariae* on the basis of morphology in the Kapit division of Malaysia from 2000 to 2002 were in fact *P. knowlesi* (Singh et al. 2004). A follow-up study examined archival blood films from 1996 and confirmed that misdiagnosis of *P. knowlesi* for *P. malariae* had been common earlier than realized. The investigators also speculated that an earlier survey conducted in 1952 may also have misidentified *P. knowlesi* cases as *P. malariae*, challenging the idea that it is a newly emerging zoonosis (Lee et al. 2009). Since then, cases have been reported in various regions of Southeast Asia and *P. knowlesi* is now the leading cause of malaria in some parts of Malaysia (Singh and Daneshvar 2013). Although there is significant evidence suggesting that most transmission is zoonotic (Singh et al. 2004; Daneshvar et al. 2009), a recent study reports cases, albeit limited, of infection within families, without notable interaction with potential zoonotic hosts (Barber et al. 2012). Intriguingly, another recent study suggests that the prevalence may be higher than previously thought, with a potentially large asymptomatic population harboring the parasite (Ahmed et al. 2014). A subsequent whole-genome study found dimorphism in the natural *P. knowlesi* population (Pinheiro et al. 2015). A larger study soon supported the possibility of two distinct *P. knowlesi* populations in circulation (Assefa et al. 2015; Divis et al. 2015). These studies, comprising isolates from two natural macaque hosts (long-tailed and pig-tailed macaques), as well as humans, showed that there are two distinct sympatric clusters of *P. knowlesi* matching to the two natural macaque hosts. The genetic diversity between these clusters was great enough to suspect subspeciation. Strikingly, although interaction between the clusters is likely limited because of different geographical and ecological niches of the macaque hosts, both of the clusters are found in humans. Introgression between subspecies provides opportunities for a parasite to adapt to a new environment and potentially new host organisms. The possibility of hybridization in the human host was speculated, based on genetic mosaicism observed across the genome. Further, through genomic analyses of human isolates several genes were found to be under strong positive selection in the human population. These data indicate the potential for *P. knowlesi* to adapt and evolve within the human population.

**Adaptation of *P. knowlesi* to Human RBCs**

Consistent with *P. knowlesi* being a zoonosis of humans derived by transmission from macaque monkeys, in vitro culture of *P. knowlesi* has always been performed in macaque blood, because the low replication rate in human blood has impeded continuous propagation (Kocken et al. 2002). Interestingly, it was found that *P. knowlesi* parasites used in malaria therapy of neurosyphilis human patients resulted in high parasitemia infections and pathogenesis with increased passage through humans, suggesting an adaptation toward virulence (van Rooyen and Pile 1935; Ciuca et al. 1955). Recently, both our group and another group successfully obtained *P. knowlesi* lines adapted to grow efficiently in human RBCs (Lim et al. 2013; Moon et al. 2013). In both cases, the parental *P. knowlesi* H strain was in culture for an extended period of time in a mixture of human and macaque RBCs, until it was able to be maintained in purely human RBCs. The increased invasion efficiency observed in the human-adapted lines remains reliant on the PkDBPα–DARC interaction (Moon et al. 2013). Interest-
ingly, we found that although the parental strain showed a strong preference for the very young fraction of circulating human RBCs, the human-adapted line had circumvented this specific tropism, permitting invasion of an expanded pool of RBCs of varying age (Lim et al. 2013). Whether this mechanism mirrors the natural mode of adaptation in the field is yet to be determined. Increased numbers of human infections, particularly those of high density, may serve as a sentinel of increased adaptation of *P. knowlesi* to the human population.

**P. vivax: STRENGTH IN DIVERSITY**

**Diversity and Origin of *P. vivax***

Despite being the most widely distributed of the human malaria parasites, *P. vivax* has long been considered benign and has not received as much attention as the demonstrably lethal *P. falciparum*. It is becoming apparent that *P. vivax* parasites are considerably more diverse than *P. falciparum* (Rosenberg et al. 1989; Qari et al. 1991, 1992; Cui et al. 2003; Neafsey et al. 2012; Carlton et al. 2013). In a recent study, next-generation sequencing of four geographically distinct *P. vivax* isolates (Neafsey et al. 2012) revealed a high rate of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). *P. vivax* therefore has a larger effective population size compared with *P. falciparum* that has not gone through a recent bottleneck or drug-driven sweep in selection.

Analysis of a growing number of samples from different geographical locations has also led to the discovery of "*P. vivax*-like" parasites such as *Plasmodium simium* (Coatney et al. 1971; Costa et al. 2014). *P. simium*, found in New World monkeys, is considered to be morphologically and genetically indistinguishable from *P. vivax* (Collins et al. 1969; Coatney et al. 1971; Deane 1988). A recent study showed that wild monkeys infected with *P. simium* showed high levels of seropositivity against *P. vivax* antigens (Camargos Costa et al. 2015). Sequencing of the PsDBP gene revealed only four polymorphic sites compared with PvDBP, highlighting the remarkable similarity between *P. vivax* and *P. simium* and suggesting a potentially large sylvatic reservoir for *P. vivax* or *P. vivax*-like parasites.

Two other *Plasmodium* species closely related to *P. vivax* have also been identified—*Plasmodium cynomolgi* and *Plasmodium simiovale*. Antibodies against the circumsporozoite protein of *P. simiovale* were detected in human population studies (Qari et al. 1993; Udhayakumar et al. 1994; Marrelli et al. 1998); however, an independent study could not confirm the presence of *P. simiovale* in the human population (Gopinath et al. 1994) and experimental infection of humans with *P. simiovale* in an early study was not successful (Dissanaike 1965). A recent analysis of the sequence of merozoite surface protein 9 (MSP-9) from diverse *Plasmodium* species suggests that *P. simiovale* and the macaque parasite, *Plasmodium fieldi*, form a clade, whereas *P. vivax* and *P. cynomolgi* form another (Chenet et al. 2013), arguing for a more in-depth phylogenetic analysis of this species. Interestingly, a case of *P. cynomolgi* human infection has been reported recently in Malaysia, showing the biological ability of this parasite to naturally infect humans (Ta et al. 2014).

Where does *P. vivax* originally come from? The position of *P. vivax* within a clade of related parasites that includes *P. cynomolgi*, which infects Asian primates, led to the widely held view that *P. vivax* originated in Asia. This theory, however, was at odds with the near-fixation of the DARC-negative allele in sub-Saharan Africa, which largely confers protection against *P. vivax* (Young et al. 1955; Miller et al. 1975). Several recent studies have identified *P. vivax*-like parasites in African great apes (Kaiser et al. 2010; Krief et al. 2010; Prugnolle et al. 2010). A large-scale study by Liu et al. (2014) found a much greater diversity of *P. vivax* in African great apes than found within the human population. The extant African ape reservoir of *P. vivax* likely descended from an ancient parasite pool, which served as a source for a single zoonotic transfer that has given rise to modern human *P. vivax*. Interestingly, the ape *P. vivax*-like parasites can infect both gorillas and chimpanzees alike, suggesting frequent transmission between these species. The reduced diversity of extant human *P. vivax* likely results from a bottlenecked line-
age that spread from Africa, where, thereafter, it became severely restricted within Africa as DARC negativity spread among the human population.

The PvDBP–DARC Interaction: Indispensable for *P. vivax*?

Three invasion ligands had been identified for *P. vivax* (PvDBP, PvRBP1, and PvRBP2) (Wertheimer and Barnwell 1989; Galinski et al. 1992) before the assembly of the full genome, which thereafter revealed the presence of several more members of the RBL family (Fig. 1) (Carlton et al. 2008). The interaction of PvDBP with the DARC receptor, however, had been shown to be essential for human infection (Miller et al. 1975; Wertheimer and Barnwell 1989).

De novo assembly of additional *P. vivax* isolates revealed that the SalI reference strain, which had been extensively passaged in vivo in monkey models, was missing several large genomic regions including loci for putative invasion ligands of both the RBL and DBL family (Hester et al. 2013). In addition, a duplication of PvDBP (Ménard et al. 2013) was observed in several field isolates, an apparently recent event, based on the similarity of the two DBP loci. In any case, *P. vivax* possesses a large set of invasion ligands that could be used to confer phenotypic diversity.

A number of reports have recently documented *P. vivax* infection in several DARC-negative individuals (Ménard et al. 2010a,b; Woldearegai et al. 2013). Additionally, cases of high parasitemia and severe disease caused by *P. vivax* have been increasing over the past decade (White et al. 2014). These discoveries suggest a diversity in the ability of *P. vivax* isolates to infect human RBCs.

A Hidden Pool of *P. vivax* in Plain Sight?

*P. vivax* can consistently be detected in the few DARC-positive individuals that are surveyed in largely DARC-negative populations (Culleton and Carter 2012). DARC-negative individuals also show significant exposure in populations of almost exclusive DARC negativity. Strikingly, samples collected by passive case detection from 13% of individuals attending a clinic in the Republic of Congo had antibodies to the preerythrocytic stage of *P. vivax* (Culleton et al. 2009). These studies support the hypothesis that there is ongoing transmission of *P. vivax* in sub-Saharan Africa, although the extent of this “transmission” has not been fully assessed. It has been suggested that the small population of DARC-positive individuals (<5%) may be sufficient to sustain this highly transmissible species. Further, it is possible that there exists a large reservoir of *P. vivax* in humans with a very low circulating parasitemia, which, nevertheless, can be transmitted to humans. The growing number of *P. vivax* cases in DARC-negative individuals adds yet another challenge. The discovery of *P. vivax* in gorillas and chimpanzees now provides the additional complexity of an animal reservoir. In fact, an expanded NHP reservoir could exist in many geographical areas as *P. vivax* and related species have also been found in various South American monkeys, including howler monkeys (Costa et al. 2014). A human traveler from the Central African Republic infected with ape *P. vivax* (Prugnolle et al. 2013), the lone case of a modern zoonotic transfer of this parasite species, suggests that if interaction between the human and NHP populations increases, risk of animal-borne *P. vivax* may increase in the human population.

Challenges for Establishing a *P. vivax* Blood-Stage Vaccine

Efforts to develop preventative measures against malaria have been heavily skewed toward *P. falciparum* (discussed later in this review) (Reyes-Sandoval 2013). It is becoming apparent that this lag in research in *P. vivax* control and prevention will be a major and persistent challenge in our goal toward malaria elimination (WHO 2015). The search for an ideal blood-stage vaccine target has centered on PvDBP because of its necessity in invading human RBCs. Antibodies raised against the binding region of PvDBP, called region II (RII), have been shown to be efficient at inhibiting the binding of PvDBP to DARC (Chitnis and Sharma 2008).
PvRII has also shown promise in preclinical trials in animal models (Mueller et al. 2009). However, the high diversity among P. vivax strains and in the PvDBP alleles has led to conflicting reports on whether a PvRII-based vaccine would confer protection against all strains (de Sousa et al. 2014). Although there are a few more antigens under consideration as blood-stage vaccine targets (such as merozoite surface protein 1 [MSP-1] and apical membrane antigen 1 [AMA-1]), there is clearly a need to screen a larger set of antigens to find a more suitable one (Valencia et al. 2011). In addition, as the community decides how and where to concentrate vaccine development resources, several unique features of P. vivax should be considered, such as its higher transmissibility compared with P. falciparum (Brown et al. 2009) and its tendency to cause relapse in patients as a result of the elusive hypnozoite stage. Other life-cycle stages such as the preerythrocytic or transmission stages may thus be better to target in developing an efficient vaccine for P. vivax; indeed, efforts to interrupt these stages have been more successful (Reyes-Sandoval 2013).

P. falciparum: THE MALIGNANT MALARIA

Origin of P. falciparum

The origin of the deadly P. falciparum has been the subject of intense study. Until recently, the only identified parasite species closely related to P. falciparum in the Laverania sublineage was the chimpanzee parasite Plasmodium reichenowi. There were various hypotheses offered about the origin of P. falciparum with genetic evidence suggesting that it arose from P. reichenowi, likely by a single host transfer from chimpanzees to humans (Rich et al. 2009). Subsequent studies identified two other P. falciparum–related chimpanzee parasites Plasmodium gaboni (Ollomo et al. 2009) and Plasmodium billcollinsi (Krief et al. 2010). Nevertheless, seminal work by Liu et al. (2010), revealed that P. falciparum originated from a single host transfer from gorillas and not chimpanzees. P. falciparum was found to be most closely related to a Plasmodium species isolated from Western gorillas, later termed Plasmodium praefalciparum (Rayner et al. 2011). This study, which relied on amplification of mitochondrial sequences from single copies of Plasmodium genomes from ape fecal samples, also revealed a diversity of closely related Laverania species displaying specificity for either gorilla or chimpanzee hosts (Fig. 2).

The potential for closely related great ape parasites such as P. praefalciparum to infect humans does not presently appear to be significant (Sundararaman et al. 2013; Délicat-Loembet et al. 2015), with P. falciparum clearly emerging from a single ancient transmission event. Conversely, P. falciparum has been found to infect bonobos and gorillas as an anthropoposis, which raises concerns about potential animal reservoirs of P. falciparum. However, most of the P. falciparum–infected great apes were captive and living in close proximity to humans (Krief et al. 2010; Prugnolle et al. 2010), suggesting that such a threat is low and will not undermine elimination efforts, as long as humans continue to live apart from great apes or treat captive apes with antimalarials.

Diversity in Invasion Ligands

A comparison of the P. reichenowi and P. falciparum genome sequences implicates RBL and DBL invasion ligands as factors associated with adaptation to a host species. Although the two genomes are highly similar, the loci for several orthologous invasion ligands in the two species are extensively differentiated between species, as evidenced by pseudogenization, disruptions in gene synteny, and sequence divergence (Otto et al. 2014). Variant expression of invasion ligands in laboratory-adapted and field strains has shown the differential usage of ligand–receptor interactions between P. falciparum isolates for the invasion of RBCs (Reed et al. 2000; Duraisingh et al. 2003a,b; Nery et al. 2006; Bei et al. 2007; Jennings et al. 2007; Gomez-Escobar et al. 2010). The use of diverse ligand–receptor pairs is thought to be both a mechanism of immune evasion and a means to invade diverse RBC subtypes within an individ-
Figure 2. Global distribution and transmission of Plasmodium species in human and animal populations. Plasmodium falciparum (red), Plasmodium vivax (green), Plasmodium malariae (purple) and the two species of Plasmodium ovale (pink) can be found in all malaria-endemic areas to varying extents. Additionally, Plasmodium knowlesi (blue) is found in Southeast Asia. Arrows between the different hosts indicate established transmission of the different parasite species within the human population, and/or between or from nonhuman primates. The dotted arrows show potential transmission of parasites to look out for, based on population surveys. All arrows are similarly color-coded. Plasmodium species related to the main human species are in boxes in corresponding colors and species for which cases of human infection have been observed (experimentally or naturally) are marked with an asterisk. DARC, Duffy antigen receptor for chemokines.
ual, between individuals, and even potentially between host species.

Sialic Acid and Host Specificity

The sialic acid on RBCs appears to be key to the binding of many invasion ligands of *P. falciparum*, including the DBL invasion ligands, PfEBA-175, PfEBL-1, PfEBA-140, and PfEBA-181, and the RBL invasion ligand Rh1 (Orlandi et al. 1992; Gilberger 2003; Lobo 2003; Maier et al. 2003; Triglia et al. 2005; Mayer et al. 2009). Some strains are highly dependent on the presence of sialic acid for successful invasion, whereas other strains can invade in a sialic acid–independent fashion (Stubbs et al. 2005; Gaur et al. 2006). Humans express only the N-acetylneuraminic acid (Neu5Ac) form of sialic acid, because of an inactivating mutation in the enzyme cytidine monophosphate N-acetylneuraminic acid hydroxylase (CMAH), which otherwise converts Neu5Ac to N-glycolylneuraminic acid (Neu5Gc) (Chou et al. 1998; Irie et al. 1998; Hayakawa et al. 2001). In contrast to humans, all great apes have an intact CMAH gene and express a high degree of Neu5Gc on their RBC surface (Muchmore et al. 1998).

It has been postulated that this chemical difference in sialic acid between humans and other great apes might influence the specificity of *Laverania* parasites for their hosts. Chimpanzees can be experimentally infected with *P. falciparum* (Blacklock and Adler 1922; Daubersies et al. 2000); however, natural infections of wild-living chimpanzees have not been observed (Liu et al. 2010). Evidence to date suggests that *P. reichenowi* does not infect humans (Blacklock and Adler 1922; Bruce-Chwatt et al. 1970). One study showed that PfEBA-175 and *P. reichenowi* EBA-175 preferentially bind to RBCs of their own host species and erythroid-like cells expressing the host-specific sialic acid (Martin et al. 2005). The investigators further showed that *Aotus* monkeys, which serve as model organisms for *P. falciparum* (Herrera et al. 2002) express Neu5Ac, potentially explaining their susceptibility to *P. falciparum* infection. However, it was subsequently observed that PfEBA-175 binds to both Neu5Ac and Neu5Gc (Wanaguru et al. 2013), contradicting previous findings (Martin et al. 2005), but potentially providing an explanation for the ability of Neu5Gc to potently inhibit binding of PfEBA-175 to human RBCs (Orlandi et al. 1992). Indeed, homologs of EBA-175 from *P. reichenowi* and *P. bilcolinski*, another chimpanzee parasite, bind human RBCs as well as human glycoporphin A with similar affinities, suggesting that perhaps EBA-175 is not a major tropism determinant for these species (Wanaguru et al. 2013).

**PfRh5 as a Host Restriction Factor**

Of the RBL and DBL invasion ligands, PfRh5 is the only established invasion ligand that has been found to be essential for RBC invasion by all *P. falciparum* strains tested to date (Crosnier et al. 2011). Interestingly, polymorphisms in this molecule are associated with invasion into *Aotus* RBCs, through mapping using the progeny of a genetic cross (Hayton et al. 2008, 2013). Subsequently, it was found that PfRh5 binds chimpanzee and gorilla basigin (BSG) at much reduced levels compared with human BSG, suggesting that the molecule might be critical in defining the specificity of *P. falciparum* for human RBCs. Specific amino acid residues in BSG were identified that contribute to recognition of human BSG by PfRh5. Notably, two of these residues, F27 and K191, were identified as targets of positive selection in a study using population genetics and phylogenetics (Forni et al. 2015), providing further evidence that this key receptor is under selection pressure both within the human lineage and during NHP evolution.

**Targeting the Tropism Ligands of *P. falciparum* for Vaccine Development**

Sterile immunity to *P. falciparum* infection—the ultimate goal of a malaria vaccine—does not occur in naturally exposed human populations. Instead, individuals acquire partial immunity with age (Persson et al. 2008; Badiane et al. 2013), likely a result of continual exposure to *Plasmodium* infections and gradual acquisi-
tion of antibodies against parasite antigens, including many invasion ligands. The merozoite invasion ligands have been proposed as vaccine candidates. However, inclusion of multiple antigens in an invasion-blocking vaccine would be necessary to effectively counter the ability of \textit{P. falciparum} to use different invasion pathways and overcome sequence polymorphism of invasion ligands (Nery et al. 2006; Bowyer et al. 2015; Mensah-Brown et al. 2015). Many studies have reported the presence of invasion-inhibitory antibodies acquired toward \textit{P. falciparum} DBL ligands, (PfEBA-175, PfEBA-140, PfEBA-181) and RBL ligands (PfRh2 and PfRh4) (Ford et al. 2007; Persson et al. 2008; Reiling et al. 2010; Reiling et al. 2012; Badiane et al. 2013). Recent studies have shown that simultaneous blockade of multiple invasion ligand–receptor interactions can synergistically inhibit invasion (Lopaticki et al. 2011; Williams et al. 2012; Pandey et al. 2013), showing the potential of such a vaccine strategy. Recently, several in vitro–based culture studies have shown the strong potential of the essential invasion ligand PfRh5 as an antigenic target for inhibition (Douglas et al. 2011, 2014; Patel et al. 2013; Reddy et al. 2014). Additionally, administration of a PfRh5-based experimental vaccine blocks \textit{P. falciparum} infection in \textit{Aotus} monkeys following parasite inoculation (Douglas et al. 2015). It is possible that a major challenge to elimination of \textit{P. falciparum} by vaccine strategies targeting invasion ligands is the polymorphism and redundancy that might allow the parasites to persist in reservoirs such as young RBCs that can be invaded using hitherto unidentified ligand–receptor interactions.

**THE OTHER PLASMODIA: AN UNEXPECTED DIVERSITY**

Although \textit{P. ovale} and \textit{P. malariae} are understudied relative to other human malaria parasites, they contribute significantly to the global malaria burden. The distribution of \textit{P. ovale} is thought to be limited to some tropical areas in Africa, New Guinea, and parts of the Philippines and Indonesia (Mueller et al. 2007). Its global burden may, however, be an underesti-

mation, as \textit{P. ovale} presents with low parasitemia and is easy to miss or misdiagnose as the morphologically similar and more prevalent \textit{P. vivax}. Diagnosis became more sensitive and accurate with the development of a species-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method (Snounou et al. 1993). However, some cases identified as \textit{P. ovale} by light microscopy could not be detected by this method because of strong genetic variation (Tachibana et al. 2002; Win et al. 2004; Calderaro et al. 2007) among analyzed samples. It took several years after these observations for Sutherland et al. (2010) to show that the “classic” and “variant” types of \textit{P. ovale} are in fact two distinct subspecies that are nonrecombining but sympatric in endemic regions.

Similarly, variant forms of \textit{P. malariae}, not detectable through the standard species-specific PCR, have been observed in distinct endemic regions, such as China and Southeast Asia (Kawamoto et al. 1999). The same variant sequence was found in distinct geographical regions, indicating the presence of a stable and common form of \textit{P. malariae}. Further investigation will determine whether this is another existing or ongoing speciation event.

Both \textit{P. ovale}–like and \textit{P. malariae}–like species have been detected in African great apes, albeit at a much lower frequency than the \textit{Laverania} clade, in the study conducted by Liu and colleagues (2010). This discovery warrants further investigation to determine whether these neglected species are also more widely prevalent than assumed. In addition, historical observations suggest that \textit{P. malariae} may be able to infect a larger range of host species; \textit{P. brasili
tum}, a parasite in South American monkeys and \textit{P. rhodaini}, found in African chimpanzees are morphologically similar to \textit{P. malariae} and show similar disease progression. They can both be transmitted to humans experimentally, and it has been suggested that this species might indeed be \textit{P. malariae} (Coatney 1968; Rayner 2015). Genetic analysis now suggests that \textit{P. malariae} can infect Old and New World monkeys as well as humans, presenting a formidable zoonotic reservoir for \textit{P. malariae} (Lalremruata et al. 2015).
PERSPECTIVES: WHAT WILL WE NEED TO REACH ELIMINATION?

Defining the Extent of Zoonotic Reservoirs: Continuous Surveying and Sampling

Emergence of new zoonoses would rely on a number of criteria being favorable, including the probability of contact between human and animal host, shared mosquito vectors, and RBC-stage infectivity. This is well-discussed in a review, in which J.K. Baird, writing before the discovery of multiple Laverania species, assesses the risk of 18 non-Laverania NHP species and one Laverania species found in different geographic regions to cause human infection (Baird 2009). He concludes that only three species have great potential to be zoonotic—P. knowlesi, which is already well established as a human parasite, P. cynomolgi, for which there has been one report of a natural human infection (Ta et al. 2014), and Plasmodium inui, which is often found in the same natural hosts and vectors as P. knowlesi.

Today, our knowledge of the extent to which we are exposed to Plasmodium species has come primarily from direct surveys of individuals, animal hosts, and mosquito vectors in malaria-endemic regions. Modern-day efforts to determine the bounds of the P. knowlesi zoonotic reservoir have relied on sampling of wild macaques (Lee et al. 2011; Moyes et al. 2014), which helps prioritize areas of high-transmission risk, but as the investigators note, the animal reservoir may extend beyond the known natural hosts. It is also important to obtain whole-genome sequences of P. knowlesi from macaque reservoirs to fully detect evidence of selection and potential host switching. Although Laverania parasites, appear to have limited potential to cause zoonotic infections in the populations studied (Sundararaman et al. 2013; Délicat-Loembet et al. 2015), longitudinal surveys covering wider regions may provide more definitive evidence. Further sensitive and specific detection methods will be crucial in defining the extent of the reservoir.

Although not discussed in this review, vector surveys and studies will also be required to provide evidence for transmission to humans under favorable conditions (Vythilingam 2010; Paupy et al. 2013; Maeno et al. 2015).

Critical Review of Hospital Records and Case Studies for Early Detection of Unusual Cases

Although host and vector sampling are very useful in assessing the risk of zoonotic infections, they require extensive resources and can be impractical. Hospital records have proven invaluable in documenting cases of interest. Indeed, case reports and hospital records have led to many of the important reevaluations of dogma discussed in this review, including cases of P. vivax in DARC-negative individuals (Rubio et al. 1999), possible zoonotic P. vivax (Prugnolle et al. 2013), and the first case of P. cynomolgi human infection (Ta et al. 2014). Many of these findings, however, rely on correct diagnosis on site. Diagnosis based on morphology is prone to mistakes (P. knowlesi misdiagnosed as P. malariae, or P. ovale and possibly P. cynomolgi as P. vivax) and it is critical that molecular tools be used to definitively identify Plasmodium species. Increased awareness of the presence of these parasites in endemic regions will be important in early detection of unusual cases. This should also be accompanied with development of new rapid diagnostic tests that can detect and discriminate a larger range of species, as well as training of local public health staff.

The Promise of In Vitro Experimental Advances

The robust in vitro culture system accounts for our disproportionately greater knowledge of P. falciparum above other human Plasmodium species. Although advances in genomic tools are lending greater insight into the more neglected species, in vitro experiments will remain the gold standard to understand the mechanisms of invasion and host tropism. There have been substantial advances in studying P. vivax ex vivo (Russell et al. 2012) and even potential for genetic manipulation in vivo (Moraes Barros et al. 2014). There are reports of short-term culture of P. vivax in vitro (Golenda et al. 1997) and of P. malariae (Lingnau et al. 1994), but efforts to
Figure 3. Toward reaching the goal of malaria elimination. Studies addressing several aspects of the ecology and biology of *Plasmodium* parasites can shed light on their evolving tropism and host adaptation. Vector, human host, and animal host population studies can help determine the diversity of *Plasmodium* species to which humans are exposed. Experimental studies can determine compatibility between parasites and hosts and elucidate the molecular mechanisms behind differences in cell tropism.
establish a reliable in vitro culture system should be renewed for these species, as well as *P. ovale*. In vitro culture will also facilitate initial screening of blood-stage vaccine targets for *P. vivax* and help identify promising candidates to pursue further. The success of adapting *P. knowlesi* to human blood has opened new doors in investigating mechanisms of host switch and adaptation relevant in the field, and has also provided the community with a more accessible tool for genetic manipulation because it obviates the need for macaque blood (Lim et al. 2013; Moon et al. 2013). Isolation of the newly identified parasites will be a daunting task but will provide a much-needed resource as we hopefully approach control if not eradication of the human *Plasmodium* species. Figure 3 summarizes studies that in conjunction will provide the necessary information for more efficient and relevant eradication strategies.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Control of malaria has been a goal for the scientific community for several decades, while there is now a renewed emphasis on elimination. Most effort to date has focused mainly on *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax*, leaving the burden and prevalence of the lesser-studied species unclear. Having been comparatively understudied, the true extent of these parasites in humans and potential zoonotic reservoirs is not known. Expansion of current surveillance efforts to include all potential reservoirs might be needed. Methodologies with increased sensitivity will be essential for the detection of low parasitemia infections that are associated with the less-studied *Plasmodium* species. Continuous and more sensitive sampling and sequencing of human and animal *Plasmodium* species will keep us informed on the existing diversity and influence the elimination strategies to implement. *Plasmodium* parasites are continuously evolving, and molecular determinants leading to changes and expansion in host tropism will be key factors to investigate, which in some cases might identify critical molecules for development as vaccine candidates. Finally, it is also important to consider that human development is dramatically changing the ecology of infection, as human encroachment may create new and greater opportunities for potential animal reservoirs to transmit *Plasmodium* parasites.
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Evidence accumulated through the years clearly indicates that antiparasite immune responses can efficiently control malaria parasite infection at all development stages, and under certain circumstances they can prevent parasite infection. Translating these findings into vaccines or immunotherapeutic interventions has been difficult in part because of the extraordinary biological complexity of this parasite, which has several developmental stages expressing unique sets of stage-specific genes and multiple antigens, most of which are antigenically diverse. Nevertheless, in the last 30 years major advances have resulted in characterization of a number of vaccine candidates, exploration of the repertoire of host immune responses to the various parasite stages, and also identification of significant hurdles that need to be overcome. Most important, these advances strengthened the concept that the induction of host immune responses that target all developmental stages of Plasmodium can efficiently control or abrogate Plasmodium infections and strongly support the notion that an effective vaccine can be developed. This vaccine would be a critical component for programs aimed at controlling or eradicating malaria.

In this review, we address immune responses to the various stages of parasite development—preerythrocytic, asexual stages in red cells, and sexual and mosquito stages. Our expanding understanding of these responses and their targets provides a foundation for the development of vaccines directed at the three major developmental stages of malaria parasites.

IMMUNE RESPONSES TO PREERYTHROCYTIC (PE) ANTIGENS

Antibody Responses to PE Antigens

Early studies in rodent malaria showed that immunization with attenuated Plasmodium berghei sporozoites induced antibodies that recognized the sporozoite surface and neutralized their infectivity (Nussenzweig et al. 1967). Subsequent studies in which humans were immunized with attenuated Plasmodium falciparum sporozoites confirmed the protective efficacy of the sporozoite-induced immune responses (Rieckmann et al. 1979). Humans naturally exposed to parasite infection in endemic areas also develop ant sporozoite responses, as indicated by studies in malaria-endemic areas of Africa and Asia, which reported that ant sporozoite antibodies are most frequently detected in individuals older than 50 years and in only a minority of children (Nardin et al. 1979; Tapchaisri...

The antibodies that bind to sporozoites recognize different antigens. Among these, the circumsporozoite protein (CSP) was the first antigen identified in rodent and human malaria sporozoites (Nussenzweig and Nussenzweig 1989). A CSP-based vaccine (RTS,S) has undergone a phase III vaccine trial, and is discussed in detail in Healer et al. (2016). Anti-CSP antibodies bind the entire surface of sporozoites and induce the shedding of the CSP (Stewart et al. 1986). Most of them recognize the repeat domain of this protein, which is conserved in all strains of *P. falciparum* (Zavala et al. 1985a). Most importantly, they inhibit sporozoite infectivity in vivo and in vitro (Zavala et al. 1985b; Persson et al. 2002). Longitudinal studies, focused on antibodies specific for the CSP repeats showed an age-dependent distribution of antibodies as had been observed using an immunofluorescence antibody (IFA) assay (Zavala et al. 1985b; Del Giudice et al. 1987, 1990).

Studies in endemic areas revealed that the presence of anti-CSP antibodies correlated with transmission exposure and increased with age (Campbell et al. 1987; Esposito et al. 1988; Marsh et al. 1988). Studies on the carboxy- and amino-terminal regions flanking the repeat domain indicate that they contain important functional domains that enable sporozoite infectivity (Coppi et al. 2011). Sera from endemic areas contain antibodies against nonrepeat regions of the CSP, and the presence of *P. falciparum* amino-terminal-specific antibodies has been associated with the development of clinical immunity (Bongfen et al. 2009). Recently, it was shown that antibodies against this amino-terminal region strongly inhibit sporozoite infectivity in vivo (Espinosa et al. 2015).

Thrombospondin-related adhesive protein (TRAP) (Robson et al. 1988) is a parasite antigen also considered as a vaccine candidate. This is a transmembrane protein containing adhesive domains that enable the motility of sporozoites in mosquitoes and vertebrate hosts, mediating their migration from skin to the liver. Early studies showed that sera from individuals immunized with *P. falciparum* sporozoites had antibodies against TRAP and these antibodies inhibited sporozoite infection of hepatocytes in vitro (Rogers et al. 1992). In Mali, the presence of antibodies against TRAP was associated with lower parasitemia, protection against infection (Scarselli et al. 1993; John et al. 2003), and protection against cerebral malaria (Dolo et al. 1999). Antibodies against TRAP are short lived in children, waning significantly during the dry season (John et al. 2003), as also observed with anti-CSP antibodies (Marsh et al. 1988).

LSA1 is a 197-Kd molecule consisting of a large number of repeated sequences, expressed exclusively in *P. falciparum* during early liver stages and no ortholog exists in rodent parasites (Guerin-Marchand et al. 1987; Zhu and Hollingdale 1991). This antigen is widely recognized by sera from individuals living in endemic areas (Fidock et al. 1994; Kurtis et al. 2001). Studies using sera from children in Gabon reported an association between anti-LSA1 titers and partial resistance to infection (Domarle et al. 1999). Another antigen, CeTOS (cell-transversal protein for ookinetes and sporozoites) (Kariu et al. 2006) is a conserved protein present in two different motile stages and appears to elicit cross-species protection (Bergmann-Leitner et al. 2010). Limited epidemiologic information on CeTOS indicates that individuals living in endemic areas develop cellular and humoral responses against this antigen (Anum et al. 2015).

Studies in Kenya that evaluated antibody responses to CSP, LSA-1, and TRAP, indicated that antibody levels against these three antigens, instead of a single antigen, displayed a stronger correlation with lower incidence and reduced risk of clinical malaria, as well as with diminished severity of disease (John et al. 2005, 2008). Recent studies using high-throughput assays to screen hundreds of *Plasmodium* antigens revealed that, compared to blood-stage reactivity, there was an infrequent reactivity to preerythrocytic antigens (Doolan et al. 2008; Crompton et al. 2010). These studies associated pro-
tection with the breadth of antigens recognized instead of responses to single antigens.

Overall, studies with sera from individuals living in endemic areas and volunteers immunized with irradiated sporozoites indicate that the development of antibodies against sporozoite antigens is clearly age and dose dependent, and their magnitude is limited. There is a consensus that antibodies induced by natural exposure may in some areas correlate with partial protection but they do not confer sterile immunity.

T-Cell Responses to Preerythrocytic (PE) Antigens

The notion that T cells can be an effective anti-parasite immune mechanism derives from studies in rodent models showing that CD8\(^+\) and CD4\(^+\) T cells inhibit the development of malaria liver stages (Tsuji and Zavala 2003). Studies in endemic areas indicate that naturally exposed individuals are able to mount specific CD8\(^+\) T-cell responses to CSP and TRAP, but these responses are low in magnitude and detectable only in a minority of individuals (Doolan et al. 1993; Flanagan et al. 2003). Studies in some endemic areas failed to detect CD8\(^+\) T-cell responses against any of the overlapping peptides representing the entire CSP sequence, including most of the variant isolates (Doolan et al. 1991). A study in Kenya suggested a degree of protection against anemia among individuals that produced interferon \(\gamma\) (IFN-\(\gamma\)) in response to TRAP peptides (Ong’echa et al. 2003). Human vaccine trials using recombinant viruses as immunogens have shown the induction of CD8\(^+\) T-cell responses against these antigens and an association with partial protection (Ewer et al. 2013).

CD4\(^+\) T cells against CSP are also found in individuals living in endemic areas. Early studies showed that several epitopes are recognized in both the CSP of *Plasmodium vivax* and *P. falciparum*, and these responses appear to be more frequent against polymorphic epitopes (Good et al. 1988; Zevering et al. 1994). The presence of CSP-specific CD4\(^+\) T cells in individuals living in endemic areas did not show correlation with clinical immunity (de Groot et al. 1989; Riley et al. 1990; Esposito et al. 1992). T-cell responses against other antigens such as HEP17 (Doolan et al. 1996) and STARP (Fidock et al. 1994) have also been identified; however, these antigens are expressed in liver and asexual stages and therefore the target of their potential protective effect remains to be defined.

The difficulties in detecting robust T-cell responses against PE antigens in individuals living in endemic areas contrasts with the relative ease at which these responses are detected in volunteers immunized with attenuated sporozoites (Seder et al. 2013) or subunit vaccines (Ewer et al. 2013). This indicates that natural exposure to parasite antigens may not be sufficient to induce robust T-cell responses. Taken together, naturally acquired antibody and T-cell responses against PE antigens are of low magnitude and infrequent, particularly in children. Although some studies indicate an association of naturally induced immune responses with clinical immunity, these responses may never confer sterile protection. This is likely because of low antigen inoculum received by individuals as mosquitoes inject only a few sporozoites (10–100) and this may not be sufficient to induce strong immune responses. Indeed, anti-PE responses induced in humans after immunization with attenuated sporozoites can confer a high degree of sterile protection, but this requires exposure to 1000 infective bites (Herrington et al. 1991) or the intravenous injection of several hundred thousand sporozoites (Seder et al. 2013). Decades of studies on the CSP protein laid the groundwork for the development and testing of the RTS,S vaccine, which is based on this protein using a platform of a hepatitis B particle (discussed in Healer et al. 2016). However, this vaccine is only partially effective and its longevity is limited. The development of a more efficient PE vaccine that could effectively contribute to eradication efforts will require a better understanding of the immune mechanisms operating in sporozoite-induced immunity, the identification of additional target antigens, and the design of a new generation of vaccines capable of inducing not only high correlation with clinical immunity (de Groot et al. 1989; Riley et al. 1990; Esposito et al. 1992).
antibody titers but also strong cell-mediated immune protection.

**IMMUNE RESPONSES TO ASEXUAL ERYTHROCYTIC STAGES**

The asexual stages of malaria parasites have been intensively investigated because they are most accessible to investigators in the blood of an infected person and because they are responsible for the pathology associated with this disease. It is known that those living in malaria-endemic areas progressively develop partial resistance to infection with *P. falciparum*, although this takes many years to attain (reviewed in Beeson et al. 2008; Langhorne et al. 2008; Crompton et al. 2014). The classic depiction of the natural history of immunity to malaria as a function of age was provided by long-term studies in Kenya (Marsh and Kinyanjui 2006). As illustrated in Marsh and Kinyanjui (2006), these studies revealed that young children are likely to have the highest parasitemias and also are more likely to develop severe malaria pathology (Milner 2016). As children age, they are still susceptible to infection accompanied by clinical symptoms but are less likely to develop severe disease. This pattern continues into adolescence and adulthood with even fewer clinical symptoms but still the periodic presence of parasites. Studies on the acquisition of immunity to *P. vivax* indicate that resistance may be acquired more rapidly than with *P. falciparum* (reviewed in Mueller 2013).

Perhaps the most important finding in our understanding of this naturally acquired immunity to *P. falciparum* malaria was published in 1961 (Cohen et al. 1961). That study established that pooled IgG antibodies from African adults living in a malaria-endemic area could dramatically drive down parasitemias when passively transferred to children with malaria. It was later confirmed and extended using IgGs from Africans transferred to Asians failing chemotherapy for malaria (Sabchareon et al. 1991). Its functionality, despite the geographic distance, provided evidence that the protective antibodies either recognized conserved determinants or a very broad range of allelic types. These experiments have provided the experimental foundation for efforts to develop a malaria vaccine, historically with the main goal of protecting children against severe disease and death. Despite all this time we are not certain of the antigenic targets of those protective antibodies nor are we certain of the effector mechanisms involved in the reduction of parasite burden. Further, we do not know the contribution of T cells (beyond helper function) or other cells of the immune system to these responses. The large number of parasite-encoded proteins (approximately 5400 genes) and the allelic diversity of many of those proteins have complicated the identification of targets of protective immune responses as well as efforts to develop vaccines that elicit broadly reactive protective responses. Nevertheless, the fact that people living in endemic areas become at least partially immune to malaria provides evidence that a vaccine against this disease should be possible. Overall, progress has been slow and results of phase II clinical trials testing several blood-stage vaccine candidates have been disappointing (Healer et al. 2016). Thus, there is significant impetus for identification of new potential vaccine candidates and combinations of candidates from blood stages, expanded efforts to understand the important cells and effector mechanisms to be targeted, and exploration of immunization strategies that would elicit these responses.

**Effector Mechanisms and Assays**

Selection of malaria vaccine candidates and their rapid evaluation has been hampered by numerous problems, including difficulties encountered in expressing novel malaria proteins in recombinant protein expression systems in a correct configuration and the lack of assays and markers, which predict protection in vivo. Many investigators have shown that those infected with malaria parasites produce an array of antibodies against many different asexual-stage proteins and these have usually been examined one at a time. More recent studies have extended these results using microarrays with *Escherichia coli* produced proteins (Gray et al. 2007; Doolan et al. 2008; Crompton et al. 2010).
It has been difficult to correlate responses to individual parasite proteins with protection, although it has been proposed that cytophilic IgG1 and IgG3 isotypes are more correlated with protective responses (Bouharoun-Tayoun and Druilhe 1992).

Assessing these antibodies has ranged from direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) measurements to evaluation of functional activity using assays such as in vitro parasite growth inhibition, opsonization with antibodies and leukocytes, complement fixation, measuring antibodies to proteins on the erythrocyte surface by flow cytometry or agglutination tests, and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity assays. However, it has been difficult to correlate any single assay with clinical protection, so the relative contribution of these antibody effector functions in vivo is not established.

Merozoite Proteins

Merozoite proteins have been the focus of most studies of immune responses to the asexual stages of infection and work in Cowman et al. (2012) discusses the architecture of a merozoite as well as its organelles and some of the proteins involved in invasion. One of the first merozoite proteins to be investigated was the merozoite surface protein 1 (MSP1), one of a number of MSP molecules, which provide a surface coating for merozoites and likely contribute to the invasion process. Monoclonal antibodies to the carboxyl terminus of this large protein were able to protect mice passively against lethal challenge with the rodent parasite Plasmodium yoelii (Majarian et al. 1984; Burns et al. 1989), and subsequent studies established that both mice and Aotus monkeys could be immunized against a lethal parasite challenge using either the P. yoelii or P. falciparum MSP1 carboxyl terminus (Daly and Long 1993; Stowers et al. 2001). Later efforts to move this candidate into human clinical trials in Africa proved disappointing (Ogutu et al. 2009). Humans living in malaria-endemic areas do develop antibodies to MSP1, and in some cases these responses have been associated with protection. Apical membrane antigen 1 (AMA1) (Deans et al. 1982; reviewed in Remarque et al. 2008) is a micronemal protein, which is translocated to the merozoite surface during invasion and, in conjunction with RON2, contributes to the moving junction on the red cell during invasion (Lamarque et al. 2011); it is also present in sporozoites. Its critical central role during erythrocyte invasion has made it a target for both vaccines and drugs, and immunization-challenge studies with PfAMA1 in Aotus monkeys showed protective responses (Stowers et al. 2002). Further, antibodies to AMA1 from a variety of animal species strongly inhibit red cell invasion in vitro, and antibodies to it are commonly found in those living in endemic areas, including young children. Nevertheless, this has presented significant problems for investigators because of the allelic diversity found in different strains of P. falciparum (Thomas et al. 1990), and success in human clinical trials has been limited (Sagara et al. 2009; Thera et al. 2011). However, several groups have shown in preclinical studies that immunization with a mixture of four to five alleles elicits antibodies with greater cross-strain specificity (Dutta et al. 2013; Miura et al. 2013b; Terheggen et al. 2014), and immunization with AMA1 combined with RON2 may elicit more effective responses (Srinivasan et al. 2014).

Other merozoite proteins involved in erythrocyte binding have been examined as possible targets of protective host immune responses. Most can be categorized as erythrocyte binding-like (EBL) or reticulocyte binding-like (RBL or Rh) (reviewed in Tham et al. 2012). These are located in the apical organelles and some have been shown to be targets of invasion-inhibiting antibodies. However, the existence of multiple parasite-encoded ligands has allowed these organisms to use redundant invasion pathways and potentially escape host immune responses (reviewed by Wright and Rayner 2014).

One of these proteins, PfRh5 (reticulocyte-binding protein homolog), has been identified as a critical merozoite component because of its binding to basigin on the red cell membrane (Crosnier et al. 2011). PfRh5 also interacts with two other parasite molecules, PfRipr (Chen et al. 2011) and CyRPA (Reddy et al. 2015).
Although people living in a malaria-endemic area generally develop low levels of antibody to PfRh5 (Douglas et al. 2011; Tran et al. 2014), animals immunized with this antigen can produce antibodies with significant growth inhibition activity (Douglas et al. 2014) and, in the case of nonhuman primates, show protective immune responses even against heterologous parasites (Douglas et al. 2015).

Parasite Proteins on the Infected Red Cell Surface

There are several multigene families encoding important proteins exported to the infected red cell membrane. Perhaps the most important are the complex VAR proteins encoded by the large, polymorphic PfEMP1 (erythrocyte membrane protein) gene family with approximately 60 members with different var repertoires in different parasite strains (reviewed in Chan et al. 2014; Hviid and Jensen 2015). These large proteins have multiple variant domains, which bind ligands such as CD36 and ICAM-1 on host tissues and contribute to sequestration of P. falciparum parasites on the vascular endothelium (see Smith 2014 for review of adhesion domains). This has resulted in some variants being associated with specific disease pathogenesis such as cerebral malaria (Milner 2016). PfEMP1 proteins are displayed on the surface of the infected red cell for many hours and would be excellent targets for host immune responses, but their complexity, antigenic heterogeneity, and ability to switch from one PfEMP1 protein to another are daunting for vaccinology. However, it is clear that those living in malaria-endemic areas develop antibodies to the surfaces of infected red cells and these responses have been implicated in naturally acquired immunity, perhaps by sequential acquisition of antibodies caused by infection with various parasite strains (Bull et al. 1998; Mackintosh et al. 2008; Chan et al. 2012).

The most defined member of this family is VAR2CSA, a member of the PfEMP1 family, which has been implicated in pregnancy-associated malaria because of its binding to chondroitin-sulfate A (CSA) found in the placenta (Fried and Duffy 2016). Multiparous women develop antibodies to VAR2CSA and these antibodies appear to contribute to their resistance to placental malaria after the first pregnancy (reviewed in Ataide et al. 2014). Localization of important target epitopes within this large molecule, producing correct conformation of specific domains, and some allelic variation have all complicated studies with this molecule.

Two other polymorphic gene families are the rifins (repetitive interspersed family) and the stevors (subtelomeric variant open reading frame), which encode the RIFINS and STEVOR protein families. Much less is known about these proteins and immune responses to them, although recent evidence has indicated that both the RIFINS (Goel et al. 2015) and the STEVORS (Niang et al. 2014) can promote rosetting of red blood cells; this is an aggregation of infected and uninfected red cells, which in some studies has been suggested to contribute to malaria pathogenesis (Carlson et al. 1990).

Protective Immune Responses

Given the wide array of humoral immune responses to various antigens associated with asexual stages, a meta-analysis of the literature was conducted to try to associate antibodies to specific merozoite proteins with incidence of P. falciparum malaria (Fowkes et al. 2010). Some limited associations were noted with proteins such as MSP3 and MSP1–19, but it is unclear whether these are cause-and-effect relationships. Overall, evidence has accumulated based on results in model systems that high levels of antibodies to merozoite antigens are likely to be required to achieve protection in humans. This is thought to be because of the short window available to attack extracellular merozoites before they enter a new red cell. Further, the major clinical trials of blood-stage vaccines have been performed with single antigens and it is likely that combinations of antigens will be required. In this context, protection from clinical malaria has been reported to be associated with both the breadth and magnitude of the antibody responses to merozoite antigens (Osier et al. 2008).

Other potential targets of naturally acquired immunity are the variant surface antigens
present on the membranes of infected red cells. It has been suggested that this immunity depends on acquisition of antibodies to these antigens and that the complexity and variability of protein families such as PfEMP1 requires the progressive accumulation of antibodies to different variants over time (reviewed in Chan et al. 2014; Smith 2014; Hviid and Jensen 2015). It may be that robust antibody responses to both merozoite and cell surface antigens are required to attain naturally acquired clinical immunity although there is limited data on this possibility and replicating it in vaccines remains a challenge.

In the context of elimination/eradication, the question has been raised as to the rationale for asexual-stage vaccines, especially given that they may be only partially effective. However, there are still large numbers of children susceptible to serious disease caused by malaria. Moreover, as malaria continues to decline, much larger numbers of adolescents and adults will not have the benefit of naturally acquired immunity and will be susceptible to serious illness if malaria resurges. Having a vaccine that can provide protection to these people will continue to be of relevance in malaria immunity.

Impact of Malaria Infection on the Immune System

Infection by asexual stages of plasmodia triggers an array of signals and responses in both the innate and adaptive host immune systems. Whether these responses contribute to protection or pathology or both has been difficult to evaluate, particularly in human studies. Although the evidence for a protective role of B cells and antibodies has been noted, the direct contribution of CD4+ T cells in protection against blood stages beyond a helper function for CD4+ T cells has mainly derived from rodent models of malaria. For example, it has been shown that CD4+ T cells can dramatically reduce infection with Plasmodium chabaudi in the absence of B cells (Grun and Weidanz 1981). Many other cell types have been implicated in these complex responses as well.

Innate immune cells can be activated by various pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs); some of the PAMPs implicated include hemozoin, parasite DNA, and the glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchors of malaria proteins (reviewed in Gazzinelli et al. 2014). Receptor activation results in the release of various proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including TNF-α and interleukin 1β (IL-1β), leading to systemic pathology and many of the symptoms of malaria such as paroxysms of fever (see Milner 2016).

The long period required for acquisition of natural immunity to malaria and the lack of “sterile” immunity to this infection have led to the suggestion that dysregulation of the host immune system contributes to this incomplete immunity. In addition, reports that antibodies to some parasite antigens are short-lived has led to the suggestion that there are deficiencies in the generation and maintenance of memory B cells in malaria-infected individuals (Portugal et al. 2013; Scholzen and Sauerwein 2013). However, whether this is responsible for the slow development of naturally acquired immunity has been questioned (Hviid et al. 2015). It has also been observed that malaria-exposed individuals have a larger proportion of atypical memory B cells (Weiss et al. 2009), although their specificity is not known and this has not been directly linked with malaria infection. Additional investigations of different B-cell populations, immunologic memory, and protective immune mechanisms will be required to illuminate these issues.

IMMUNE RESPONSES TO SEXUAL AND MOSQUITO-STAGE PARASITES

Characterization of Parasite Sexual Stages

Although asexual stages are responsible for the clinical symptoms of malaria, its transmission requires differentiation to sexual stages, which can be picked up and transmitted by anopheline mosquitoes (Nilsson et al. 2015; Mitchell and Catteruccia 2016). Sexual stages II–IV are sequestered from the peripheral circulation, but eventually stage-V male and female gametocytes reemerge into the bloodstream where they can be engulfed by mosquitoes taking a blood meal. Markers of the various gametocyte stages,
as well as proteins specific to male or female gametocytes, have been sought for some time. However, mature gametocytes no longer present var gene products on the red cell surface and other surface markers have not been definitively identified (Sutherland 2009). Once in the mosquito, the male and female gametocytes emerge from the red cells in the mosquito midgut as gametes where fertilization occurs. At this point, the gametes, zygotes, and ookinetes are accessible to antibodies, leukocytes, or other factors, such as complement, which may be present in the blood meal.

Initial evidence that vertebrate immune responses can interfere with sexual-stage development and mosquito infection led to the concept of transmission-blocking vaccines (reviewed in Stowers and Carter 2001; Sinden 2010). The first studies were conducted in avian models of malaria when birds were immunized with killed blood containing gametocytes, making them less infectious to mosquitoes than controls (Huff et al. 1958). Almost two decades later, it was shown that chickens immunized with inactivated Plasmodium gallinaceum gametocytes produced a transmission-blocking activity found in the serum (Carter and Chen 1976; Gwadz 1976). Interestingly, these serum effectors did not affect the gametocytes directly but rather acted on the parasites in the mosquito. Transmission-blocking immunity was also reported with rodent and nonhuman primate malaria parasites (Gwadz and Green 1978; Mendis and Targett 1979). Identification of monoclonal antibodies with transmission-blocking activity in mosquito-feeding experiments facilitated identification of parasite antigens that were the targets of transmission-blocking activity and also established the critical role of antibodies in blocking transmission (Kaushal et al. 1983; Rener et al. 1983). These studies also resulted in a method to evaluate antibodies to sexual stages, viz., the standard mosquito membrane feeding assay (SMFA). In this assay, cultured gametocytes are incubated with antibodies and the mixture fed to anopheline mosquitoes; 1 week later, the oocysts on the mosquito midgut are enumerated. Recent efforts to qualify the SMFA and to analyze data derived from this assay have increased its applicability to transmission-blocking studies (Churcher et al. 2012; Miura et al. 2013a).

Such antibodies with transmission-blocking activity were then used to identify the parasite antigens they targeted, primarily revealing molecules of 25, 48/45, and 230 kDa (Rener et al. 1983). More recently, efforts have been made to exploit the sequencing of plasmodial genomes as well as transcriptional and proteomic analysis to identify sexual-stage proteins or mRNAs for such proteins found in these stages and the mosquito (Hall et al. 2005; Khan et al. 2005; Silvestrini et al. 2005; Young et al. 2005). These would be possible targets for antibodies or drugs targeting gametocytes.

Identification and Investigations of Pre- and Postfertilization Proteins

For convenience, immune responses to parasite antigens have been divided into prefertilization and postfertilization proteins (reviewed in Pradel 2007; Nikolaeva et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2015).

Prefertilization Antigens

Most studies have focused on a limited set of parasite proteins and considerable difficulties have been encountered in expressing many of them in recombinant platforms, particularly antigens such as Pfs230 and Pfs48/45, which are members of the 6-cysteine protein family. These proteins are characterized by repeating motifs of six conserved cysteine residues, which are coexpressed in gametocytes and have been implicated in the process of fertilization of male and female gametes. Interestingly, activity of antibodies to Pfs230 in the SMFA is enhanced by serum complement, which apparently retains some activity in the blood meal (Read et al. 1994). Although presenting difficulties in expression, inclusion of such proteins in a transmission-blocking vaccine has the potential for boosting by natural infection, which is not the case for proteins expressed only in the mosquito vector. In addition, although some polymorphism has been reported for these molecules, it is less than that of many asexual-stage antigens (Niederwieser et al. 2001). Similarly, evidence
has been presented that the *P. vivax* protein (Pvs230) can also elicit transmission-blocking activity (Tachibana et al. 2012).

**Postfertilization Antigens**

Because proteins such as Pf25 and Pvs25 have limited or no expression in the vertebrate host, they are not subject to selection by the vertebrate immune system and consequently are generally quite conserved (Tsuboi et al. 1998). However, as noted, this limits the possibility of boosting of vaccine-induced immune responses by natural infection. The best studied of the postfertilization proteins is Pf25 and to a lesser extent its homolog in *P. vivax* (Pvs25). Each is found on the surface of the ookinete and includes four epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like domains. Structural studies have revealed Pvs25 to be a flat triangular molecule that could tile the surface of ookinetes; residues forming the triangle are conserved in Pf25 molecules from all plasmodial species (Saxena et al. 2006). The report of anti-Pf25 monoclonal antibodies and polyclonal antibodies, which have transmission-blocking activity in SMFA experiments have supported its candidacy as a potential transmission-blocking vaccine (Kaslow et al. 1988). Whether effector antibodies prevent ookinete crossing of the midgut or have other roles in reducing oocyst numbers is not yet clear. Further, estimates of specific antibody concentration required to attain 50% inhibition of oocyst density in the SMFA indicate that relatively high antibody concentrations, approximately 100 μg/ml, will be required (Cheru et al. 2010), and eliciting high, long-lasting antibody titers in humans remains a challenge.

Two recent studies have sought to compare immune responses to different transmission-blocking antigens using the SMFA (Miura et al. 2013c; Kapulu et al. 2015). In one study, proteins were produced in the wheat germ cell-free expression system and antibodies generated in mice. Detailed analysis of SMFA results showed that Pf25 elicited IgGs with higher inhibition than anti-Pf230 or anti-HAP2. This was the first demonstration that *P. falciparum* HAP2, a protein also involved in fertilization, could elicit antibodies with transmission-blocking activity. In the second study (Kapulu et al. 2015), viral vectors encoding parasite proteins were used to immunize mice and the resultant antibodies compared; both anti-Pf230-C and anti-Pf25 gave very high levels of blockade in the SMFA.

Other postfertilization proteins, some of which have been investigated as potential transmission-blocking vaccine candidates, include micromenal proteins of the ookinete, proteins found in the cytoplasmic crystalloid organelle, as well as the enzymes such as enolase and chitinase produced by the parasite (reviewed by Pradel 2007; Nikolaeva et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2015). Many of these proteins are likely to have important roles in exodus from the blood meal, attachment to the midgut wall, invasion of the midgut, protection of the parasite, and oocyst development but have not been well studied in terms of immune responses.

**Host Immune Responses to Sexual-Stage Parasites**

Host immune responses to gametocyte surface membranes have been examined in some individuals from malaria-endemic areas to explore the hypothesis that such natural immune responses could either reduce gametocyte sequestration, gametocyte density in vivo, or infectivity to mosquitoes (Bousema and Drakeley 2011). However, it has proven difficult to show recognition of stage-V-specific proteins on the surface of infected red cells. Some data have been presented that sera from African children recognize these cells by flow cytometry (Saeed et al. 2008); another study reported seroreactivity to gametocytes by IFA, which correlated with reduction of gametocyte density (Baird et al. 1991). Detailed investigation of the gametocyte surface at various stages of differentiation will be important future activities.

With regard to specific antigens present in gametes or zygotes, several studies have reported antibodies in those living in malaria-endemic areas to Pf230 (Graves et al. 1988; Healer et al. 1999; Bousema et al. 2010; Miura et al. 2013c; Jones et al. 2015) and to Pf48/45 (Roef-
fen et al. 1996; Bousema et al. 2010; Jones et al. 2015). Some of these responses have been correlated with transmission-reducing activity as measured by SMFA in the same sera. Evidence for the presence of antibodies to Pfs25 in human populations is inconsistent, perhaps depending on the methodology used (Riley et al. 1994; Miura et al. 2013c).

In addition to the immune responses of the vertebrate host, it is clear that the mosquito vector has an innate immune system, which can also affect the outcome of infection by plasmodia. Those responses are beyond the scope of this review but are discussed in Crompton et al. (2014).

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Overall, studies on antiparasite immune responses of the vertebrate host have identified a repertoire of potential immune effector mechanisms and a number of antigens as candidates for vaccine development. In the process these studies have also identified some of the many challenges that lie ahead.

The positive results obtained in the phase III trial of the RTS,S vaccine have established that a malaria vaccine is potentially feasible. Given these results, the next 5 years should see major efforts to develop a more advanced formulation, an effort that will require a more nuanced understanding of the interactions between the host and the infecting sporozoite. Characterizing the specificities and affinities of anti-CSP protective antibodies, identifying optimal immunization strategies, and addressing issues of allelic polymorphism in the CSP protein should contribute to improvements in the vaccine. In addition, expanded efforts should be made to identify other important PE antigens and to develop methodologies that can elicit antigen-specific CD8+ T cells in humans.

As discussed previously, the adoptive transfer of antibodies from individuals living in endemic areas drastically reduced parasitemia in P. falciparum–infected children. The antiparasite phenotype of naturally acquired immunity is clearly attributable to the inhibitory effect of antibodies on the progressive development of asexual stages. To date, vaccine studies with asexual stages have focused mostly on single antigens with a likely functional role in parasite replication. Although this may be a sensible approach, there is no evidence that protective immunity is restricted to the recognition of only a few antigens. In fact, it is possible that vaccines inducing broad, multiantigen immune responses may be more effective and long lasting. Also, although the prospect is daunting, we need to pursue efforts to identify conserved targets on the surface of the infected red cell. It is therefore urgent to develop extensive programs to design vaccines consisting of multiple antigens from different parasite stages. This is a major challenge as multispecific immune responses may not be easy to induce, as it is not uncommon to find antigen competition/interference when using combination vaccines. Moreover, it is not clear that there exist sensitive methodologies that can show the occurrence of additive or synergistic effects of the induced immune responses.

The extensive antigenic diversity in antigens from PE and asexual stages, which are currently studied for vaccine development is a significant hurdle. However, this should not be considered an insurmountable obstacle, as new biotechnological advances should make possible the development of multiallelic vaccine constructs. Finally, recent evidence indicating that individuals exposed to parasite infection undergo immune exhaustion and a possible inability to develop an efficient immunological memory is a matter of concern. It is unclear the extent to which these immune dysfunctions may impair the development of immune responses induced by vaccines or if it may decrease vaccine efficacy.

Resurgent interest in the sexual stages of parasites and in malaria transmission in the field has generated interest in the possibility of a transmission-blocking component of a multistage vaccine. Whether the current antigens identified or other parasite or mosquito antigens can elicit sufficiently high titers of antibodies in humans to affect transmission to the mosquito will be an important question to answer in the next 5 years. Also, we must seek vaccine formulations and expression platforms
that generate longer lasting humoral immune responses, because responses to some transmission reducing parasite proteins may not be boosted by natural infection. Finally, issues of what level of responses in a population are required to reduce transmission in a given area and how to determine that level must be approached. Nevertheless, a component of a vaccine that could aid in reducing transmission below a critical threshold would be an important contribution to malaria vaccine development.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Characterization of immune responses induced by natural exposure to parasites has facilitated the identification of mechanisms of immunity that provide partial protection, particularly for immune responses to asexual stages. These studies show that naturally acquired immune responses against asexual stages are effective against parasites and that these responses reduce morbidity, even though they do not cause full parasite clearance. In contrast, naturally acquired immune responses against PE and sexual stages are of low magnitude and there is no clear evidence that they have a protective effect or mediate clinical immunity. The interest in immune responses to PE and sexual stages and the antigens they recognize derives from experimental vaccine studies in animal models or in human trials in the case of attenuated sporozoites, which showed the development of sterilizing immunity. The challenge now is to transform these findings into a highly effective vaccine for malaria.

Considerable advances have been made in reducing malaria in many parts of the world over the past decade using existing tools. However, the logistics and financing required to retain and to expand these advances in the face of antimalarial drug resistance and declining efficacy of insecticides will be challenging. As we consider moving beyond the current situation into an era of malaria eradication, the development and deployment of a highly effective malaria vaccine is still a critically important but unrealized component in the portfolio of responses to this global challenge. Pursuing a more complete and detailed view of the immunologic interface between host and the various parasite stages should enhance the opportunities for developing such a vaccine.
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In the mosquito–human life cycle, the six species of malaria parasites infecting humans (Plasmodium falciparum, Plasmodium vivax, Plasmodium ovale wallickeri, Plasmodium ovale curtisi, Plasmodium malariae, and Plasmodium knowlesi) undergo 10 or more morphological states, replicate from single to 10,000+ cells, and vary in total population from one to many more than $10^6$ organisms. In the human host, only a small number of these morphological stages lead to clinical disease and the vast majority of all malaria-infected patients in the world produce few (if any) symptoms in the human. Human clinical disease (e.g., fever, anemia, coma) is the result of the parasite preprogrammed biology in concert with the human pathophysiological response. Caveats and corollaries that add variation to this host–parasite interaction include parasite genetic diversity of key proteins, coinfections, comorbidities, delays in treatment, human polymorphisms, and environmental determinants.

Pathogenesis, the manner of development of a disease, for a human malaria clinical illness is a complex story that has many players, settings, and potential outcomes. As with any truly successful parasite, the observed outcome of evolution in malaria is the undisturbed transition from mosquito to human to mosquito with little impact on the vector and host. Although impact of malaria can be seen at the individual, community, country, and global level, from the parasite’s perspective, a healthy host serving as two blood meals with a bit of fever in between is the norm. In fact, human clinical disease is quite rare relative to the global interaction network of mosquitoes and humans.

The biology of Plasmodium falciparum malaria parasites, as measured in vitro, is finite, predictable, and easily experimentally perturbed during the 48-hour life cycle (Bozdech et al. 2003a,b; Llinas and DeRisi 2004). In the mosquito–human life cycle, however, this parasite, along with the other five species infecting humans (Plasmodium vivax, Plasmodium ovale wallickeri, Plasmodium ovale curtisi, Plasmodium malariae, and Plasmodium knowlesi), undergoes 10 or more morphological states, replicate from a single to 10,000+ cells, and vary in total population from one to many more than $10^6$ organisms (Liu et al. 2011; Cator et al. 2012; Dixon et al. 2012; Mohandas and An 2012; Antinori et al. 2013; Wright and Rayner 2014; Cui et al. 2015; Josling and Llinas 2015; Stone et al. 2015). In addition, all of these parasites (with the exception of P. knowlesi in humans) have been exposed for thousands of millennia to the physical, immunological, and more recently chemotherapeutic barriers in mosquitoes and humans, which places tremendous selection...
pressure across the species (Sabeti et al. 2002; Volkman et al. 2007; Bañuls et al. 2013; Perry 2014). It is clearly a finely tuned, well-rehearsed, and deftly executed program.

A similar selection pressure has been placed on humans and resulted in such fascinating evolutionary outcomes as sickle cell disease, hemoglobinopathies, cytokine mutations, and enzyme deficiency, which confer, as a conceptual group, the ability to survive to maturity and reproduction (Bañuls et al. 2013; Perry 2014). Death from malaria at an age less than 6 years (the current most common demographic) cannot be a goal of the parasite (speaking teleologically) and, thus, its occurrence should be cause for concern and investigation. However, the rarity of this event (438,000 out of 214,000,000 clinical cases or \(\approx 0.2\%\)) leaves the unfortunate mortality as an aberrant footnote in the overall biology of the species as a whole (WHO 2015). We should not, however, accept even one death from a preventable and treatable disease.

When we turn to the parasite inside the human host, only a small number of these morphological stages lead to clinical disease and the vast majority of all malaria-infected patients in the world produce few (if any) symptoms in the human (WHO 2015). This is a crucial point of the biology that is often missed or ignored by experimentalists and “single-mechanism” focused scientists. Every person who is infected with malaria (regardless of whether or not they show symptoms) has the parasite go through the exact same life-cycle morphological changes and human−parasite interactions. Disease, thus, must be the result of exaggeration of this baseline interaction, which, as mentioned, is beneficial to neither the parasite nor the human. Further evidence for this lies, obviously, within the overall rarity of such events. Moreover, there are relatively few physiological states the parasite can achieve inside the human host—all of this biology is accomplished with a meager 6000 genes, most of which have no known function (Bozdech et al. 2003a,b; Daily et al. 2007; Milner et al. 2012).

Human clinical disease is, thus, the result of the interaction of the parasite preprogrammed biology in concert with the human pathophysiological response. Caveats and corollaries that add variation to this host−parasite interaction include parasite genetic diversity of key proteins, coinfections, comorbidities, delays in treatment, human polymorphisms, and environmental determinants (Gonçalves et al. 2014). The final clinical disease result includes a spectrum of fever, anemia, and coma, among many others (Hafalla et al. 2011; Oakley et al. 2011; Grau and Craig 2012).

When one questions, “how do we get rid of cerebral malaria?” (one of the more common causes of death), it is surprising to no one to hear the answer, “reduce the overall burden of malaria disease.” This may seem simple but, in fact, is a complex answer. Interventions with rapid drug treatment for anyone with a fever will drastically reduce the burden of mortality (sometimes to zero) in a given location (Clark et al. 2010). The treatment probably not only staves off a prolonged acute disease state (which may be a component of cerebral malaria [CM]) but also provides an antigen source to the immune system to create antibody and other responses that may quiet future infections. This effect, however, only lasts as long as the diversity of the parasite is stable (a result of endemicity) and the drug access continues (a result of infrastructure stability). In a world where eradication is a goal for malaria, the incidence of CM with multiple interventions may decrease or even vanish in the current at-risk population (children less than 6 years of age in sub-Saharan Africa). However, the risk of CM may simply shift to these same children at a later stage (or their children) as a region moves from high endemicity to low endemicity. During this entire process, however, the biology of the parasite will remain relatively stable and, thus, the risk for any of the currently observed diseases states will still exist. How, where, and why these disease states emerge (or vanish) is a product of many factors beyond the parasite, the vast majority of which are within our control.

UNCOMPLICATED MALARIA

Within the geographic regions where the human population is at risk for malaria infection
(2.5 billion), annually 215,000,000 clinical infections occur for which patients have symptoms and seek medical attention. Patient illness represents, however, a subset of all individuals who have been bitten by infected mosquitoes and a much larger portion of the “at-risk” population would show a positive malaria smear or other diagnostic test if they were screened (asymptomatic infection, true number variable and difficult to estimate) (malaria Consultative Group on Diagnoses and Diagnostics 2011; McMorrow et al. 2011; Laishram et al. 2012; Babiker et al. 2013; Lin et al. 2014; Stone et al. 2015). The exact malaria parasite biology within these two groups is probably very similar with the essential differences being due to the human immune response, number of prior infections, and exposure profile (Doolan and Martinez-Alier 2006; Dzikowski et al. 2006; Marsh and Kinyanjui 2006; De Leenheer and Pilyugin 2008; Punsawad 2013; de Souza 2014; Krzych et al. 2014). The symptoms of malaria infection can only begin in any ill patient with the first liver schizont rupture and release of merozoites into the peripheral circulation—this event is silent for the vast majority of patients who will become clinically ill. As the parasites continue through their asexual life cycle of merozoite reinvasion, trophozoite development, and schizont rupture over 24 to 48 hours, the level of parasitemia parallels the level of human response (i.e., fever, C-reactive protein [CRP], and tumor necrosis factor α [TNF-α]) until the patient crosses a threshold of awareness and “feels ill” (Oakley et al. 2011). Uncomplicated malaria is defined as symptoms present (fever) but no clinical or laboratory signs to indicate severity or vital organ dysfunction (WHO 2015).

Within the human host during an initial infection, macrophage ingestion of merozoites, ruptured schizonts, or antigen-presenting trophozoites in the circulation or spleen leads to release of TNF-α (Chakravorty et al. 2008; Randall and Engwerda 2010). The molecule, along with others in a cascade, is responsible for fever during infection. Other important molecules found during active infection include interleukin 10 (IL-10) and interferon γ (IFN-γ) among others (Clark et al. 2008; McCall and Sauerwein 2010; Freitas do Rosario and Langhorne 2012; Gun et al. 2014; Hunt et al. 2014). In subsequent infections, some degree of antibody production produced by the prior macrophage–T-cell–B-cell axis of the immune system confers additional macrophage activity leading to a more efficient clearance of parasites and production of new antibodies (Wykes and Good 2006; Freitas do Rosario and Langhorne 2012; Krzych et al. 2014; Hviid et al. 2015). As the human host immune system works its way through the continuously presented parasite protein repertoire, additional antibodies develop conferring additional protection.

Uncomplicated malaria is easily treated during each symptomatic episode with antimalarials specific to the parasite and the vast majority of patients easily clear the infection when treated with proper compliance.

**P. falciparum**

*P. falciparum* (Pf) modifies the surface of the infected red blood cell and creates an adhesive phenotype, which removes the parasite from circulation for nearly half of the asexual life cycle, a unique time frame among the malaria parasites (Grau and Craig 2012). The binding of the infected erythrocytes can occur with endothelium, platelets, or uninfected red blood cells (Fairhurst and Wellems 2006; Kraemer and Smith 2006; Smith et al. 2013). The parasite accomplishes this cytoadherent (“sticky cell”) state through the *P. falciparum* erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (PfEMP1), which is the product of *var* gene transcription (Smith et al. 2013). Within a given Pf parasite, there are ~60 copies of the *var* gene, each highly variable and different from the others. These genes represent some of the most diverse within the parasite’s genome and within the total parasite population. Their expression is driven by several mechanisms including immune selection pressure and epigenetics. This aspect of the parasites’ biology (*var* gene expression) occurs in all infections including asymptomatic and uncomplicated malaria. The potential of this human–parasite interaction to cause disease in humans has a definite
Regardless of the disease variability, the sequestration (temporary removal of the parasite from circulation through red cell surface binding) of Pf occurs during every human infection for half of the asexual life cycle. Thus, in a low-level infection in which a single mosquito bite has introduced a single brood of synchronous parasites, patients may show negative peripheral blood smears. This may be especially true in the traveler or residents of low-endemicity regions. In highly endemic settings, however, patients are bitten repeatedly and can present with a continuous fever and an accompanying consistently positive blood smear during the first decades of life. As a local immunity to the Pf population evolves in a given host, smears may again drop to very low levels and even become undetectable despite ongoing transmission.

**P. vivax**

*P. vivax* (Pv) is the most common malaria parasite causing clinical disease outside of Africa (WHO 2015). Unlike Pf, but like all other human malaria parasites, Pv does not show a prolonged period of sequestration during infection (Costa et al. 2011). The parasite is, thus, probably more frequently exposed to clearance by the spleen and more commonly seen on a peripheral blood smear during an infection. One of the unique features of Pv is the red cell preference for reticulocytes and the use of predominantly the Duffy antigen for invasion although not absolutely (Moreno-Pérez et al. 2013; Zimmerman et al. 2013). This leads to a clinical infection with a lower level of parasitemia than is seen in Pf. Because reticulocytes are larger than mature red cells, the infected cells appear larger than the cells around them on a peripheral blood smear. Characteristic Schuffner’s dots, which are caveola–vesicle structures, are seen in both Pv and *P. ovale* (Udagama et al. 1988). The diagnostic form of Pv is the ameboid form where the cytoplasm, unique to Pv, has finger-like projections without a typical round-to-oval structure.

Clinically, patients present almost identically to other malaria infections with fever plus a constellation of other possible symptoms. Unlike Pf and *P. malariae* (which have a single liver schizont rupture even shortly after sporozoite invasion), Pv, and Po may “reemerge” when hypnozoites (quiescent forms that last months to years in the liver from a single sporozoite exposure) release merozoites. Thus, the clinical timing of a disease (many months or years after exposure) could be a clue to one of these organisms.

**P. ovale**

*P. ovale* (Po) was shown to be two distinct species (*P. ovale curtisi* and *P. ovale wallikeri*), which only differ by a shorter latency period in *P. ovale wallikeri* and genetic sequence differences (Oguike et al. 2011). Thus, these two sympatric organisms are impossible to distinguish, present with the same clinical syndrome, and respond to the same therapy. Although their behavior is similar to Pv, Po does not require the Duffy blood group antigen for invasion of red blood cells. On peripheral blood smear, the diagnostic forms of Po are the comet form of the trophozoite as well as the oval appearance of infected red blood cells and the presence of fimbria or finger-like projects of the red cell membrane. The ring, schizont, and gametocyte stages of Po are very similar to Pv.

**P. malariae**

*P. malariae* (Pm) is the most benign form of malaria infection with several distinct clinical features (Collins and Jeffery 2007; Mueller et al. 2007; Das 2008). Patients have fever every 72 hours during an infection due to the longer parasite life cycle (Collins and Jeffery 2007). The number of merozoites produced with each schizont rupture is lower and, thus, the parasitemias are lower overall in these patient compared with others types of malaria (Collins and Jeffery 2007). This long life cycle and low level of infection leads to a more robust immune response. Thus, Pm is often considered to cause a chronic malaria they may last decades. One unique outcome of Pm is the deposition of immune complexes in the kidneys that can re-
result in nephritis (Das 2008). On peripheral blood smear, the parasite shows the classic and diagnostic “band” form as well as a schizont with few merozoites and a central pigment globule (golden in color) refer to as a “daisy” form.

Clinically, patients who are ill with malaria symptoms and show forms suggestive of Pm should be evaluated for P. knowlesi as well as Pf because the detection of symptoms and/or the likelihood of co-infection is higher than a truly symptomatic Pm patient (Singh and Daneshvar 2013).

**P. knowlesi**

*P. knowlesi* (Pk) is found in a limited distribution in Malaysian/Indonesian Borneo with cases reported in other southeast Asian countries, including Vietnam, Singapore, Myanmar, Cambodia, Thailand, and the Philippines (Muller and Schlagenhauf 2014). Exposure to mosquitoes that feed on long-tailed and/or pig-tailed macaques (Singh and Daneshvar 2013) is required for transmission as no human-to-human (via mosquito) transmission has been reported. In vitro work has shown that the parasites prefer young red blood cells but can, over time, adapt to infect older human red blood cells, a phenomenon that currently limits rapid spread of the infection beyond the human:monkey milieu (Lim et al. 2013). The disease presents like other malarias with fever/chills and headache with uncommon features like nausea/vomiting, myalgia/arthritis, upper respiratory symptoms, and jaundice (Muller and Schlagenhauf 2014). Although rare, fatal complications of Pk have occurred and do so with higher frequency than seen in Pv and Pf proportionally (Singh and Daneshvar 2013) owing to the new emergence in humans (zoonosis) and absence of sufficient time for human adaptability. Although Pk is not unique among the nonhuman vertebrate malarias that have been transmitted to humans, the current emergence of a large population distribution of a disease with high mortality has not been previously reported and warrants careful attention (Ta et al. 2014).

### SEVERE MALARIA

**P. vivax**

During an infection with only Pm and no other comorbidities, death from the disease is exceedingly rare (if not unheard of). However, in the presence of comorbidities, severe disease and fatal outcomes are reported. Because of the relapsing phenotype of the liver, chronic disease can lead to severe anemia and malnutrition, which predispose to coinfections and a poor immune response (Dumas et al. 2009; Anstey et al. 2012; Costa et al. 2012). Like severe Pf and Pk (and any severe infection), the final common pathway can include respiratory distress, hepatorenal failure, and shock (Anstey et al. 2012). Coma has been reported rarely in Pv infection but the cause of this coma is not the same as is seen in Pf (in which parasite sequestration to a high level in the brain is seen in fatal cases).

**P. knowlesi**

The rate of severe disease in Pk is higher (~8%), proportionally, than is seen in Pf or Pv and has higher mortality (3%) (Antinori et al. 2013). Similar to severe Pf malaria in adults, Pk-severe disease typically presents with the same initial constellation of fever, etc., and progresses in severe disease to include hypotension, respiratory distress, acute renal failure, hyperbilirubinemia, and shock (Rajahram et al. 2012; Antinori et al. 2013). Coma, as is required for a Pf cerebral malaria diagnosis, is not always seen in Pk fatal cases. The “common pathway” of any severe infection (i.e., may be seen in Pf, bacterial sepsis, etc.) is the result of an exaggerated human immune response in the presence of an untreated or delayed in treatment infection and probably not a result of specific mechanisms of the organisms. In fact, Pk as a cause of other morbid conditions (Gram-negative sepsis) has been reported. Pathologically, where Pf shows intense sequestration in the brain along with congestion and possibly brain swelling, Pk has a similar appearance in tissue with a curious lack of ICAM-1 in the brain (Cox-Singh et al. 2010; Menezes et al. 2012). The Pk family of genes homologous to Pf var genes is the SICAvars.
which are larger in structure (12 vs. two exons) and quantity (>200 vs. 60) than the var genes of Pf (Lapp et al. 2009). The exact mechanism and interactions of Pk with human endothelium to produce sequestration are yet to be elucidated.

PLACENTAL MALARIA

The Pf parasite can uniquely cause a range of pathological changes in the setting of pregnancy due to the ability of the parasite to sequester paired with the large sink of novel placental molecules such as chondroitin sulfate (CSA). The parasite’s PfEMP1 proteins that are products of the var2CSA genes bind to CSA as the parasites pass through the placenta, removing them from circulation, whereas non-CSA-binding parasites continue circulating. Maternal antibody that has developed to malaria in previous infections appears to destroy the non-CSA-binding parasites, whereas the placenta acts as a protected space for propagation. In addition to the direct effects of placental binding, mononuclear cell infiltrates may also be present and in very high numbers. Depending on when the malaria infection occurs during pregnancy, the placenta at examination may show pigment trapped in fibrin (older infection) or parasites and/or mononuclear cells (active infection). In addition to Pf, Pv has been reported to be associated with complications in pregnancy, including anemia, miscarriage, low birth weight, and congenital malaria (Anstey et al. 2012; Costa et al. 2012). The placental pathology in Pv, however, does not show the same degree of parasite or monocyte involvement and remains to be elucidated.

SEVERE MALARIA ANEMIA

The pediatric population in areas of high transmission is uniquely susceptible to severe malarial anemia (SMA) during the first 2 years of life. When the children present, blood transfusion can be life-saving along with antimalarial drugs. The exact mechanisms of the pathways that lead to SMA are not well understood. The disruption of the immune response of monocytes and lymphocytes in the presence of hemozoin may lead to an inappropriate regulation of erythropoietin (through IL-6, regulated on activation, normal T-cell expressed, and secreted [RANTES], and macrophage inflammatory protein 1s [MIP-1s]) (Perkins et al. 2011). The removal of red cell membrane and red cells completely by the spleen in an accelerated fashion due to the presence of malaria has also been suggested.

ACIDOSIS

Acidosis is a complex metabolic state with a range of etiologies (Planche and Krishna 2006; Taylor et al. 2012). Within malaria, acidosis is caused by a combination of several factors. The malaria parasite produces Plasmodium lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH), which creates lactic acid leading to decreased pH. Respiratory distress is a common feature of severe malaria and, through sequestration, somnolence, and/or brain swelling, direct central suppression of the respiratory centers leads to irregular breathing patterns in the setting of acidosis, which may contribute to the pH imbalance. Supportive therapy to protect the airway and more aggressively rebalance the pH may decrease mortality (Cheng and Yansouni 2013).

CEREBRAL MALARIA

The unique ability of P. falciparum to bind to endothelium produces the clinicopathological syndrome of CM in both children and adults. In highly endemic settings, children under 5 years are at highest risk for the disease with a mortality of 10% to 20%. In low-endemic settings, all ages are at risk and mortality can be higher in adults. In the nonimmune population (e.g., travelers, military, etc.), a low level of infection (<1% parasitemia) can result in clinical signs of CM and be life-threatening. The clinical manifestations of CM may start with a typical malaria presentation and quickly (over minutes to hours) degenerate to a comatose state. After exclusion of other possibly causes of coma (e.g., postictal state, hypoglycemia, meningitis, bacterial sepsis, head trauma/cerebral bleed, etc.), a clinical diagnosis of CM can be made, which is
best confirmed by examination of the retinal for signs of malaria retinopathy (Seydel et al. 2015). In any case, the diagnostic pathological feature of the disease—at autopsy—is the presence of *P. falciparum* parasites in greater than 20% of capillaries in the brain by either tissue smear or histological sections (Taylor et al. 2004). Other pathological features that are variably present include fibrin thrombi, ring hemorrhages, discoloration of the brain, axonal injury, and capillary leakage (Dorovini-Zis et al. 2011). Brain vessels will appear congested in all cases with brain swelling more prominent in acute deaths such as African pediatric patients (<48 hours). Multiorgan failure and acute respiratory distress syndrome with diffuse alveolar damage is more common in adult patients, particularly those that have a prolonged course of disease (Hanson et al. 2010, 2014; Medana et al. 2011; Ponsford et al. 2012; Prapansilp et al. 2013; Maude et al. 2014).

The pathobiology of CM is not completely understood but a large body of evidence from both clinical and pathological studies has implicated a series of events and pathways at work within the disease landscape. Endothelial activation to a more “sticky” state is the first step. During the early phases of any malaria infection, macrophage stimulation leads to TNF-α production, the increase of which stimulates display of adherence molecules in the brain endothelium like intracellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1). Other such stimulations lead to a variety of other up-regulation events as summarized in Figure 1. A large number of malaria parasites can bind, through PfEMP1, to ubiquitous molecules such as CD36 (platelets and endothelium outside of the brain), which logically explains both the thrombocytopenia of malaria infection as well as the very low incidence of CM compared with all malaria infections. In the African child under 5 years of age, the combination of factors leading to the increase in the “sticky” phenotype is most likely a delay in treatment of a malarial infection paired with a lack of well-developed protective specific antibodies in the setting of poor general health (e.g., malnutrition). For infected individuals outside of this setting, the total lack of immunity drives the disease. The capacity of the human immune system to clear a disease, which relies almost exclusively on macrophage phagocytosis is the macrophage compartment. Antibodies speed this process by increased uptake efficiency. In autopsy studies of children, the spleen, the primary site of clearance of the parasites in most CM patients shows a large burden of malaria pigment and macrophages but no parasites. This suggests that the clearance ability of the spleen is high and efficient. Where the process of clearance breaks down may be in the capacity of circulating monocytes/macrophages to keep up with the sequestration and parasite life cycle (every 48 hours).

**OVERLAP IN SEVERE DISEASE**

Severe malaria in African children is often a monosyndromic presentation with little, if any, overt complications beyond coma, anemia, and/or acidosis. Studies suggest that in adult patients, the disease has multiple modalities at play in fatal cases including respiratory, hepatic, renal, and vascular complications. Equivalent studies in pediatric cases suggest that evidence of early changes in these same pathways may be at work; however, the rapid pace from presentation to either death or recovery does not allow these additional processes to manifest. In pediatric patients in Africa, an overlap of SMA, acidosis, and/or CM can occur, which may lead to higher mortality in overlap groups. CM, as there is not current ancillary treatment beyond antimalarias and supportive therapy, remains a key factor in mortality outcomes.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Malaria pathogenesis has a broad and narrow context depending on the frame of reference. For fatal disease, the sequestration of Pf in tissues along with up-regulation of cytokines, toxic substances, and a lack of adequate, timely therapy, are key features of the process. For the remainder of malaria infections, the negative aspects of the disease are results of imbalance in the parasite–human interaction for a given species with the exception of Pk, a true zoonosis. As eradication moves from a goal to a ra-
tional plan of action, a firm understanding of the pathogenesis of malaria in all patient groups is required to not only predict where disease (especially severe disease) will occur but be able to prevent it.
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One hundred and twenty-five million women in malaria-endemic areas become pregnant each year (see Dellicour et al. PLoS Med 7: e1000221 [2010]) and require protection from infection to avoid disease and death for themselves and their offspring. Chloroquine prophylaxis was once a safe approach to prevention but has been abandoned because of drug-resistant parasites, and intermittent presumptive treatment with sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine, which is currently used to protect pregnant women throughout Africa, is rapidly losing its benefits for the same reason. No other drugs have yet been shown to be safe, tolerable, and effective as prevention for pregnant women, although monthly dihydroartemisinin–piperaquine has shown promise for reducing poor pregnancy outcomes. Insecticide-treated nets provide some benefits, such as reducing placental malaria and low birth weight. However, this leaves a heavy burden of maternal, fetal, and infant morbidity and mortality that could be avoided. Women naturally acquire resistance to \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} over successive pregnancies as they acquire antibodies against parasitized red cells that bind chondroitin sulfate A in the placenta, suggesting that a vaccine is feasible. Pregnant women are an important reservoir of parasites in the community, and women of reproductive age must be included in any elimination effort, but several features of malaria during pregnancy will require special consideration during the implementation of elimination programs.

Pregnant women and women of childbearing age will require special consideration during mass campaigns for malaria elimination. Malaria susceptibility increases during pregnancy, making these women an important parasite reservoir in the community. Meanwhile, the biology and clinical presentations of \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} in semi-immune women interfere with diagnosis during pregnancy, rendering targeted interventions ineffective for control (Fig. 1). Furthermore, concerns for teratogenicity and embryotoxicity complicate the proposed application of any drugs, vaccines, or antivector measures among women of reproductive age, greatly hindering mass campaign planning. For example, primaquine is the leading drug being assessed as a gametocytocidal agent to block parasite transmission to mosquitoes, but is contraindicated in pregnancy because the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase status and hence hemolysis risk of the fetus would be unknown. This chapter reviews malaria during pregnancy, including its epidemiology and disease burden, molecular pathogenesis, naturally acquired immunity and potential for vaccines, diagnostic dilemmas, and drugs being used or
considered for prevention and treatment, to envision future approaches for malaria elimination that might be applied to women who may be pregnant.

**EPIDEMIOLOGY AND BURDEN OF DISEASE**

Pregnancy malaria looks very different in areas of low and unstable transmission versus high and stable transmission, although overall disease burden in different transmission zones may be similarly heavy in the absence of preventive measures. Where malaria transmission is low and unstable, women are infected infrequently but therefore have low immunity and often rapidly progress to severe disease syndromes when infected. These women have higher risks of severe malaria and death than their nonpregnant counterparts during *P. falciparum* infection (Duffy and Desowitz 2001) and are more likely to develop syndromes like respiratory distress and cerebral malaria (Nosten et al. 1991). In low transmission areas, women of all parities have increased susceptibility to malaria (Nosten et al. 1991).
Women in these areas should be routinely screened and promptly treated for infection to prevent the risk of severe disease and death.

In areas of stable Plasmodium falciparum malaria transmission, where approximately 50 million pregnancies occur each year, women are semi-immune and often carry their infections with few or no symptoms. Disease for mother and offspring often develops as an insidious process, and this can make it difficult to relate outcomes such as severe maternal anemia or low birth weight (LBW) back to the infection that caused these sequelae. In areas of stable transmission, primigravid women are at greatest risk, and over successive pregnancies women naturally acquire resistance to P. falciparum that reduces parasite density and prevents disease. Resistance has been associated with antibodies against the parasitized red cells that bind chondroitin sulfate A (CSA) in the placenta (Fried et al. 1998a). In communities in which malaria control has improved and the incidence of malaria decreases, the incidence of P. falciparum pregnancy malaria also decreases, but malaria-specific antibodies wane and the parasite burden and sequelae during any individual infection increase (Mayor et al. 2015).

In areas of stable transmission, interventional studies have provided evidence to estimate the burden of disease. Chemoprophylaxis with pyrimethamine/dapsone (Maloprim) in The Gambia provided significant benefits to primigravid (Greenwood et al. 1992) and grandmultigravid (parity >7) women (Greenwood et al. 1989; Menendez et al. 1994): primigravidae on prophylaxis had lower rates of parasitemia and higher hematocrits. The latter is an important effect, because maternal anemia increases risks of LBW, preterm delivery (PTD), perinatal mortality, and neonatal mortality in low- and middle-income countries (Rahman et al. 2016). In a recent meta-analysis, chemoprevention reduced the risk of moderate-to-severe maternal anemia in first- and second-time mothers by ~40% in malaria-endemic areas (Radeva-Petrova et al. 2014); severe maternal anemia is a major risk factor for maternal mortality when women suffer postpartum hemorrhage, a common event in low-income countries (Tort et al. 2015).

Malaria prevention similarly improves child outcomes, both before and after delivery. In a meta-analysis of interventional trials, relative risk of perinatal mortality when mothers received prevention was 0.73 (95% CI, 0.53–0.99) (Garner and Gulmezoglu 2006). Effective prophylaxis reduces the risk of LBW newborns, and LBW is a strong predictor for infant mortality: extrapolating from this reduction in LBW, malaria prevention was estimated to reduce the mortality of neonates born to Gambian primigravidae by 42%, and the postneonatal mortality by 18% (Greenwood et al. 1992). In an observational birth cohort study, placental malaria (PM) in Tanzanian primigravidae was directly related to increased postneonatal mortality: 9.3% mortality for offspring of infected first-time mothers, compared with 2.6% for offspring of uninfected first-time mothers (Duffy and Fried 2011). PM in multigravid women did not significantly increase mortality risk of their offspring. In this community, the population attributable risk percent (PAR%) of postneonatal infant mortality owing to PM was 29.2% for first pregnancies.

The direct measurement of postneonatal mortality exceeds the estimates of mortality that would result from LBW, suggesting that other PM-related mechanisms might contribute to infant deaths. Several studies have related PM to increased risks of malaria infection (Schwarz et al. 2008; Goncalves et al. 2014) and to severe malaria (Goncalves et al. 2014) in offspring during infancy, but this relationship has not been observed in other studies (Awine et al. 2016). Interestingly, PM appears to influence immune responses and milieu in the offspring, which could influence their malaria susceptibility. Fetal sensitization to malaria antigens is common (Fievet et al. 1996; King et al. 2002; Malhotra et al. 2005). Some newborns of infected mothers display a “tolerant” phenotype, and have an increased risk of infection and lower hemoglobin levels during early life (Malhotra et al. 2009). Plasma cytokine levels at birth predict levels measured later during infancy, particularly for interleukin 1β (IL-1β) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), and also predict the risks of malaria infection and severe
Mixed malaria infections such as *P. falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* might also alter pregnancy malaria outcomes, but many mixed infections appear to be mono-infections when diagnosed by peripheral blood smear (BS). *P. vivax*, like *P. falciparum*, is associated with poor pregnancy outcomes, but, unlike *P. falciparum*, sequelae may be more common in multigravid pregnancies (reviewed in Duffy 2001). Non-falciparum infections were infrequent and did not appear to impact pregnancy outcomes in West Africa, where *P. vivax* was absent outside Mali (Williams et al. 2016). In *P. vivax*-endemic areas, women should be actively screened and treated, but management is complicated because primaquine is contraindicated due to fetal hemolysis risk and, therefore, liver hypnozoite parasite forms remain and cause relapses in the mother.

**MOLECULAR PATHOGENESIS**

In stable transmission zones, malaria during pregnancy has a unique epidemiology characterized by parity-dependent susceptibility: primigravid women are infected more frequently and with higher placental parasite densities than multigravid women. A prominent feature of *P. falciparum* malaria during pregnancy is the accumulation of parasites in the placenta, whereas parasite density in the peripheral circulation is low or undetectable (Brabin 1983; McGregor 1984). For decades, the increased susceptibility to malaria during pregnancy was attributed to immunological changes associated with pregnancy, but this could not explain the reduction in infection rate and placental parasite burden over successive pregnancies.

An alternative molecular model to explain parity-dependent susceptibility is based on the ability of *P. falciparum* infected erythrocytes (IEs) to adhere to receptors on the vascular endothelium and thereby sequester in deep vascular beds. During pregnancy, IEs accumulate in the intervillus spaces or bind to the surface of the syncytiotrophoblast in the placenta. In this model, the placenta presents a new receptor for IE adhesion, thereby selecting a parasite subpopulation to which women are naïve before their first pregnancy, making first-time mothers most susceptible. Analyses of the binding profile of placental IE have shown that this parasite subpopulation adheres to placental CSA, and not to CD36, which commonly supports the binding of IE from nonpregnant individuals (Fried and Duffy 1996). With successive pregnancies, women develop specific antibodies to CSA binding and placental IEs that enable them to better control the infection (Fried et al. 1998a); immunoepidemiology studies that support this model are discussed below. Following the identification of CSA as the unique receptor that supports parasite adhesion in the placenta, additional studies conducted at different sites have confirmed this binding phenotype (Fried et al. 1998a, 2000; Beeson et al. 1999; Maubert et al. 2000; Muthusamy et al. 2007).

CSA is a glycosaminoglycan comprising repeats of the disaccharide β-glucoronic acid and N-acetyl-D-galactosamine (GalNAc). CSA is sulfated at the C4 position of GalNAc. The closely related glycosaminoglycans chondroitin sulfate B and chondroitin sulfate C do not support placental IE adhesion. CSA chains vary in their length and degree of sulfation, and further characterization has shown that a low-sulfated CSA (Achur et al. 2000; Alkhalil et al. 2000; Fried et al. 2000; Andrews et al. 2005) of at least six disaccharide repeats (Alkhalil et al. 2000) is optimal to support placental IE adhesion.

IE sequestration in the placenta is followed by the accumulation of macrophages and B cells in the intervillous spaces. The intensity of the inflammatory immune infiltrate varies between women and is inversely related to acquired immunity: macrophages are more commonly observed in placentas from primigravidae who lack specific immunity to placental IE than in those from multigravidae (Garnham 1938; Muehlenbachs et al. 2007).

The cytokine milieu in a healthy uninfected placenta displays a bias toward type 2 cytokines.
PM leads to marked changes in the cytokine milieu, including increased levels of TNF-α, interferon γ (IFN-γ), IL-10, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1, macrophage inflammatory protein 1 (MIP-1α and MIP-1β), CXC ligand 8, CXC ligand 9, and CXC ligand 13 (Fried et al. 1998b; Moormann et al. 1999; Abrams et al. 2003; Chaisavaneeyakorn et al. 2003; Rogerson et al. 2003; Suguitan et al. 2003a,b; Kabemela et al. 2008; Dong et al. 2012). Increased levels of the cytokines TNF-α and IFN-γ, and the chemokine CXCL9 that is regulated by IFN-γ, have been associated with LBW deliveries, especially among primigravid women (Fried et al. 1998b; Rogerson et al. 2003; Kabemela et al. 2008; Dong et al. 2012). Increased levels of the cytokines TNF-α and IFN-γ, and the chemokine CXCL9 that is regulated by IFN-γ, have been associated with LBW deliveries, especially among primigravid women (Fried et al. 1998b; Rogerson et al. 2003; Kabemela et al. 2008; Dong et al. 2012). Similarly, transcript levels for the chemokines CXCL13, CXCL9, and CCL18 negatively correlate with birth weight, and up-regulation of IL-8 and TNF-α transcription in the placenta has been associated with intrauterine growth retardation (Moormann et al. 1999; Muehlenbachs et al. 2007). These studies support but do not prove that these inflammatory mediators contribute to PM sequestration and inflammation are needed for mechanistic studies to better understand disease pathogenesis.

IMMUNITY AND VACCINES
Parity-Dependent Acquisition of Antibodies
The unique epidemiology of pregnancy malaria is characterized by parity-dependent susceptibility. Different approaches to evaluate parity-dependent humoral immunity have included serum or plasma reactivity to the IE surface by flow cytometry, adhesion-blocking activity, agglutination of IE, and opsonizing activity (Table 1). Regardless of assay, parity-dependent acquisition of antibody against placental parasites or CSA-binding laboratory isolates has been consistently observed across many studies. Levels of antibodies that surface-react are higher in multigravidas compared with primigravidas in many different countries (Fried et al. 1998a; Ricke et al. 2000; Staalsoe et al. 2001, 2004; Tuikue Ndam et al. 2004; Megnekou et al. 2005; Fievet et al. 2006; Feng et al. 2009; Aitken et al. 2010; Mayor et al. 2011). Adhesion-blocking antibody levels are significantly higher among multigravid than primigravid women (Fried et al. 1998a; O’Neil-Dunne et al. 2001; Jaworowski et al. 2009; Ndam et al. 2015). Although agglutination of placental parasites is uncommon (Beeson et al. 1999), the proportion of serum samples with agglutinating antibodies was significantly higher among multigravidas than primigravidas (Beeson et al. 1999; Maubert et al. 1999). Similarly, opsonic phagocytosis increased with parity (Keen et al. 2007; Jaworowski et al. 2009). Thus, antibodies to CSA-binding IE or placental parasites provide a robust correlate of parity-dependent resistance, regardless of assay.

Antibodies to Placental Parasites and Infection Status or Infection Risk
Garnham (1938) described three phases of PM based on histology. In the acute or active infection phase, IE accumulate in the intervillous spaces. In the next phase, now called chronic infection, maternal inflammatory cells accumulate, notably monocytes–macrophages containing malaria pigment (hemozoin). After IE are cleared, parasite pigment remains in the intervillous fibrin, sometimes persisting for months, depending on the parasite burden and corresponding amount of pigment (McGready et al. 2002; Muehlenbachs et al. 2010). This last phase of the infection is referred to as past infection. This chronology of PM is typical for primigravidas, but not for multigravidas who usually clear placental parasites quickly and do not progress beyond the active infection phase. Poor outcomes related to PM, such as LBW and maternal anemia, are most strongly associated with the chronic phase of infection (Ordi et al. 1998; Ismail et al. 2000; Shulman et al. 2001; Muehlenbachs et al. 2010).

The relationship of antibodies to infection status or infection risk has varied between studies (Table 2). This may be due, in part, to the heterogeneous chronology of placental infections, and in part to the effect of infection to boost antibodies. In three of four studies, anti-adhesion antibodies have been associated with a
### Table 1. Studies of naturally acquired antiparasite antibodies and parity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference/study (year)</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Parasite tested</th>
<th>Plasma/sera collected at</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Ricke et al. 2000      | Surface proteins by flow | CSA-selected | Third trimester | P: 30  
S: 30  
M: 103 | Increase with parity |
| Staalsoe et al. 2001, 2004 | Surface proteins by flow | CSA-selected | Third trimester; delivery | P: 78  
S: 105 | Increase with parity |
| Megnekou et al. 2005   | Surface proteins by flow | CSA-selected | Combined second–third trimesters | P: 101  
S/M: 114 | Increase with parity |
| Fievet et al. 2006     | Surface proteins by flow | Placental parasites | Second trimester | P: 62  
S: 50  
M: 153 | Increase with parity |
| Feng et al. 2009       | Surface proteins by flow | CSA-selected | Second trimester | P: 80  
S: 16  
M: 45 | Increase with parity |
| Aitken et al. 2010     | Surface proteins by flow | CSA-selected | Second and third trimesters | P: 131  
S: 108  
M: 310 | Increase with parity |
| Brolin et al. 2010     | Surface proteins by flow | CSA-selected | Third trimester | P: 189  
S: 21  
M: 72 | Increase with parity |
| Mayor et al. 2011      | Surface proteins by flow | CSA-selected, placental parasites | Delivery | P: 30  
M: 60 | PM⁺⁺ M > P  
PM⁻⁻ M > P for placental isolates |
| Fried et al. 1998a     | Anti-adhesion | Placental parasites | Delivery | P: 51  
S: 62  
M: 84 | Increase with parity |
| O’Neil-Dunne et al. 2001 | Anti-adhesion | CSA-selected | During pregnancy | P: 45  
S/M: 84 | Increase with parity at gestational age of 12–20 wk |
| Jaworowski et al. 2009 | Anti-adhesion | CSA-selected | Third trimester | P: 44  
M: 29 | Increase with parity |
| Beeson et al. 1999, 2004 | Agglutination | Placental parasites | Second trimester | P: 12  
M: 12 | Increase with parity |
| Maubert et al. 1999    | Agglutination | CSA-selected, placental parasites | Delivery | P: 13–76ᵃ  
M: 17–143ᵃ | PM⁺⁺: Increase with parity for 2/4 isolates |
| Keen et al. 2007       | Opsonizing activity | CSA-selected | Postpartum | P: 21  
M: 16 | Increase with parity |
| Jaworowski et al. 2009 | Opsonizing activity | CSA-selected | Third trimester | P: 44  
M: 29 | Increase with parity |
| Feng et al. 2009       | Opsonizing activity | CSA-selected | Second trimester | P: 80  
S: 16  
M: 45 | Increase with parity |

Only studies that analyzed more than five subjects per group are included.

P, Primigravidae; S, secundigravidae; M, multigravidae; PM⁺⁺, malaria-infected; PM⁻⁻, uninfected; CSA, chondroitin sulfate A.

ᵃNumber of plasma samples analyzed vary among tested isolates.
### Table 2. Studies of naturally acquired antiparasite antibodies and malaria infection status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference/study (year)</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Parasite tested</th>
<th>Plasma/sera collected at</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staalsoe et al. 2001</td>
<td>Surface proteins by flow</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Third trimester</td>
<td>P: 55 M: 58</td>
<td>Multigravid: inverse correlation between Abs and parasite density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staalsoe et al. 2004</td>
<td>Surface proteins by flow</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>All 477</td>
<td>Chronic and past infection &gt; PM^− and acute infection, regardless of parity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beeson et al. 2004</td>
<td>Surface proteins by flow</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>P: 54 M: 54</td>
<td>Primigravid: PM^+ &gt; PM^− Multigravid: no differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliott et al. 2005</td>
<td>Surface proteins by flow</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>P: 46 M: 20</td>
<td>Primigravid: PM^+ &gt; PM^− for IgG1, IgG3 Multigravid: no differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ataide et al. 2010</td>
<td>Surface proteins by flow</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Third trimester</td>
<td>P: 268</td>
<td>Primigravid: PM^+ &gt; PM^−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ataide et al. 2011</td>
<td>Surface proteins by flow</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Third trimester</td>
<td>S: 187</td>
<td>Secundigravid: PM^+ &gt; PM^−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tutterrow et al. 2012a</td>
<td>Surface proteins by flow</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Second–third trimester</td>
<td>Total 27</td>
<td>PM^− &gt; PM^+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor et al. 2011, 2013</td>
<td>Surface proteins by flow</td>
<td>Placental parasites</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>Total 293</td>
<td>Acute, chronic, and past infections &gt; PM^−, regardless of parity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Neil-Dunne et al. 2001</td>
<td>Anti-adhesion</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>Total 97</td>
<td>Inverse correlation between Abs and placental parasite density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beeson et al. 2004</td>
<td>Anti-adhesion</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>P: 54 M: 54</td>
<td>Primigravid: PM^+ &gt; PM^− Multigravid: no differences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ndam et al. 2015</td>
<td>Anti-adhesion</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>Total 266</td>
<td>PM^− &gt; PM^+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beeson et al. 2004</td>
<td>Agglutination</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>P:54 M:54</td>
<td>Primigravid and multigravid: PM^+ &gt; PM^−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ataide et al. 2010</td>
<td>Opsonizing activity</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Third trimester</td>
<td>P:268</td>
<td>Primigravid: PM^+ &gt; PM^−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ataide et al. 2011</td>
<td>Opsonizing activity</td>
<td>CSA-selected</td>
<td>Third trimester</td>
<td>S: 187</td>
<td>Secundigravid: PM^+ &gt; PM^−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PM^+^, Placental malaria positive, defined by the presence of parasites in the placenta; PM^−^, no parasites or the evidence of past infection by histology; CSA, chondroitin sulfate A.
reduced risk of infection, or to reduced parasite densities in infected women, supporting a role in protection. Opsonizing activity, agglutinating activity, and IE surface reactivity are elevated during and after an infection, which confounds efforts to assess their relationship with protection against infection. Because naturally acquired immunity to malaria controls infection but does not confer sterile resistance that completely prevents infection, infections also occur in semi-immune multigravidae, and infection boosts their antibody levels (Table 2). As a consequence, increased levels of antibodies, including those that agglutinate, opsonize, or react to the surface of IE, can reflect current or recent exposure to the parasite and thereby confound efforts to find correlates of protection (Ataide et al. 2010).

Immune Responses and Pregnancy Outcomes

PM commonly leads to severe maternal anemia and LBW deliveries, especially among primigravidae. The association between naturally acquired antibodies and pregnancy outcomes has been seen in some but not all studies, and notably the target population and antibody assay have differed between studies (Table 3). Among Kenyan women with chronic malaria, low serum reactivity to the surface of CSA-binding laboratory IE was associated with lower hemoglobin level and reduced birth weight (Staalsoe et al. 2004). Among 141 malaria-infected women in Malawi (Feng et al. 2009), serum reactivity to the IE surface during the second trimester was lower among the women who presented with anemia (hemoglobin <11 g/dL) at the time of delivery (Feng et al. 2009). Opsonic activity among malaria-infected secundigravid women in Malawi was associated with increased birth weight, and opsonic activity was higher among nonanemic than anemic malaria-infected multigravidae (Jaworowski et al. 2009; Ataide et al. 2011). Among Mozambican women who had been infected during pregnancy, high serum reactivity to both placental and children’s IE at the time of delivery was associated with increased birth weight and gestational age (Mayor et al. 2013). In Kenya, levels of anti-adhesion antibodies to placental IE were associated with increased birth weight and gestational age among offspring of secundigravidae (Duffy and Fried 2003). In Benin, anti-adhesion antibodies reduced the likelihood of LBW deliveries (Ndam et al. 2015). Among multigravid women, anti-adhesion antibodies have not been associated with risks of maternal anemia and LBW (Duffy and Fried 2003; Jaworowski et al. 2009), presumably because as a group these women enjoy protective immunity. Together, these studies provide strong support for the idea that antibodies to placental IE confer protection, but do not indicate which antibody effector mechanism(s) is primarily responsible.

PREGNANCY MALARIA VACCINE DEVELOPMENT

Currently, the leading candidate for a vaccine to prevent pregnancy malaria is VAR2CSA, a member of the var gene or PfEMP1 protein family that is up-regulated in placental parasites as well as CSA-selected laboratory parasites (Salanti et al. 2003; Tuikue Ndam et al. 2005). VAR2CSA is a large protein of ~350 kDa comprising six extracellular Duffy-binding-like (DBL) domains and is too large to manufacture as an intact molecule. Therefore, immunogens being considered for product development incorporate one or a few domains, with or without adjacent interdomain regions.

Several studies compared primigravid to multigravid women for their seroreactivity with different VAR2CSA domains (Table 4). Parity-dependent acquisition of VAR2CSA domain-specific antibody has varied between studies. This could reflect differences in the recombinant proteins based on expression system, allelic variant, or domain boundaries, or differences in study populations such as transmission intensity, gestational age, or prevalence of infection at the time of serum sampling.

Antibody boosting during infection can confound attempts to distinguish between protective antibodies and markers of exposure. Perhaps, for this reason, VAR2CSA antibodies have
not been related to protection from infection in many studies. After measuring antibody levels to individual DBL domains and domain combinations, Tutterrow et al. (2012a) concluded that antibodies to multiple domains and alleles are needed to reduce PM risk. In Benin, high levels of VAR2CSA-DBL3x antibody during the first two trimesters reduced the risk of PM, although a similar trend was observed with antibody to an unrelated VAR domain (Ndam et al. 2015).

Relationships between VAR2CSA antibodies and pregnancy outcomes have also varied between studies. Among Kenyan women with
Table 4. Studies of naturally acquired VAR2CSA antibodies and parity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Parity effect</th>
<th>Abs measured at</th>
<th>Study site year, transmission pattern</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBL1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>2001–2005, Muheza-Tanzania, perennial</td>
<td>Oleinikov et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Enrollment* and delivery</td>
<td>2001, Thiadiaye-Senegal, seasonal</td>
<td>Tuikue Ndam et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBL1– DBL2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Enrollment* and delivery</td>
<td>2008–2010, Comé-Benin, perennial</td>
<td>Ndam et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBL2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Enrollment* and delivery</td>
<td>2001, Thiadiaye-Senegal, seasonal</td>
<td>Dechavanne et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Enrollment* and delivery</td>
<td>2008–2010, Comé-Benin, perennial</td>
<td>Ndam et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Third trimester Delivery</td>
<td>2000–2002, Blantyre-Malawi, perennial</td>
<td>Brolin et al. 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBL4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>2008–2010, Comé-Benin, perennial</td>
<td>Ndam et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enrollment*</td>
<td>2008–2010, Comé-Benin, perennial</td>
<td>Ndam et al. 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBL5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>2001, Thiadiaye-Senegal, seasonal</td>
<td>Salanti et al. 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enrollment* and delivery</td>
<td>Ghana*b, seasonal</td>
<td>Tuikue Ndam et al. 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Third trimester</td>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>2000–2002, Blantyre-Malawi, perennial</td>
<td>Brolin et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>During pregnancy</td>
<td>Enrollment*</td>
<td>2005–2008, Ouidah-Benin, perennial</td>
<td>Gnidehou et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enrollment* and delivery</td>
<td>2001, Thiadiaye-Senegal, seasonal</td>
<td>Dechavanne et al. 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBL6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Enrollment* and delivery</td>
<td>2001, Thiadiaye-Senegal, seasonal</td>
<td>Tuikue Ndam et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Third trimester</td>
<td>2000–2002, Blantyre-Malawi, perennial</td>
<td>Brolin et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enrollment* and delivery</td>
<td>2001, Thiadiaye-Senegal, seasonal</td>
<td>Dechavanne et al. 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aSamples collected at enrollment at any time during the first 6 months of gestation.
*bStudy year and site information not available.
*cSamples collected during pregnancy, but timing not specified.
acute or chronic malaria infection, higher DBL5 antibody levels reduced the risk of LBW delivery (Salanti et al. 2004). Among Mozambican women infected at least once during their pregnancy, above-the-median antibody levels to DBL3X and DBL6, as well as the unrelated merozoite antigen AMA1, were associated with increased birth weight and gestational age (Mayor et al. 2013). In Benin, high DBL1-ID1-DBL2 antibody levels during the first two trimesters reduced the risk of LBW (Ndam et al. 2015). Additional studies that define relationships between specific antibody and protection are needed to advance the development of a vaccine to prevent malaria during pregnancy.

**DIAGNOSIS**

Despite its large burden of disease, *P. falciparum* infection can be difficult to diagnose during pregnancy, particularly in semi-immune women who often are asymptomatic during infection. Although IEs accumulate in the placenta, parasite density in peripheral blood can be too low for detection by routine BS microscopy. BS is the gold standard for malaria diagnosis and is ideal for discriminating the different human malaria parasite species; however, quality varies substantially, and the requirement for microscope and trained microscopist limits BS availability or quality in many places. Paradoxically, although pregnancy malaria is difficult to recognize and diagnose, many women in endemic areas unnecessarily receive antimalarial treatments in the absence of infection. In Mozambique, BS was negative in more than 70% of pregnant women with clinical symptoms of malaria (Bardaji et al. 2008). Because antimalarials are often prescribed on the basis of clinical and not laboratory criteria, many pregnant women receive unnecessary treatment with drugs that have an unclear safety profile especially in the first trimester.

Rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) are a more recent tool that is gaining wider acceptance for diagnosis in the general population. RDTs use immunochromatographic approaches to detect soluble *Plasmodium* antigens, including histidine-rich protein-2 (HRP-2), aldolase, and parasite lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH). The OptiMAL test, based on pLDH detection, gave varying results when compared with peripheral BS in different studies of pregnant women, with sensitivity ranging from 15% to 97% and specificity from 91% to 98% (Mankhambo et al. 2002; VanderJagt et al. 2005; Tagbor et al. 2008). The sensitivity of the OptiMAL test increases with parasite density, and all samples with parasite density <100 per μL were misdiagnosed in one study (VanderJagt et al. 2005). In a larger study (Tagbor et al. 2008), OptiMal had 100% sensitivity and 93% specificity for parasite densities ≥50 per μL blood, but sensitivity of only 57% at lower densities. RDTs that detect pLDH have the advantage that they are designed to detect only live parasites; however, gametocyturia in the absence of asexual blood stage parasites can still produce positive results.

In general, RDTs that detect HRP-2 have a higher sensitivity than those that detect pLDH. In one study, RDT-HRP-2 sensitivity was greater than 90% when compared with peripheral BS, and 80%–95% when compared with placental BS with specificity between 61% and 94% (Leke et al. 1999; Mockenhaupt et al. 2002; Singer et al. 2004; Mayor et al. 2012). In a multicenter study in West Africa, RDTs that combine the detection of pLDH and HRP-2 showed similar good sensitivity at some but not all sites (range 63.6%–95.1% in primigravidae) when compared with diagnoses using BS and PCR at first antenatal visits, but not at subsequent visits or at delivery in Ghanaian women (<60% sensitivity in all parity groups at delivery) (Williams et al. 2015). In Papua New Guinea, HRP-2/pLDH RDTs were deemed insufficiently sensitive for intermittent screening of asymptomatic anemic women (Umbers et al. 2015). A weakness of RDT-HRP-2 tests is the prolonged half-life of the antigen. HRP-2 can be identified in plasma samples several weeks after parasite clearance, and therefore cannot distinguish current from recent infection (Wongsrichanalai et al. 1999; Mayxay et al. 2001; Tjitra et al. 2001). In Burkina Faso, 2/32 parasitemic pregnant women continued to have detectable HRP-2 antigen 28 d after receiving artesiminin combination therapy (Kattenberg et al. 2012). These shortcomings...
hinder the use of existing RDTs for managing malaria or monitoring treatment efficacy during pregnancy.

**DRUGS FOR PREVENTION AND TREATMENT**

**Intermittent Presumptive Treatment (IPTp)**

PM is associated with maternal anemia, LBW deliveries, PTD, and fetal loss. Severe maternal anemia increases the risk of maternal death, and both LBW and PTD increase the risk of infant death. To avoid these poor outcomes, measures to prevent PM have been recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO). The first agent used to prevent PM was weekly chloroquine (CQ) at a prophylaxis dose. However, the emergence of CQ-resistant parasites in sub-Saharan Africa during the 1980s prompted the search for new strategies. A 1992 study in Malawi showed that two treatment doses of SP given during the second and early third trimester significantly reduced the prevalence of PM compared with CQ (Schultz et al. 1994). A subsequent trial in Kenya confirmed that two SP treatment doses reduced PM prevalence in HIV-infected women (Table 5) (Parise et al. 1998).

In the early 2000s, WHO recommended intermittent presumptive treatment (IPTp) for pregnant women in malaria-endemic regions, with at least two curative doses of the antimalarial drug SP, one dose in the second and the other dose in the third trimester of pregnancy. In 2012, WHO updated the recommendation, increasing the number to three or more SP doses. In practice, women in areas of moderate–high malaria transmission should receive SP at each antenatal care visit during the second and third trimesters (because four visits are recommended), with 1 mo intervals between doses (www.who.int/malaria/areas/preventive_therapies/pregnancy/en).

Owing to the spread of SP resistance in sub-Saharan Africa, artemisinin-based combinations (ACTs) were adopted as the first-line treatment for uncomplicated malaria in the 2000s (Eastman and Fidock 2009). Even as the general population was switching to ACT as treatment policy, the IPTp-SP strategy was being widely adopted for pregnant women. At present, WHO continues to recommend IPTp-SP, even in areas with high levels of SP resistance and treatment failure. Here, we summarize studies that have examined the associations between IPTp-SP and malaria parasitemia detected in maternal peripheral blood or placental blood (Table 5). We do not include studies that only reported an association between IPTp-SP and other pregnancy outcomes because the main goal of IPTp-SP is to improve pregnancy outcomes by preventing PM. Improved outcomes without an effect on parasitological measures are difficult to interpret.

During the years 1992–2002, IPTp-SP significantly reduced PM in studies conducted across Africa. However, most data collected after 2001–2002 in East and Southeast Africa indicate that IPTp-SP lost its efficacy to reduce PM prevalence and/or parasite density. This trend has progressed to West Africa, where one site in Ghana reported that IPTp-SP did not reduce PM prevalence (van Spronsen et al. 2012).

SP resistance results from accumulating mutations in dhfr and dhps genes. The quintuple *P. falciparum* mutations (three in Pfdhfr and two mutations in Pfdhps) have been associated with treatment failure (Kublin et al. 2002; Naidoo and Roper 2013), and increased placental parasite density with an increasing number of Pfdhfr mutations (Mockenhaupt et al. 2007). A WHO document published in November 2015 (www.who.int/malaria/publications/atoz/istp-and-act-in-pregnancy.pdf) stated that “An association between sextuple mutant haplotypes of *P. falciparum* and decreased birth weight has been reported in observational studies in a few sites in East Africa. Further studies are required to assess this and to devise the best and most cost-effective prevention strategies in areas of very high SP resistance.” The policy of continuing IPTp-SP in areas of high resistance is puzzling and inconsistent with WHO directives for malaria treatment (Nosten and McGready 2015), as well as studies that strongly relate dhfr/dhps mutations to treatment failure.

Currently, IPTp-SP remains efficacious for reducing the rate of PM and/or parasite burden at some sites in West Africa. However, even in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Study site</th>
<th>Study years</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schultz et al. 1994</td>
<td>Mangochi district, Malawi, high transmission</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>357 (placental BS $n = 159$)</td>
<td>Assigned to one of three arms: (1) weekly CQ, (2) one dose SP followed by weekly CQ, (3) two doses SP</td>
<td>Two doses significantly reduced the rate of peripheral and placental parasitemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verhoeff et al. 1998</td>
<td>Chikwawa district, Malawi, high transmission</td>
<td>1993–1994</td>
<td>1837 delivery data: 575</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at first ANC visit, outcomes measured at delivery</td>
<td>At delivery, no differences in prevalence of placental or peripheral parasitemia between one and two doses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parise et al. 1998</td>
<td>Kisumu, Kenya, high transmission</td>
<td>1994–1996</td>
<td>2077</td>
<td>Assigned to one of three arms: (1) two doses SP, (2) monthly doses of SP between enrollment and gestational week 34, (3) case management with SP</td>
<td>Two doses or monthly SP significantly reduced the prevalence of infection detected in peripheral and placental samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shulman et al. 1999</td>
<td>Kilifi, Kenya, hyperholoendemic and mesoendemic sites</td>
<td>1996–1997</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>Double-blind, randomized, controlled; number of SP doses (1–3) based on gestational age at enrollment</td>
<td>At gestational week 34, ≥ one dose of IPTp-SP significantly reduced the prevalence of peripheral parasitemia; PM: significantly higher proportion of negative by histology in the treatment group; no differences by BS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harrington et al. 2009</td>
<td>Muheza, Tanzania, high transmission</td>
<td>2002–2005</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at delivery</td>
<td>No IPTp versus ≥ one dose: SP usage associated with increased placental parasite density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gies et al. 2009</td>
<td>Boromo, Burkina Faso, seasonal, high transmission</td>
<td>2004–2006</td>
<td>915 (peripheral blood) 878 (placental blood)</td>
<td>Substudy of larger study to evaluate IPTp</td>
<td>None to one versus &gt; two doses: reduction in the prevalence of infection detected in peripheral and placental blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td>Study site</td>
<td>Study years</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Study design</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiono et al. 2009</td>
<td>Bousse district, Burkina Faso,</td>
<td>2004–2005</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>Randomized, three treatment arms: (1) IPTp-SP, (2) weekly</td>
<td>At delivery, the prevalence of maternal peripheral parasitemia significantly lower in IPTp-SP than CQ group; significant reduction in PM in IPTp-SP versus weekly CQ but not versus IPTp-CQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>seasonal, high transmission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CQ, (3) IPTp-CQ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menendez et al. 2008</td>
<td>Manhica district, Mozambique,</td>
<td>2003–2005</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled</td>
<td>Placebo + ITN versus SP + ITN: no difference in placental infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>moderate transmission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reduction in the prevalence of peripheral blood parasitemia and active placental infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ndyomugenyi et al. 2011</td>
<td>Kabale district, Uganda,</td>
<td>2004–2007</td>
<td>5328</td>
<td>Randomized, placebo-controlled, blinded for SP versus</td>
<td>IPTp versus ITN versus IPTp + ITN: no differences in infection rate at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>low and unstable transmission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>placebo but not for ITN use</td>
<td>gestational weeks 36–40 and at delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hommerich et al. 2007</td>
<td>Agogo, Ghana, hyper- to</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at delivery</td>
<td>No IPTp versus ≥ one SP dose: SP associated with decreased placental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>holoendemic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>infection detected by RDT and PCR but not by microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diakite et al. 2011</td>
<td>Bla district, Mali, seasonal,</td>
<td>2006–2008</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>Randomized to two treatment arms: (1) two SP does, (2)</td>
<td>PM reduced by half after three doses versus two doses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high transmission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>three SP doses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanga-Bosson et al. 2011</td>
<td>Côte d'Ivoire, six sites (four</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2044</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at delivery</td>
<td>None versus one to two doses: reduction in PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>urban, two semiurban) in three</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>regions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson et al. 2011</td>
<td>Accra, Ghana, moderate</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at ANC (third trimester)</td>
<td>Prior use of IPTp significantly reduced maternal infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>transmission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gutman et al. 2013</td>
<td>Machinga, Malawi, high</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at delivery</td>
<td>None to one dose versus ≥ two doses: number of doses had no effect on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>transmission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>placental and peripheral parasitemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gutman et al. 2015</td>
<td>Machinga and Blantyre, Malawi,</td>
<td>Machinga: 2010</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at delivery</td>
<td>Sextuple mutated haplotype in Pf dhfr/ Pf dhps associated with significant increase in placental and peripheral parasitemia and parasite density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high and low transmission</td>
<td>Blantyre: 2009–2011</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Location/Setting</td>
<td>Year(s)</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Key Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mace et al. 2015</td>
<td>Mansa, Zambia, high transmission</td>
<td>2009–2011</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at delivery</td>
<td>&lt;two versus ≥ two doses: no difference in PM, a decrease in any infection (placental including past infection and peripheral blood) among primigravidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toure et al. 2014</td>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire, six sites (three rural, three urban), perennial transmission with seasonal peaks</td>
<td>2009–2010</td>
<td>1317</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at delivery</td>
<td>None versus one versus ≥ two doses: no differences in PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisse et al. 2014</td>
<td>Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso, seasonal, high transmission</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment during routine ANC visit</td>
<td>No association between SP usage and malaria infection prevalence during pregnancy; lower parasite density in women that used SP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coulibaly et al. 2014</td>
<td>Kita and Kayes regions, Mali; Ziniaré, Burkina Faso, seasonal high transmission</td>
<td>2009–2010</td>
<td>268 (Mali) 312 (BF)</td>
<td>IPTp-SP for clearing asymptomatic infection during pregnancy</td>
<td>Low treatment failure: 1.1% at day 42, PCR adjusted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Spronsen et al. 2012</td>
<td>Gushiugu, Ghana, high transmission</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at delivery</td>
<td>No association between IPTp usage, number of SP doses, and PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonga et al. 2013</td>
<td>Sanaga-Maritime Littoral region, Cameroon, hyperendemic</td>
<td>2011–2012</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at delivery</td>
<td>None to one versus &gt; two doses IPTp: no difference in PM rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arinaitwe et al. 2013</td>
<td>Tororo, Uganda, high transmission</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at delivery</td>
<td>&lt;two versus ≥ two doses: no differences in PM rate or parasite density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braun et al. 2015</td>
<td>Fort Portal, western Uganda, mesoendemic</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at delivery</td>
<td>None versus one to two doses: no differences in placental or peripheral infection rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mpogoro et al. 2014</td>
<td>Geita region, Tanzania, high transmission</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>Observational, enrollment at delivery</td>
<td>&lt;three versus ≥ three SP doses: ≥three doses associated with a reduction in PM (26/431 received ≥three doses)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SP, Sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine; CQ, chloroquine; BW, birth weight; LBW, low birth weight; PTD, preterm delivery; SGA, small for gestational age; ITN, insecticide-treated net.

*Results from adjusted models presented.
areas with low or moderate SP resistance, the IPTp strategy does not completely prevent PM and the protective effects depend on the timing of the first dose and the interval between treatments (Nosten and McGready 2015).

Alternatives to IPTp-SP

Dihydroartemisinin–Piperaquine

A comparison between three doses of IPTp-SP and three doses or monthly dihydroartemisinin–piperaquine (DP) was recently conducted in Uganda (Kakuru et al. 2016). Peripheral blood parasitemia detected by LAMP was significantly higher in the IPTp-SP group than three doses or monthly DP. Similarly, PM (combined active and past infection) was significantly higher among women who received IPTp-SP than women that received three doses or monthly treatment with DP. Although, among primigravid women, the rate of PM was similar between the three groups, the amount of pigment deposition was significantly higher in the IPTp-SP groups, which might indicate higher parasite densities in past infections. The risk of any poor pregnancy outcome (PTD, LBW, congenital anomaly, stillbirth, spontaneous abortion) was significantly lower among women receiving monthly DP than women who received three doses of DP or IPTp-SP.

Mefloquine

In a comparison of IPTp-SP and IPTp-mefloquine (MQ) (Briand et al. 2009), Beninese women received either two doses of IPTp-SP or two doses of MQ (15 mg/kg) during pregnancy. PM was significantly less frequent in the MQ group, but other endpoints including birth weight, LBW, and maternal anemia were similar (Briand et al. 2009). Adverse events were more common with MQ, and overall tolerability was lower (Briand et al. 2009). Another trial compared two doses of IPTp with SP or MQ in women who also received long-lasting insecticide-treated nets. MQ was given as a single 15 mg/kg dose or as a split dose (Gonzalez et al. 2014a). The rates of maternal parasitemia (by BS) at delivery, mild anemia at delivery, and clinical malaria during pregnancy were significantly lower in the MQ group, while PM (by BS or histology), birth weight, and LBW rates were similar (Gonzalez et al. 2014a). As in Benin, tolerability was poor even in the group that received MQ as a split dose (Gonzalez et al. 2014a).

IPTp-SP is not recommended for HIV-infected women who take daily cotrimoxazole prophylaxis, owing to the potential adverse effects of taking two antifolate drugs with a common mechanism of action (reviewed in Peters et al. 2007). Two trials evaluated MQ as IPTp in women taking cotrimoxazole (Gonzalez et al. 2014b). In a multicenter study conducted in East and Southeast Africa, peripheral and placental parasitemia (defined by BS, PCR, or histology) and nonobstetric admission were less frequent among women that received three doses of IPTp-MQ, while maternal anemia, birth weight, and gestational age at delivery were similar between groups (Gonzalez et al. 2014b). Notably, IPTp-MQ was associated with increased mother-to-child transmission of HIV, and again showed poor tolerability (Gonzalez et al. 2014b). In West Africa, IPTp with three MQ doses (15 mg/kg) was compared with cotrimoxazole alone and cotrimoxazole plus IPTp-MQ (Denoeud-Ndam et al. 2014). At delivery, PM was not detected by PCR in any of the 105 women in the cotrimoxazole + IPTp-MQ group compared with 5/103 women in the cotrimoxazole alone group. Maternal anemia, infection rate during pregnancy detected by PCR, and birth weight did not differ between groups. Again, adverse events were more common among women receiving MQ (Denoeud-Ndam et al. 2014). Although MQ can be effective to reduce infection, tolerability has been poor even when used at a split dose, and thus may result in low compliance if used for prevention.

Chloroquine–Azithromycin Combination

The CQ–azithromycin combination was compared with SP for use as IPTp in a trial that included six sites in Africa. However, interim analyses showed that the new combination was not superior to the existing intervention, and
the study was terminated early (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01103063).

**Intermittent Screening and Treatment**

The Intermittent Screening and Treatment in pregnancy (ISTp) strategy entails screening women for malaria infection during antenatal clinic visits using an RDT and treating infection with an antimalarial drug. A multicenter trial comparing ISTp-AL (artemether–lumefantrine) with IPTp-SP was recently conducted in West Africa in sites with seasonal malaria and low SP resistance (Tagbor et al. 2015). PM, birth weight, and maternal hemoglobin were similar between ISTp-AL and IPTp-SP in the overall analysis and within individual sites (Tagbor et al. 2015). Malaria infections between scheduled visits were significantly more frequent in women randomized to the ISTp-AL (Tagbor et al. 2015). In an area of high malaria transmission and high SP resistance in Kenya, women were randomized to three interventions: ISTp with dihydroartemisinin–piperaquine (DP), IPTp with DP, and IPTp-SP (Desai et al. 2015). Malaria infection at delivery was diagnosed by detection of parasites with BS on peripheral or placental blood, or with RDT or PCR on peripheral blood. Risks of malaria infection, mild anemia (HGB < 11 g/dL), stillbirth, and early infant mortality were significantly reduced in women receiving IPTp-SP rather than IPTp-SP or ISTp-SP, while ISTp-SP and IPTp-SP groups did not differ (Desai et al. 2015). The failure of ISTp-SP to improve on IPTp with the failing drug SP echoes the early evaluation of ISTp-SP in 1992–1994 (Parise et al. 1998) in which case management was inferior to IPTp-SP.

Differences in ISTp efficacy between the two studies could result from different transmission patterns, being highly seasonal in West Africa versus perennial with seasonal peaks in Kenya. Peripheral parasite density at delivery in Kenya was much lower than the density at enrollment in West Africa. Although the different assessment times could influence BS results, lower parasite densities might explain the lower sensitivity of RDT to detect PM, potentially rendering the IST strategy ineffective in Kenya (Fried et al. 2012; Desai et al. 2015).

**Treatment of Malaria during Pregnancy**

Currently, artemisinin combination therapy (ACT) is the first-line treatment for malaria in nonpregnant individuals. Owing to safety concerns, WHO recommends that pregnant women be treated with quinine and clindamycin during the first trimester and with ACT in the second and third trimesters. A multicenter trial reported high cure rate with four different ACTs (artemether–lumefantrine, amodiaquine–artesunate, dihydroartemisinin–piperaquine, and mefloquine–artesunate), with artemether–lumefantrine showing the lowest cure rate of 94.8% (The PREGACT Study Group 2016). Pregnancy outcomes were similar between the four groups and both artemether–lumefantrine and dihydroartemisinin–piperaquine had fewer adverse events than amodiaquine–artesunate, and mefloquine–artesunate (The PREGACT Study Group 2016). Analyses of first-trimester antimalarial treatment records at Shoklo Malaria Research Unit in Thailand have shown that artesunate is as safe as chloroquine and quinine (McGready et al. 2012). In a similar study in Kenya, ACT treatment during the first-trimester (based on the review of treatment records) did not increase the risk of miscarriage, compared with women who did not receive any treatment or women who received quinine (Dellicour et al. 2015). However, community surveillance, which included cases without a treatment record, suggested that exposure to ACT may increase the risk of miscarriage compared with women that never received antimalarial drugs (Dellicour et al. 2015). Because both symptomatic and asymptomatic malaria infections (with *P. falciparum* or *P. vivax*) during the first trimester increase the risk of miscarriage (McGready et al. 2012), it might be difficult to assess the contribution attributable to ACT when the comparison group includes never-infected women. Both studies had a small number of women that received either ACT or quinine, and clinical trials to compare the safety of ACT to quinine during the first trimester are needed.
FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Pregnant women are at increased risk of malaria, making this demographic group an important parasite reservoir in the community and a key target for interventions during elimination efforts (Fig. 1). However, pregnant women and women of childbearing age will require special considerations during any mass administration campaigns. Semi-immune women often carry *P. falciparum* PM with low peripheral parasite burdens and few acute symptoms, hindering diagnosis and complicating efforts to use targeted treatment as a strategy. Drugs currently used for malaria prevention during pregnancy have lost or are losing their efficacy, and finding new drugs is stymied by concerns for teratogenicity and embryotoxicity; dihydroartemisinin–piperaquine has shown promise as the monthly presumptive treatment to prevent poor pregnancy outcomes, although it may not reduce PM prevalence. Vaccines have been important tools for the elimination of other infectious pathogens, and women commonly receive vaccines such as tetanus toxoid during pregnancy. Vaccines could be particularly useful for the control of PM: *P. falciparum* parasites sequester in the human placenta by adhesion to CSA, and women acquire antibodies against CSA-binding parasites over successive pregnancies, rendering primigravidae most susceptible and suggesting a vaccine is feasible. Vaccines that control PM, prevent human infection, or block onward transmission to mosquitoes, will require testing to assess their ability to interrupt transmission through pregnant women. More effort must be made to address the safety of drugs, vaccines, and antivector measures among women of childbearing age, particularly during the first trimester of pregnancy when safety concerns are greatest.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Tens of millions of pregnant women are at risk of malaria every year, but the management of malaria is particularly complex in this population. In areas of low transmission, women lacking immunity are at increased risk of acute severe disease and of death during *P. falciparum* infection, and therefore active surveillance and prompt treatment of malaria in these women is paramount. In areas of high stable transmission, acquired immunity can mask acute symptoms but leave women vulnerable to insidious effects such as severe maternal anemia and perinatal, neonatal, or postneonatal death for their offspring. Existing diagnostic tools are inadequate to detect malaria infection in semi-immune women, and the drugs CQ and SP used as preventive interventions have lost or are losing their benefits; a replacement drug has yet to be identified that is sufficiently safe, tolerable, and effective as prevention, although studies of dihydroartemisinin–piperaquine are encouraging. Naturally acquired resistance to malaria suggests that vaccines are feasible by inducing antibodies against the CSA-binding parasites that sequester in the human placenta. Passive or active immunization that provides women with a window of coverage throughout pregnancy is an appealing alternative to drug prevention strategies. The need for new preventive and diagnostic tools for this vulnerable population is urgent, but is often overlooked by policymakers and funding agencies. This dearth of safe and effective tools to control malaria in pregnant women will hinder future malaria elimination campaigns, because any woman of childbearing age will likely be excluded from participation if pregnancy status is unknown.
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The first reference genome assembly for the *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria parasite was completed over a decade ago, and the impact of this and other genomic resources on malaria research has been significant. Genomic resources for other malaria parasites are being established, even as *P. falciparum* continues to be the focus of development of new genomic methods and applications. Here we review the impact and applications of genomic data on malaria research, and discuss future needs and directions as genomic data generation becomes less expensive and more decentralized. Specifically, we focus on how population genomic strategies can be utilized to advance the malaria eradication agenda.

The past decade has been marked by tremendous cost reductions for generating genomic data, coupled with considerable knowledge increases required for analysis and interpretation of these genomic data. Consequently, thousands of parasite and vector genomes have been sequenced since the original *Plasmodium falciparum* reference genome assembly in 2002 (Gardner et al. 2002). The maturation of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology has reduced the cost of sequencing a malaria parasite genome from millions to tens of dollars. The challenge now is how to interpret these genomic data to inform important biological or operational questions, and to prospectively generate genomic data in a strategic manner. Some of the ways genomic approaches can guide or advance the eradication agenda include addressing questions such as: Are intervention approaches working? Where are new infections coming from? Are intervention approaches like drugs and vaccines inducing resistance in parasite populations or only working on a subset of the population? In this review, we examine the application of genomic data toward understanding and eradicating malaria, and outline opportunities for extracting even more value from such data through defined sampling strategies and collection of relevant metadata and phenotypes.
AN ABUNDANCE OF GENOMIC DATA

To date, large genomic datasets have provided a framework for the forward-thinking work needed to apply malaria genomic information toward the goal of malaria eradication. Following the generation of reference genome assemblies, malaria investigators followed the path of other organisms in the postgenomic era and characterized genomic diversity through sequencing surveys (Jeffares et al. 2007; Mu et al. 2007; Volkman et al. 2007; Tan et al. 2011; Neafsey et al. 2012) and later genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) arrays (Neafsey et al. 2008, 2010; Mu et al. 2010; Tan et al. 2011; Van Tyne et al. 2011). Such work illuminated the recent demographic history of multiple parasite and vector species, defined sometimes complex gene flow boundaries, and revealed the impact of immune, drug, or insecticide selection on the genomes of malaria parasites and vectors. Subsequently, more comprehensive characterizations of genetic diversity have yielded thorough inventories of genomic diversity across many geographic regions (Amambua-Ngwa et al. 2012; Manske et al. 2012; Miotto et al. 2013, 2015; MalariaGEN P. falciparum Community Project 2016), refining our understanding of population boundaries and diversity differences between populations. Collectively, this deep genomic sequencing dataset, for example, represented in the open-access Pf3k collaboration and database (www.malariagen.net/projects/pf3k), facilitates informed development of markers for genotyping to analyze even larger sample collections. To ensure that sequencing data remain accessible and maximally useful to the malaria research community, it will be essential that prospective sequencing data generation efforts contribute sequencing data and a minimum increment of sample metadata (e.g., location and date of sample collection) to open repositories such as Pf3k and/or PlasmoDB in a timely manner with as few restrictions as possible. Strategies for how to accomplish this remain largely undetermined, but community-generated and -accessible databases are critical for leveraging genomic information to advance malaria elimination efforts.

DEVELOPING THE TOOLKIT

Although whole genome sequencing (WGS) efforts have provided a critical foundation for genomic tool development, WGS data may not be necessary to address some key considerations for malaria eradication. In fact, a major current limitation to the use of genomics for malaria eradication efforts is the limited availability of samples of sufficient quality or amount for WGS that have been collected with important clinical, epidemiological, or biological metadata. Although the cost of genome sequencing has fallen substantially, it can still be technically difficult and costly to sequence clinical samples heavily contaminated with host DNA. Two strategies have been developed to address this challenge: processes that enrich the parasite DNA either at the time of collection or from the already extracted nucleic acid material and use of genotyping tools that do not require removal of host DNA. Approaches, including hybrid selection (Melnikov et al. 2011; Bright et al. 2012) and selective whole genome amplification (Leighty and Brisson 2014), enable efficient sequencing by enriching for parasite over host genetic material. Filtration methods at the time of collection have also been employed to reduce host material, with variable success (Venkatesan et al. 2012). However, polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based approaches that either genotype small collections of SNPs or a limited number of highly polymorphic regions (amplicons) are inexpensive ways of extracting genomic data from samples that are limited in amount or contaminated with large amounts of host DNA.

Several groups have explored the use of so-called SNP “barcodes” to distinguish unique versus clonal parasite lineages and track changes in disease transmission over time (Campino et al. 2011; Daniels et al. 2013, 2008, 2015; Echeverry et al. 2013; Nkhoma et al. 2013). Other groups have used amplification of highly polymorphic regions of the parasite genome to create haplotypes, which is the basis of merozoite surface protein type 1 (MSP) typing strate-
gies used to distinguish parasite reinfection (Tanabe et al. 1998). This latter strategy is particularly useful for polygenomic infections, where more than one parasite genome contributes to human infection. Both approaches (SNP barcodes and MSP typing) allow one to estimate the complexity of infection (COI) level across patient populations, or the mean number of genetically distinct parasite lineages infecting a person, which has been used to distinguish between high and low transmission levels. Statistical tools like COIL (complexity of infection using likelihood) can estimate the COI level of a malaria sample using only knowledge of SNP minor allele frequencies (MAFs) and a sample’s genotype (Galinsky et al. 2015). SNP-based barcodes have also proven useful for distinguishing malaria parasites hailing from different geographic regions (Preston et al. 2014; Baniecki et al. 2015), suggesting they could be helpful in identifying the source of imported infections in pre-elimination settings. Amplicon-based sequencing approaches have also been useful in other intervention contexts, such as characterizing polymorphism in vaccine candidates (Juliano et al. 2010; Bailey et al. 2012; Gandhi et al. 2012, 2014; Aragam et al. 2013; Neafsey et al. 2015; Mideo et al. 2016).

One consideration important for obtaining value from large collections of SNP genotyping data will be some means of ensuring that data and findings are portable between studies conducted by different investigators. Numerous groups have put forth proposed collections of nuclear genetic markers for genotyping, while other groups have pursued whole mitochondrial sequencing to make demographic inferences about populations. Comparing findings between studies that employed nuclear and mitochondrial markers (Sutton 2013; Koepfl et al. 2015) can be challenging because of the different modes of inheritance, genetic effective population sizes, and mutation rates of those genomes. Use of markers that are neutral or potentially under selection (e.g., immune or drug) adds another level of consideration about which markers are most informative and/or appropriate for a given question. Within the nuclear genome, SNPs and microsatellite markers exhibit extremely different modes and rates of mutation, requiring very different analysis strategies for interpretation. Microsatellites themselves exhibit highly variable mutation rates, related to the length of the repeat unit and the number of copies of the repeat, with greater repeat counts generally leading to a higher mutation rate.

Studies that simultaneously explore the signals of multiple marker sets, for example, nuclear SNPs and microsatellite markers, will be necessary to understand the transferability of findings employing a single class of markers. As methods to call microsatellite repeat length variation from NGS data improve, there will be an opportunity to use WGS data from parasites to explore the degree of concordance within and among different classes of markers from the same samples. The development and refinement of new tools to analyze genotype data for malaria applications will help to organize the field around specific sets of markers. Ultimately, the most powerful way to ensure comparability of findings from different genotyping studies will be community adoption of a common set of genotypic markers. Even if there is motivation to include population-specific genotypic markers, for example, because of local questions relating to drug resistance or selection, analysis of a common core of genotype markers will contribute to building a collection of data that can be critically compared across disease elimination settings in a meta-analytical framework. Observing the behavior of a common set of markers in a range of elimination settings with disparate outcomes will be key to furthering our understanding of effective uses of genotyping tools.

DEPLOYMENT OF A GENOMICS TOOLKIT FOR THE ERADICATION AGENDA

Now that we have extensive catalogs of genomic variation data for *P. falciparum*, with efforts underway to produce comparable compendia of genomic variants for *Plasmodium vivax* and other parasite species, how do we apply these resources as effectively as possible to the goal of malaria eradication? Below we outline several ways we believe genomic data can continue to
be a cost-effective and invaluable resource for understanding and controlling malaria and providing critical information about elimination activities. We focus this discussion on ways genomic information can be used to monitor changes in transmission intensity, evaluate the impact of intervention methods, and track the movement of parasites between human populations. Critical for the success of these applications is the collection of samples with epidemiological, clinical, or biological metadata. Here we focus on study design and use of epidemiological and clinical metadata to inform changes in transmission or evaluate the impact of an intervention. However, genomic approaches for biological discovery, as are generally applied to understanding of mechanisms of drug resistance or drug action, are also an important application of genomics that will be considered.

**GENOMICS FOR STUDYING TRANSMISSION**

The use of genomics to monitor changes in transmission intensity and evaluate intervention impact is grounded in basic population genetic principles (Fig. 1). As transmission intensity decreases there is a reduction in outcrossing during the mosquito stages of the lifecycle. This predicts that, over time, COI will decline among human infections, and parasites will become increasingly genetically similar because of inbreeding and recent common ances-
try (Volkman et al. 2012). One can follow these signals over time to monitor increased parasite relatedness, as outward indicators of reduced transmission such as prevalence or incidence of malaria are detected using epidemiological or clinical measures. Such an approach has recently documented changing parasite population dynamics over time in Senegal, with modeling approaches employed to confirm sensitivity for detection of both transmission decrease and rebound patterns of malaria transmission (Daniels et al. 2015). There is tremendous value in samples collected over time, either across sequential transmission seasons, or in longitudinal or cohort design studies. To be useful for observing changes in transmission dynamics over time, longitudinal sample collections only need to be large enough to overcome a binomial sampling error within individual time points, and, often, signals indicating transmission changes can be detected by repeatedly sampling 100 samples or fewer. Thus, use of genomics has the potential to track transmission dynamics over time and monitor changes in these dynamics related to epidemiological and clinical variables.

Important for our understanding of transmission dynamics is determining the relative contributions of cotransmission and superinfection. Cotransmission occurs when multiple parasites enter the human host during a single mosquito-feeding event, whereas superinfection occurs when parasites enter the human host during multiple mosquito-feeding events. Cotransmission is expected to introduce parasites that are genetically more alike, perhaps coming from the same recombination events in the mosquito midgut. In contrast, depending on the genetic variation in the population, superinfection may introduce more highly diverse parasite types into the human host. Genomics, including single-cell genotyping and sequencing (Nkhoma et al. 2012; Nair et al. 2014), as well as population-based approaches, has the opportunity to help resolve distinct parasite types within either the human or mosquito host, and may be used to better estimate the contributions of these two different transmission patterns and their influence under various transmission settings to onward infection.

INTERVENTION IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Related to the concept of monitoring parasite population structure is the use of genomics to evaluate the impact of interventions (Fig. 2). Two main strategies are employed, using either drugs or vaccines, to reduce the clinical burden of malaria. Genomics has the potential to assess how such interventions are working, and provide an early warning system to potential failure of these strategies as resistant parasite populations emerge through natural selection. For example, application of drug pressure selects for drug-resistant variants that increasingly contribute to the overall parasite population infecting humans. At a certain point, these drug-resistant variants are sufficiently prevalent in the population that drug responses are compromised and these agents become limited or ineffective clinically. Genomics has the power to not only to discover drug-resistant variants by detecting genomic variants that increase in frequency over time, but also to monitor the consequences of drug pressure, such as under mass drug administration (MDA) projects that are being rolled out as part of the malaria eradication efforts. Application of MDA can boost frequency of drug-resistant parasites in the population by simultaneously compressing the parasite population while selecting for drug-resistant variants, and potentially compromise drug efficacy for future MDA projects.

To circumvent the emergence of drug resistance, specific genomic loci can be identified (e.g., dhodh [Ross et al. 2014] and pfcrt [Lukens et al. 2014]) that exist in two alternate allelic states (Fig. 3). In one allelic state, the locus is susceptible to the first drug while resistant to a second drug. In the alternate allelic state, the locus is resistant to the first drug, but susceptible to the second drug. Thus, one compound selects for genetic variants that are susceptible to the second compound, and vice versa. Such approaches provide promise for use of novel drug combinations where one drug selects for para-
sites that are then susceptible to a second drug. The combined use of such paired compounds could offset emergence of resistance altogether, or, used sequentially, one drug could drive the emergence of parasite populations susceptible to the second drug, and vice versa. Thus, alternating drug pressure using a paired set of compounds could maintain the effectiveness of the compounds over time. Genomics can be used to identify genetic loci that have these alternate allelic states and facilitate identification of paired drugs that could prevent emergence of drug-resistant parasites. Surveillance of these critical allelic states can then be used to identify critical inflection points where drug strategies need to shift to remain effective.

Several malaria vaccine candidates have been associated with allele-specific protective responses (Takala et al. 2007, 2009; Takala and Plowe 2009; Thera et al. 2011; Neafsey et al. 2015). Allele-specific protective efficacy may compromise the overall efficacy of a vaccine, but can be characterized with PCR amplicon sequencing data. We recently demonstrated that the RTS,S/AS01 vaccine, which targets a highly polymorphic region of the circumsporozoite (CS) protein, exhibits significantly higher vaccine efficacy against infections matching the 3D7 vaccine strain compared with infections that do not match the vaccine strain (Neafsey et al. 2015) Thus, genomic information provides insight into vaccine effectiveness, and can perhaps contribute to improvements in vaccine efficacy for highly polymorphic vaccine targets, as well as helping predict the impact of a vaccine on a given target population.

Figure 2. Intervention effect on parasite population. This schematic represents the potential impact of different interventions (e.g., bednets, vaccines, or drugs) on allele frequencies in the parasite population. The different colored circles represent a specific locus of interest such as an allele or haplotype that may be subject to selection by a vaccine (green circle) or drug (red circle). As a vaccine (e.g., a monovalent protein subunit vaccine like RTS,S) is applied, there may be reduction in specific parasite types that harbor the target locus (e.g., the circumsporozoite [CS] locus that matches the type found in the vaccine), thus reducing parasite types with that specific locus from the parasite population. As a drug is applied, there may be selection for a specific drug resistance locus resulting in an increase in the frequency of parasite types that harbor that specific drug-resistant variant. For comparison, use of a bednet might reduce the overall parasite types (represented by fewer circles that are proportionally reduced), but may not specifically select for or against any particular locus or allele within parasites in that population.
Genomics also has the potential to track transmission patterns, including detecting the movement of parasites and identifying new sources of infection. Genotyping approaches such as barcode methods or amplicon-sequencing approaches can identify specific parasite types, much like creating a “fingerprint” that identifies each kind of parasite within an infection. Such methods provide an opportunity to track specific parasite types in space and time. Human migration patterns, identified either from demographic or cell phone data, can be used to predict sources and sinks of malaria parasite migration. If such patterns are present, targeting interventions to the source site could consequently reduce infections in the sink site. Genomic tools can test this “sink-source” hypothesis, by fingerprinting parasites and following them in space and time.

As we are better able to detect and track parasites, we still need to develop more sensitive methods for parasite identification, especially as we move toward malaria elimination and parasite density within human infections decreases. Specifically, sensitive molecular genetic approaches can identify parasite reservoirs, possibly among large numbers of asymptomatic individuals, and define whether these contribu-

**Figure 3.** Anticorrelated drug resistance—an evolutionary loop. This schematic represents a potential evolutionary loop whereby two different drugs (A in red and B in blue) act on the same locus with each drug selecting a different variant or haplotype than the alternate drug. For example, as drug A (red) is applied to the parasite population, the haplotype conferring resistance to A will increase in frequency in the population. If the alternate drug (B, blue) is applied to this population, the alternate haplotype conferring resistance to B will increase in the population. This creates a population with increased resistance to B, but increased susceptibility to the alternate drug A. Application of drug A will now reverse the dynamics of the haplotype frequency such that the variant that confers resistance to A will again increase in frequency in the population. This alternating use of paired drugs with anticorrelated resistance will thus create an evolutionary loop.
ute to incident infection. One strategy is using case investigation or reactive case detection, where cases identified through passive case detection are then followed to determine whether other individuals in the household or neighborhood are also infected. Genomic tools have also been used for outbreak investigation (Obaldia et al. 2015) and may assist in identifying parasite types under ‘prevention of reintroduction’ conditions in elimination settings. Genomic strategies not only provide a sensitive means of identifying who is infected, but also provide evidence for the spatial and temporal relationships among infected individuals. Such strategies can identify individuals who may not be treated as they are asymptomatic, yet may be a local source of new infection. Mapping of infected individuals may also identify pockets of infection that can then be investigated for mosquito breeding sites or other factors that contribute to maintaining these reservoirs of infection. For example, genomics can be coupled with strategies such as serology mapping in elimination phases to identify recent exposure history with serology, and then use molecular genetic approaches to determine who harbors infections and whether these contribute to new infections to map transmission networks and develop malaria risk maps.

Other useful information and strategies that might be obtained using genetic approaches include detection of gametocyte reservoirs, evaluating whether asymptomatic individuals harbor parasites that are infectious (i.e., determining the risk of seeding new infections), and understanding the intrahost dynamics between strains. As we move toward regional elimination, it is also critical to assess whether new cases are a result of rebound of autochthonous malaria, or whether it is a result of importation. In the context of “prevention of new infections” it will be important to be able to distinguish between local or external sources of these new infections to better define operational responses to distinct parasite sources. Some of the challenges we are likely to face as we move to elimination are the reduction of parasite types and increases in parasite relatedness. Thus, use of markers that differentiate between local and external populations will become increasingly challenging. Likely we will require use of a combination of markers including those that are rapidly evolving, such as microsatellites, and possibly the use of expression profiling such as can be obtained through RNAseq or Nanostring approaches.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES FOR APPLICATION OF GENOMIC DATA

With a growing catalog of parasite population genomic information, we now need to develop strategies to apply these genomic data and approaches to promote malaria elimination. Although genomic sequencing provides a great deal of information about genetic variation within and between populations, key to application of these data to malaria eradication will involve population genetics strategies. Some of these approaches will involve use of changing parasite population genetics to monitor transmission dynamics and with modeling to inform best strategies and combinations of approaches to reduce the malaria burden. As drugs, vaccines, or other intervention measures impose selective pressures on the genomes of parasites and vectors, we can expect selected variants to measurably change in frequency within a few years, owing to the relatively short generation times. This method of detecting the targets of natural selection could identify the genomic basis of drug or insecticide resistance, as well as identify compensatory mutations that do not directly contribute to resistance but restore organismal fitness in the presence of resistance mutations.

To estimate changes in allele frequencies within a population over time, Figure 4 depicts the results of a binomial sampling-based simulation of changes in derived allele frequency (DAF) in a parasite population over time for neutral ($s = 0$; gray) and selected ($s = 0.01$; red) alleles, starting from a common DAF of 5% (assumes effective populations size ($N_e$) is constant and equal to 1000). Assuming that there are approximately 10 parasite generations per year, these simulations approximate the observable allele frequency changes in a clinical
sample set collected over the span of a decade, the interval of time during which artemisinin resistance became widespread in Southeast Asia (Dondorp et al. 2009). Both neutral and selected alleles oscillate in frequency because of random genetic drift, but a significant fraction of selected alleles increase markedly in frequency over this relatively short time interval, with a conservatively low fitness advantage (1%). This suggests that careful analysis of longitudinally collected genomic datasets, taking into account factors such as population structure and demography, could be highly powered to detect and monitor the evolutionary impact of disease intervention efforts in both parasites and vectors. To produce such datasets in a practical and cost-effective manner, technical innovations will be necessary to ensure that clinical samples can be efficiently sequenced from an easily collectable source material (e.g., dried blood spots on filter paper), using such methods as hybrid selection, host DNA depletion, and/or selective whole genome amplification.

Whether future malaria genomic datasets are produced from longitudinal studies or focused on individual transmission seasons, these data must be analyzed and made public as quickly as possible to be truly useful. It will be of little practical value to understand the nature of a pre-elimination outbreak from a genomic perspective 2 years after the fact; as a community we need tools to render actionable information from applied sequencing studies very quickly. Modeling genomic data in a manner that is integrated with epidemiological, clinical, serological, and other data types will be essential to distill the most informative signals about when and how to act in the context of elimination activities. In the future, easy-to-use tools like simple cell phone applications that display converted genomic signals into decision-making outputs for program officers in National Malaria Control Programs within the Ministry of Health would be ideal.

Malaria genomics is ready to move from a descriptive field to a practical tool informing elimination efforts, but, to successfully undergo that transition, the field will need to produce data and analyses closely tied to epidemiological and public health needs. Furthermore, the field will need new metrics and accepted modes of recognizing important contributions. A manuscript published in a prestigious journal years after samples have been collected and analyzed is of value to the investigators for future grant applications, but release of a dataset accompanied by a more cursory but actionable analysis in a forum like BioRxiv (bioRxiv.org)

Figure 4. Expected changes in derived allele frequency. A simulation of expected changes in derived allele frequency (DAF) over time in a population with 1000 individuals for alleles that are selectively neutral (gray lines) or that confer a 1% fitness advantage (red lines). Time is measured in generations.
may be more useful for rapid dissemination of new molecular drug-resistance markers. Community engagement about how to create, support, and recognize contributions required for this timely generation, sharing, and interpretation of genomic data to inform operational activities is paramount.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Recent developments in the field of nanopore sequencing point to new directions that malaria genomics may follow in the era of eradication. The prospect of inexpensive, disposable sequencing hardware, paired with largely automated analysis for common applications, will be powerful forces in the decentralization of genomic data generation. Although there are many reasons to celebrate increased access to the means of genomic data generation by investigators in malaria-endemic countries, that access will be of low value unless it is accompanied by training in the analysis, interpretation, and use of such data for operational activities. Thus, genomic data must become a local, interpretable, and actionable resource for the malaria community. The malaria research community needs to work hard to ensure that scientists from malaria-endemic countries are empowered to generate and analyze their own data, as the era of exporting both samples and intellectual ownership for the execution of malaria genomics studies to nonendemic countries is rapidly drawing to a close.

To avoid unnecessary and counterproductive duplication of efforts in an era of decentralized data production, the field should identify “best practice” methodologies for the generation and analysis of data, and repositories that can efficiently absorb data produced by a large number of contributors, as well as develop guidelines for use of these data. The malaria genomics field is fortunate to already have several central data repositories, including PlasmoDB (http://www.plasmodb.org) and the Pf3K project (www.malariagen.net/projects/parasite/pf3k) for parasite data, as well as VectorBase (vectorbase.org) and the Ag1000g project (www.malariagen.net/projects/vector/ag1000g) for vector data. It will be incumbent on the malaria research community, however, to ensure that the resources and tools provided by these repositories truly serve the goals of the malaria research community in the era of eradication. Care and attention to study design and sampling with connected metadata, including clinical, epidemiological, and biological information, will only enrich our ability to apply genomic strategies to increase our chances of reducing the malaria disease burden toward eradication.
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Organisms with identical genome sequences can show substantial differences in their phenotypes owing to epigenetic changes that result in different use of their genes. Epigenetic regulation of gene expression plays a key role in the control of several fundamental processes in the biology of malaria parasites, including antigenic variation and sexual differentiation. Some of the histone modifications and chromatin-modifying enzymes that control the epigenetic states of malaria genes have been characterized, and their functions are beginning to be unraveled. The fundamental principles of epigenetic regulation of gene expression appear to be conserved between malaria parasites and model eukaryotes, but important peculiarities exist. Here, we review the current knowledge of malaria epigenetics and discuss how it can be exploited for the development of new molecular markers and new types of drugs that may contribute to malaria eradication efforts.

Epigenetic regulation of gene expression refers to heritable changes in transcription that occur in the absence of alterations in the primary sequence of DNA. Numerous important biological pathways in eukaryotes involve epigenetic regulation, both in health and during disease. Chromatin is the main platform where epigenetic processes take place, such that epigenetic traits are typically mediated by DNA modifications (such as methylation) or by changes in chromatin structure such as histone posttranslational modifications or use of histone variants. In fact, there is an accepted “relaxed” use of the term epigenetics that includes all chromatin-based processes that affect transcription, regardless of whether or not information is transmitted through cell division.

In malaria parasites, epigenetic regulation of gene expression has been extensively studied only in Plasmodium falciparum. For many years, studying epigenetics in this parasite was almost synonymous to studying the regulation of var genes, which are important for antigenic variation and virulence (Kyes et al. 2001). However, recent findings have revealed a more general role for epigenetics in malaria parasite biology, including processes as diverse as erythrocyte invasion, solute transport, or formation of sexual forms necessary for human-to-mosquito transmission. The contribution of epigenetic regula-
tion of gene expression to these processes stems from the clonally variant expression of some of the genes involved. Silencing of clonally variant genes, which is a process truly controlled at the epigenetic level (Cortés et al. 2012), generally depends on histone modifications that result in reversible formation of repressive chromatin structures (heterochromatin), but several additional layers of regulation operate specifically on particular gene families such as var genes.

Genome-wide studies of heterochromatin marks or transcriptional variation identified many genes regulated at the epigenetic level that are not involved in the processes mentioned above, indicating that epigenetic regulation of gene expression plays a role in the control of other as-yet-uncharacterized biological processes (Flueck et al. 2009; Lopez-Rubio et al. 2009; Rovira-Graells et al. 2012). In the years to come, the biological significance of epigenetic regulation of these genes should be revealed. In all cases, epigenetic changes are likely to imply translating the same genome into alternative transcriptomes and phenotypes, increasing the plasticity of parasite populations and favoring their survival.

**PROCESSES REGULATED AT THE EPIGENETIC LEVEL IN MALARIA PARASITES**

Numerous *P. falciparum* genes involved in host–parasite interactions show clonally variant expression, such that they are expressed in some individual parasites, but not in others that are genetically identical (Table 1). The active or silenced states of these genes are clonally transmitted during asexual replication, with switches between the two states occurring at low frequencies. The predicted functions for clonally variant genes suggest that transcriptional variation results in both antigenic and functional differences at several stages along the parasite’s life cycle (Fig. 1), but the phenotypic alterations associated with changes in the expression of specific clonally variant genes have been elucidated in only a few cases. In this section, we describe the known processes in which epigenetic changes determine alternative phenotypes. A common theme is that, for processes in which the parasite requires alternative operational states to adapt to changes in its environment or to evade host immune responses, alternative epigenetic states exist for some of the genes linked to the process.

**Antigenic Variation and Cytoadherence**

Observations of both human and animal infections clearly show that malaria parasites are capable of maintaining persistent, chronic infections for extended periods of time, even in the presence of a robust antibody response of the infected host. In experimental human infections, parasites have been observed to persist for over a year, frequently displaying characteristic waves of parasites reminiscent of similar population dynamics displayed by other infectious organisms including African trypanosomes (Miller et al. 1994). Thus, it is clear that malaria parasites are capable of showing systematic antigenic variation that results in immune evasion, presumably through alterations made to proteins displayed on the surface of infected erythrocytes. In the case of *P. falciparum*, the formation of knobs on the infected erythrocyte membrane as well as the induction of cytoadherent properties of infected cells provided additional evidence for the placement of antigenically variant molecules on the infected cell surface (Miller et al. 2002). The variant surface properties of the infected erythrocytes were linked to epigenetic regulation of gene expression with the discovery and description in *P. falciparum* of the var multicopy gene family and the multitude of alternative forms of the *P. falciparum* erythrocyte membrane protein 1 (PfEMP1) that it encodes (Baruch et al. 1995; Smith et al. 1995; Su et al. 1995).

Individual parasites typically express only one var gene at a time, a pattern known as mutually exclusive expression that operates on top of a clonal variation and results in a single form of PfEMP1 on the erythrocyte surface. All variants of PfEMP1 include a single transmembrane domain that passes through the erythrocyte membrane, thus anchoring a long, hypervariable portion of the protein that binds to endothelial surface receptors and thereby
Table 1. Examples of genes or gene families that display clonally variant expression linked to the histone modification H3K9me3 (when transcriptionally silent) in *Plasmodium falciparum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene ID (previous ID)</th>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Proposed function</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PF3D7_1222600 (PFL1085w)</td>
<td>PfAP2-G</td>
<td>Transcription factor implicated in sexual differentiation</td>
<td>Protein contains an AP2 DNA-binding domain</td>
<td>Kafsack et al. 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF3D7_1301600 (MAL13P1.60)</td>
<td>EBA140</td>
<td>Merozoite protein involved in erythrocyte invasion, binds to glycoporphin C</td>
<td>Enables parasites to use alternative invasion pathways</td>
<td>Maier et al. 2003; Cortés et al. 2007; Crowley et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF3D7_0424200 (PFD1150c)</td>
<td>PfRH4</td>
<td>Merozoite protein involved in erythrocyte invasion, binds to complement receptor 1 (CR1)</td>
<td>Enables parasites to use alternative invasion pathways</td>
<td>Stubbs et al. 2005; Jiang et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*var* gene family (approximately 60 members) | PfEMP1 | Cytoadhesive protein displayed on the surface of the infected erythrocyte | Displays mutually exclusive expression, changes in expression enable antigenic variation | Chookajorn et al. 2007; Lopez-Rubio et al. 2007; Jiang et al. 2013 |

*rif* gene family (approximately 160 members) | RIFIN | Displayed on the surface of the infected erythrocyte, some members of the family have been linked to rosetting | Most members of the family silent at any given time, expression has also been reported in merozoites | Kyes et al. 1999; Fernandez et al. 1999; Mwakalinga et al. 2012; Goel et al. 2015 |

*stevor* gene family (approximately 31 members) | STEVOR | Displayed on the surface of the infected erythrocyte; contribute to infected erythrocyte mechanical properties | Most members of the family silent at any given time, expression has also been reported in merozoites and gametocytes | Lavazec et al. 2007; Sanyal et al. 2012; Niang et al. 2014 |

*Pfmc-2tm* gene family (approximately 12 members) | PFMC-2TM | Displayed on the surface of the infected erythrocyte | Most members of the family silent at any given time | Sam-Yellowe et al. 2004; Lavazec et al. 2007 |

*surf* gene family (approximately 10 members) | SURFIN | Unknown function | Several localizations reported including infected erythrocyte surface and merozoites | Winter et al. 2005; Mphande et al. 2008 |

*clag* gene family (five members) | CLAG | At least some members are implicated in the formation of the PSAC channel found in the infected erythrocyte membrane | *clag3.1* and *clag3.2* are expressed in a mutually exclusive fashion, whereas *clag2* shows independent clonally variant expression | Cortés et al. 2007; Comeaux et al. 2011; Crowley et al. 2011; Nguitragool et al. 2011 |

Continued
Table 1. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene ID (previous ID)</th>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Proposed function</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>phist</em> gene families (approximately 79 members)</td>
<td>PHIST-domain proteins</td>
<td>Cytoadherence/erythrocyte remodeling</td>
<td>Various families of exported proteins; at least some PHIST-domain proteins interact with PfEMP1 at knobs</td>
<td>Sargeant et al. 2006; Proellocks et al. 2014; Oberli et al. 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>exported dnal III</em> gene family (approximately nine members)</td>
<td>DnaJ III/HSP40</td>
<td>Erythrocyte remodeling</td>
<td>Exported proteins with a J-domain; HSP40-type chaperones</td>
<td>Sargeant et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>hyp</em> gene families (approximately 55 members)</td>
<td>HYP</td>
<td>Unknown function</td>
<td>Various families of exported proteins</td>
<td>Sargeant et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>fikk</em> gene family (approximately 23 members)</td>
<td>FIKK</td>
<td>Erythrocyte remodeling</td>
<td>Exported kinases</td>
<td>Sargeant et al. 2006; Nunes et al. 2007; Kats et al. 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>gbp</em> gene family (three members)</td>
<td>Glycophorin binding protein (GBP)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Exported proteins</td>
<td>Sargeant et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>acs</em> gene family (approximately 13 members)</td>
<td>Acyl-CoA synthetase (ACS)</td>
<td>Lipid metabolism (synthesis and/or transport)</td>
<td>Gene family specifically amplified in <em>P. falciparum</em></td>
<td>Bethke et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>acbp</em> gene family (four members)</td>
<td>Acyl-coA binding protein (ACBP)</td>
<td>Lipid metabolism (synthesis and/or transport)</td>
<td>Not characterized</td>
<td>Bethke et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inclusion of a gene or gene family in this table is based on the direct observation of clonally variant expression (Rovira-Graells et al. 2012) and the presence of epigenetic marks of silencing (Flueck et al. 2009; Lopez-Rubio et al. 2009) in genome-wide studies, or in the specific references provided. Both current 3D7 ID numbers and previous ID numbers are provided, along with a brief, general description of the predicted function. The estimates of the number of genes in each family do not include pseudogenes.
mediates cytoadhesion. Cytoadhesion of infected erythrocytes effectively removes them from the peripheral circulation, a phenomenon referred to as sequestration, thereby enabling them to avoid passage through the spleen where they would be detected and destroyed. The different forms of PfEMP1 display different binding specificities, utilizing alternative host endothelial surface ligands for adhesion. This results in parasitized erythrocytes sequestering within different host tissues depending on which var gene (and the encoded form of PfEMP1) is expressed (Montgomery et al. 2007). Sequestration within the brain and the placenta has been directly linked to cerebral malaria and pregnancy-associated malaria, respectively, thus making PfEMP1 the best-characterized virulence factor of malaria caused by *P. falciparum*. PfEMP1 also mediates rosetting, the binding of infected to noninfected erythrocytes that is also associated with virulence (Miller et al. 2002).

With the availability of complete genome sequences for several *Plasmodium* species infecting both primates and rodents, it has become possible to catalogue the many multicopy gene families found within these genomes. Gene families encoding variant surface proteins have been discovered in multiple *Plasmodium* species, suggesting that this is a universal characteristic of malaria parasites. Although some of these gene families are conserved throughout the *Plasmodium* lineage, others appear to be species-specific. In addition to the var gene family, which includes approximately 60 members per haploid genome, *P. falciparum* also possesses several other large, multicopy gene families like

---

**Figure 1.** Clonally variant gene expression during the blood stages of the *Plasmodium falciparum* life cycle. Asexual replication occurs within human erythrocytes and progresses through different morphological stages, including rings, trophozoites, schizonts, and merozoites (cycle at top of figure). In trophozoites, several clonally variant proteins involved in erythrocyte modification, antigenic variation, and cell permeability are expressed. Similarly, in schizonts/merozoites, clonally variant proteins that determine alternative erythrocyte invasion pathways are expressed. In a small proportion of cells, the sexual differentiation process is initiated through the activation of PfAP2-G, leading to the production of male and female gametocytes (bottom).
ly involved in antigenic variation. These include the rif/stevor/Pfmc-2tm (approximately 200 copies) and the surfins (approximately 10 copies) (Weber 1988; Kyes et al. 1999; Sam-Yellowe et al. 2004; Winter et al. 2005). The largest multicopy gene family in P. vivax is referred to as vir (approximately 350 copies) (del Portillo et al. 2001), which appears to have orthologous gene families in the primate parasite P. knowlesi (kir) and in the rodent parasites P. berghei (bir), P. yoelii (yir), and P. chabaudi (cir) (Fischer et al. 2003; Janssen et al. 2004) and is also likely a distant ortholog of the rif genes of P. falciparum (Janssen et al. 2004). Plasmodium knowlesi also possesses the sica-var family (approximately 100 copies), which encodes a protein linked to cytoadherence similar to PfEMP1 (al-Khedery et al. 1999). In all cases, expression is limited to one or a limited subset of the members of each gene family at any given time. Activation and silencing of the genes of these families, as well as switching expression between members, are all thought to be regulated epigenetically.

Erythrocyte Invasion

Invasion of erythrocytes is an essential step of the asexual cycle of malaria parasites. After schizonts burst, merozoites are released into the bloodstream and quickly invade new erythrocytes to start a new cycle of replication. Erythrocyte invasion involves several differentiated steps, starting with initial contact, followed by merozoite reorientation and apical attachment that lead to the formation of a moving junction that progresses until the merozoite is internalized (Wright and Rayner 2014; Weiss et al. 2015). The small eva and Pfrh gene families, each including four to five members, encode adhesins that are released from apical organelles and interact with erythrocyte receptors to mediate merozoite reorientation/apical attachment. Of note, merozoites can use alternative pathways for this step of invasion, each involving a different set of erythrocyte receptors. With the exception of Pfrh5, the genes of the eva and Pfrh families are nonessential and appear to be functionally redundant (Reed et al. 2000; Duraisingh et al. 2003; Maier et al. 2003; Stubbs et al. 2005; Wright and Rayner 2014). As expected from the existence of alternative invasion pathways and the nonessentiality of these genes, several eva and Pfrh genes are spontaneously silenced in some parasite lines, and clonally variant expression mediated by epigenetic mechanisms has been directly shown in some cases (Taylor et al. 2002; Duraisingh et al. 2003; Cortés et al. 2007; Jiang et al. 2010; Crowley et al. 2011). Importantly, variant expression of invasion adhesins has also been observed in field isolates (Cortés 2008; Gomez-Escobar et al. 2010). Although the relation between eva and Pfrh expression patterns and the use of specific invasion pathways is complex and not completely understood, it is generally accepted that changes in the expression of these genes lead to phenotypic variation in the process of invasion (Duraisingh et al. 2003; Cortés 2008; Wright and Rayner 2014).

Understanding what selective advantage the clonally variant expression of invasion adhesins confers to parasites is an unresolved question. Although flexibility in front of genetic variability in host erythrocytes is an appealing possibility, mutations in the majority of erythrocyte receptors that P. falciparum uses for invasion are rare, especially in Africa. Immune evasion provides an alternative explanation for the potential advantage that variant expression of invasion ligands may confer to the parasites. The number of genes in the eva or Pfrh families is small for “classic” antigenic variation, but variant expression may act synergistically with genetic polymorphism among different isolates to evade acquired immune responses (Cortés 2008; Wright and Rayner 2014).

The proteins encoded by some members of the variantly expressed large multigene families rif, stevor, and surfin discussed above also appear to be expressed in merozoites (Chan et al. 2014), although their function in the merozoite remains unknown and may be unrelated to invasion. Another gene encoding a protein expressed in merozoites that shows clonally variant expression is mspdbl2, a member of the small msp3-like family. MSPDBL2 is located at the merozoite surface, where it may play a role in the initial steps of erythrocyte invasion. This
intriguing gene, which carries the epigenetic marks of silencing associated with clonally variant gene expression (Flueck et al. 2009; Lopez-Rubio et al. 2009), appears to be silenced in the vast majority of parasites and activated in only small subpopulations (~1% of parasites in most lines) (Amambua-Ngwa et al. 2012). However, the phenotypic differences resulting from the alternative transcriptional states of mspdb1 remain unknown.

**Infected Erythrocyte Permeability**

The transport of many solutes across the infected erythrocyte membranes is mediated by the plasmodial surface anion channel (PSAC), a broad selectivity channel with several unusual transport properties (Desai 2014). Recent research has established that the product of the parasite genes clag3.1 or clag3.2 is required for the formation of functional PSAC and efficient nutrient acquisition (Nguitragool et al. 2011; Pillai et al. 2012). This was an unexpected finding because these genes were previously thought to participate in either cytoadherence or erythrocyte invasion (Gupta et al. 2015).

The link between solute uptake and epigenetics comes from studies demonstrating that clag3 genes show clonally variant expression regulated at the epigenetic level (Cortés et al. 2007; Comeaux et al. 2011; Crowley et al. 2011). Interestingly, clag3 genes generally show mutually exclusive expression, such that individual parasites express either clag3.1 or clag3.2 (Cortés et al. 2007). However, it appears to not be strict, with occasional parasites escaping mutual exclusion (Rovira-Graells et al. 2015). Although the driving force for the epigenetic regulation of the expression of clag3 genes remains unclear, it is reasonable to hypothesize that expression of alternative clag3 genes may result in different solute transport phenotypes, which may play a role in the adaptation of the parasite to varying concentrations of nutrients and other solutes in the host plasma. This might explain why mutually exclusive expression need not be strict because silencing or expressing both genes simultaneously could provide additional phenotypic plasticity to parasite populations. In support of this view, challenging P. falciparum cultures with the toxic compound blasticidin S, which requires the PSAC for transport across the infected erythrocyte membrane, results in the selection of parasites with dramatic switches in clag3 expression (Mira-Martínez et al. 2013; Sharma et al. 2013). This is indicative of a role for clag3 epigenetic regulation in the trade-off between excluding toxic compounds from infected erythrocytes and allowing the entrance of nutrients necessary for normal growth. These results also show that switches in clag3 expression constitute a novel antimalarial drug resistance mechanism controlled at the epigenetic level. Finally, CLAG3 proteins are exposed on the erythrocyte surface (Nguitragool et al. 2011), so in addition to influencing solute transport, switches in the expression of clag3 genes may also play a role in antigenic variation and immune evasion.

**Sexual Conversion**

The complex life cycle of malaria parasites includes multiple well-differentiated stages through which the parasite progresses in an ordered manner. The single developmental decision for the parasite occurs during asexual growth in the bloodstream: at each cycle of replication, the parasite makes a choice between continuing asexual multiplication, as the majority of parasites do, and irreversibly converting into male or female gametocytes, which are the sexual forms of the parasite necessary for transmission to another host via a mosquito vector (Fig. 1).

Genome-wide studies on transcriptional variation and epigenomic studies identified a member of the ApiAP2 family of transcriptional regulators that shows clonally variant expression (Rovira-Graells et al. 2012) and carries epigenetic marks of silencing (Flueck et al. 2009; Lopez-Rubio et al. 2009). The characterization of this transcriptional regulator, termed PfAP2-G, revealed that it plays an essential role in triggering sexual differentiation, such that the gene is by default silenced by heterochromatin-based epigenetic mechanisms, and only the few parasites in which the gene is stochastically activated
convert into gametocytes (Kafsack et al. 2014). These results raised the idea that sexual conversion is regulated at the epigenetic level, a view that was later corroborated by studies in which specific epigenetic factors were depleted (Branucci et al. 2014; Coleman et al. 2014). The ortholog of pfap2-g in the distantly related murine malaria parasite P. berghei, pbap2-g, also plays a key role in gametocyte formation (Sinha et al. 2014). This observation suggests that ap2-g is a conserved regulator of sexual conversion in malaria parasites; whether or not epigenetic control of the process is a conserved feature in all Plasmodium species awaits experimental confirmation.

The proportion of parasites that convert into sexual forms varies among isogenic parasite subclones (Kafsack et al. 2014). Spontaneous sexual conversion rates in these subclones are stable, indicating that as-yet-uncharacterized epigenetic mechanisms transmit the probability of pfap2-g activation and subsequent sexual conversion. Additionally, sexual conversion rates are affected by some environmental conditions (Bousema and Drakeley 2011), which opens up exciting perspectives about the possibility that environmental cues influence pfap2-g epigenetic states. This would provide one of the first examples in malaria of the parasite sensing the state of its host and producing a directed transcriptional response.

**Epigenetic Variation and Adaptation to Environmental Changes: Bet-Hedging**

Clonally variant expression is an intrinsic property of many *P. falciparum* gene families involved in disparate cellular processes (Rovira-Graells et al. 2012). This implies that isogenic parasite populations spontaneously become transcriptionally heterogeneous during normal growth, such that different individual parasites have different combinations of active and epigenetically silenced genes. This diversity confers plasticity to parasite populations because it provides the grounds for dynamic natural selection of parasites with highest fitness as changes in the environment occur. Adaptive strategies based on the stochastic generation of phenotypic diversity within populations before any challenge occurs, as opposed to directed adaptive responses, are commonly referred to as bet-hedging (Veening et al. 2008; Simons 2011).

The high level of spontaneous transcriptional diversity observed within isogenic parasite populations and the apparently stochastic nature of expression switches in clonally variant genes support the view that malaria parasites commonly use bet-hedging adaptive strategies (Rovira-Graells et al. 2012). This idea is also supported by the proposed limited ability of *P. falciparum* to adapt via directed transcriptional responses (Ganesan et al. 2008; Le Roch et al. 2008), although this remains controversial (Deitsch et al. 2007). In addition to the processes described above, in which epigenetic changes can be ascribed to alterations in specific phenotypes, the predicted functions of some of the clonally variant genes identified (Table 1) make it tempting to speculate that they modulate fitness in front of the majority of conditions that commonly fluctuate in the human blood, which is the environment where *P. falciparum* asexual stages reside. However, altogether there are few examples of well-defined adaptive pathways to specific challenges via bet-hedging in malaria, so it is still unclear whether epigenetic variation and bet-hedging play a general role in the adaptation of malaria parasite populations to changes in their environment. More experimental insight into the association between epigenetic diversity and long-term fitness in natural environments, and the identification of the clonally variant genes that mediate adaptation to specific changes in the parasite environment, are clearly needed to determine the actual contribution of bet-hedging to malarial adaptation.

**EPIGENETIC MECHANISMS IN Plasmodium falciparum**

Given the important role that epigenetic regulation of gene expression plays in the biology of malaria parasites, considerable work has focused on determining the molecular mechanisms that underlie these processes. The lion’s share of this work has been performed with *P. falciparum*, although additional work has
also been performed in the rodent models of malaria. In this section, we summarize recent studies that shed light on the molecular basis for epigenetic regulation in *P. falciparum*.

Chromatin Structure across the Life Cycle

As mentioned briefly above, epigenetic regulation of gene expression involves heritable modifications to the way the genome is packaged, which influence gene activation and silencing. Many of these modifications occur on histones, the protein subunits that comprise the nucleosomes around which the DNA strands of the chromosomes are wrapped. Modifications that increase the affinity of the histones to the DNA, thereby resulting in a more condensed chromatin structure that is less accessible to transcription complexes (called heterochromatin), lead to silencing of gene expression, whereas those that result in more open chromatin (called euchromatin) are associated with active regions of the genome. Typical nucleosome modifications include the incorporation of histone variants or posttranslational modifications (most often acetylation or methylation) to the amino-terminal “tails” of histones H3 and H4. Many of the enzymes that catalyze the addition or removal of these modifications have been identified encoded in the *P. falciparum* genome and some have been experimentally characterized (Table 2). Once formed, heterochromatin and euchromatin are separated within the nucleus, with heterochromatin generally found segregated to the nuclear periphery. In *P. falciparum*, as in other eukaryotes, many regions of the genome are found in either a euchromatic or a constitutively heterochromatic state that is the same in all cells, indicating that the chromatin state in such regions is likely dictated by the underlying primary DNA sequence. Although constitutive heterochromatin is, in general, transcriptionally silent, some long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are expressed from subtelomeric repeats heterochromatin (Sierra-Miranda et al. 2012; Bunnik et al. 2014). However, other regions of the genome can be found in alternative chromatin states in different individual cells (Fig. 2). In such regions, known as facultative heterochromatin or bistable chromatin, once one or the other state is established, the resulting gene expression patterns are heritable through multiple cell generations, a phenomenon frequently referred to as epigenetic memory. However, these states are reversible, thus a gene that is silenced for many generations can once again revert to the active state, and vice versa. Herein lies the mechanistic basis for clonally variant expression and the biological phenomena described above.

Progression through the different stages of the asexual cycle of *P. falciparum* requires a tightly regulated cascade of gene expression in which most of the 5300 genes display a narrowly defined timing of activation (Bozdech et al. 2003; Le Roch et al. 2003). The general chromatin structure of the genome as a whole similarly displays cyclical, dynamic changes. The density of nucleosomes along the length of the chromosomes, called nucleosome occupancy, is somewhat depleted as cells enter S phase in the trophozoite stage, then becomes maximal in schizonts (Bunnik et al. 2014). Nucleosomes also appear to be clearly positioned around transcriptional landmark sites, including transcription start sites, regulatory elements, and splice donor and acceptor sites (Kensche et al. 2016). Euchromatic upstream regulatory regions of most genes are typically associated with the presence of the histone variants H2A.Z and H2B.Z, acetylation of histone H3 on the lysine in the ninth position (H3K9ac) and methylation of the lysine in the fourth position (H3K4me3). The presence of both of these histone modifications shows dynamic changes over the course of the asexual cycle, such that H3K9ac levels directly correlate with temporal patterns of gene expression, whereas H3K4me3 increases throughout the genome from low levels in rings to highly enriched levels late in the cycle (Lopez-Rubio et al. 2009; Salcedo-Amaya et al. 2009; Bartfai et al. 2010; Hoeijmakers et al. 2013). Other histone modifications similarly correlate with transcript levels, and their incorporation into the chromatin at specific regions of the genome appears to depend on the underlying DNA sequence (Gupta et al. 2013). All of these dynamic chromatin changes that occur over the course of the asexual cycle.
Table 2. List of putative epigenetic factors involved in controlling chromatin structure and epigenetic regulation in *P. falciparum*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Gene ID (previous ID)</th>
<th>Proposed function</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histone methyltransferases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfSET1</td>
<td>PF3D7_0629700 (PFF1440w)</td>
<td>Involved in the deposition of the epigenetic mark H3K4me3</td>
<td>Cui et al. 2008a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfSET2 (also called PfSETvs)</td>
<td>PF3D7_1322100 (MAL13P1.122)</td>
<td>Involved in the deposition of the epigenetic mark H3K36me2/3, participates in var regulation</td>
<td>Cui et al. 2008a; Kishore et al. 2013; Jiang et al. 2013; Ukaegbu et al. 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfSET3 (also called PfKMT1)</td>
<td>PF3D7_0827800 (PF08_0012)</td>
<td>Involved in the deposition of the epigenetic mark H3K9me2/3</td>
<td>Cui et al. 2008a; Lopez-Rubio et al. 2009; Volz et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfSET4</td>
<td>PF3D7_0910000 (PF0485c)</td>
<td>Involved in the deposition of epigenetic marks on H3K4</td>
<td>Cui et al. 2008a; Volz et al. 2010; Jiang et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfSET5</td>
<td>PF3D7_1214200 (PFL0690c)</td>
<td>Involved in the deposition of unknown epigenetic marks; mitochondrial localization also reported</td>
<td>Cui et al. 2008a; Volz et al. 2010; Jiang et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfSET6</td>
<td>PF3D7_1355300 (PF13_0293)</td>
<td>Involved in the deposition of epigenetic marks on H3K4</td>
<td>Cui et al. 2008a; Volz et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfSET7</td>
<td>PF3D7_1115200 (PF11_0160)</td>
<td>In vitro data suggest methylation of H3K4 and H3K9</td>
<td>Cui et al. 2008a; Chen et al. 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfSET8</td>
<td>PF3D7_0403900 (PFD0190w)</td>
<td>Involved in the deposition of the epigenetic mark H4K20me1/2/3</td>
<td>Cui et al. 2008a; Kishore et al. 2013; Jiang et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfSET9</td>
<td>PF3D7_0508100 (PFE0400w)</td>
<td>Involved in the deposition of unknown epigenetic marks</td>
<td>Cui et al. 2008a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfSET10</td>
<td>PF3D7_1221000 (PFL1010c)</td>
<td>Involved in the deposition of the epigenetic mark H3K4me3, localized to the var expression site</td>
<td>Volz et al. 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histone demethylases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JmjC1</td>
<td>PF3D7_0809900 (MAL8P1.111)</td>
<td>Involved in the removal of epigenetic marks from H3K9 and H3K36</td>
<td>Cui et al. 2008a; Jiang et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JmjC2</td>
<td>PF3D7_0602800 (PFF0135w)</td>
<td>Involved in the removal of unknown epigenetic marks</td>
<td>Cui et al. 2008a; Jiang et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD1</td>
<td>PF3D7_1211600 (PFL0575w)</td>
<td>Involved in the removal of unknown epigenetic marks</td>
<td>Iyer et al. 2008; Volz et al. 2010; Jiang et al. 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histone acetyltransferases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfGCN5</td>
<td>PF3D7_0823300 (PF08_0034)</td>
<td>Involved in the deposition of the epigenetic marks H3K9ac and H3K14ac</td>
<td>Fan et al. 2004; Cui et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfHAT1</td>
<td>PF3D7_0416400 (PFD0795w)</td>
<td>Probable ortholog to HAT1 in higher eukaryotes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfMYST</td>
<td>PF3D7_1118600 (PF11_0192)</td>
<td>Member of the MYST family of acetyltransferases, proposed to acetylate H4K5, K8, K12, and K16</td>
<td>Miao et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histone deacetylases</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfSIR2A</td>
<td>PF3D7_1328800 (PF13_0152)</td>
<td>Involved in telomere maintenance and regulation of var gene expression</td>
<td>Duraisingh et al. 2005; Freitas-Junior et al. 2005; Tonkin et al. 2009; Merrick et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfSIR2B</td>
<td>PF3D7_1451400 (PF14_0489)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
within constitutively euchromatic regions of the genome are unlikely to carry heritable information (Cortés et al. 2012), but together they contribute to the cascade of gene activation that enables the parasites to faithfully complete each round of schizogony. Special chromatin also forms at centromeres, including the incorporation of the variant histone cenH3. The centromeres from the different chromosomes cluster together within the nucleus during mitosis and cytokinesis, but dissociate after the merozoites rein invade erythrocytes and reinitiate a new cycle (Hoeijmakers et al. 2012). Additionally, DNA methylation has also been detected and proposed to contribute to transcriptional regulation (Ponts et al. 2013).

**General Mechanisms Regulating Clonally Variant Gene Expression**

As mentioned above, genes that display clonally variant expression are associated with bistable chromatin, which can be found as either euchromatin or facultative heterochromatin, resulting in either activation or silencing, respectively. This chromatin state is heritable and, thus, must be faithfully reproduced as the genome transitions through multiple rounds of replication and division during schizogony. Only relatively rarely does a gene switch transcriptional states; however, the ability to switch is key for parasites to be able to display clonally variant expression and is indispensable for processes like antigenic variation that depend on generating variability over time, and for bet-hedging strategies that enable parasite populations to respond to changes in their environment. In addition to switching transcriptional states, some genes also belong to gene families in which only one or a small number of genes are active at a time (frequently referred to as mutually exclusive expression). Thus, activation of one gene necessitates the simultaneous silencing of the previously active member of the family, therefore requiring a mechanism of coordination within the family.

Table 2. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein name</th>
<th>Gene ID (previous ID)</th>
<th>Proposed function</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PfHDAC1</td>
<td>PF3D7_0925700 (PFI1260c)</td>
<td>Putative class I histone deacetylase, probable ortholog of Rpd3 from yeast</td>
<td>Joshi et al. 1999; Andrews et al. 2012b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfHDAC2</td>
<td>PF3D7_1472200 (PF14_0690)</td>
<td>Putative class II histone deacetylase</td>
<td>Andrews et al. 2012b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfHDAC3 (also called PfHda2)</td>
<td>PF3D7_1008000 (PF10_0078)</td>
<td>Putative class II histone deacetylase, linked to var gene silencing and sexual differentiation</td>
<td>Andrews et al. 2012b; Coleman et al. 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfBDP1</td>
<td>PF3D7_1033700 (PF10_0328)</td>
<td>Bromodomain protein 1, involved in the regulation of genes linked to erythrocyte invasion</td>
<td>Josling et al. 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfHP1</td>
<td>PF3D7_1220900 (PFL1005c)</td>
<td>Heterochromatin protein 1, involved in the maintenance of silenced regions of the genome, linked to var gene silencing and sexual differentiation</td>
<td>Perez-Toledo et al. 2009; Flueck et al. 2009; Brancucci et al. 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both current 3D7 ID numbers and previous numbers are provided, along with a brief, general description of the predicted function. Many of the listed functions are predicted based on computational analysis and have not been experimentally verified. Several additional uncharacterized putative epigenetic factors have been predicted by in silico analysis (Bischoff and Vaquero 2010).
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Figure 2. Chromatin compartments in Plasmodium falciparum. As in other eukaryotes, the chromatin of malaria parasites can be roughly divided into three separate compartments with very distinct properties. Green and red flags represent histone marks generally associated with transcriptional activation or silencing, respectively. *P. falciparum* constitutive heterochromatin (**upper left**) is generally transcriptionally silent but allows transcription of some noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs). Euchromatic regions (**upper right**) are typified by the incorporation of the variant histones H2A.Z and H2B.Z as well as the histone modifications H3K4me3 and H3K9ac. Stage-specific transcription largely depends on the presence of specific transcription factors (TFs), whereas in facultative heterochromatin (**bottom**) transcription depends on both the presence of the relevant transcription factor(s) and chromatin accessibility. The latter is determined by which of the possible chromatin states has been assembled at a specific locus in a given cell. Typically, chromatin at silent loci incorporates the histone modification H3K9me3 and is bound by HP1, whereas active genes are associated with the histone modification H3K9ac.
understood regarding what controls switching between transcriptional states, and the molecular basis for mutually exclusive expression within gene families remains entirely mysterious. The clonally variant genes involved in antigenic variation (var, rifin, stevor, Pfmc-2tm), alternative erythrocyte invasion pathways (eba and Pfhr), infected erythrocyte permeability (clag3.1 and clag3.2), and sexual conversion (pfap2-g) all share certain epigenetic characteristics. When they are transcriptionally silent, they are bound by nucleosomes that incorporate the silent mark H3K9me3, in particular surrounding the transcriptional start site (Chookajorn et al. 2007; Lopez-Rubio et al. 2009; Jiang et al. 2010; Crowley et al. 2011; Kafsack et al. 2014). When individual genes are activated, H3K9me3 is replaced by H3K9ac, and this appears to be a key step in switching the transcriptional state of the gene (Lopez-Rubio et al. 2007; Crowley et al. 2011). Once in place, the activating or silencing histone marks are maintained throughout the remainder of the asexual cycle, even at points in the cycle when the genes are inactive. Furthermore, these marks are faithfully reproduced as the genome replicates through schizogony, resulting in epigenetic memory. Changes in these histone modifications occur at low frequencies, resulting in clonal variation. The H3K9me3 modification is recognized by heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1) that begins the chromatin condensation process that results in segregation into the nuclear periphery and prevents transcription (Flueck et al. 2009; Perez-Toledo et al. 2009). The importance of HP1 in this process is shown by experiments in which its expression is disrupted. In the absence of HP1, all clonally variant genes become transcriptionally active simultaneously, leading to disruption of antigenic variation and rapid differentiation into the sexual conversion pathway (Brancucci et al. 2014). A similar phenotype results from disruption of the histone deacetylase PfHda2 (Coleman et al. 2014), further demonstrating the shared epigenetic mechanisms controlling expression of clonally variant gene families. These profound phenotypes reveal the importance of proper regulation of heterochromatin for parasite biology.

Specific Mechanisms Regulating var Gene Expression

Of the genes that undergo clonally variant expression, the most work has focused on the var gene family that encodes the variant surface protein PfEMP1. The haploid genome of any given parasite includes approximately 60 var genes, which are expressed in a mutually exclusive manner. As described above, the active gene is associated with chromatin that incorporates the H3K9ac modification, whereas the remaining, silent members of the family are marked by histones carrying H3K9me3. As expected, the silent genes are bound by HP1 and incorporated into heterochromatin that is segregated into the nuclear periphery, in which they group together into five to seven clusters (Freitas-Junior et al. 2000). Interestingly, the active member of the family is also found at the nuclear periphery, but it is separated from the silent genes into a subnuclear position that also includes the histone methyltransferase PISET10 (Duraisingh et al. 2005; Ralph et al. 2005; Volz et al. 2012). It is postulated that this methyltransferase is responsible for the H3K4me3 modification found at the active var gene (Volz et al. 2012). An additional histone mark that appears to be important for var gene regulation is H3K36me3, a modification incorporated by the histone methyltransferase PISET2 (Cui et al. 2008a) that in model eukaryotes is typically associated with transcriptional elongation. Surprisingly, disruption of the gene encoding PISET2 completely disrupts mutually exclusive expression, leading to simultaneous expression of all members of the family and suggesting that H3K36me3 might be required for var gene silencing (Jiang et al. 2013). However, H3K36me3 is found within the body of both active and silent var genes, suggesting an alternative model in which this histone mark is required for the recognition of var genes as members of the var gene family. This hypothesis has implications for coordination of gene expression and mutually exclusive expression.

In addition to specific histone modifications associated with active and silent var genes, incorporation of variant histones into the nucle-
osomes found at specific regions of the genome also appears to contribute to \textit{var} gene regulation. The variant histone H2A.Z is found within nucleosomes throughout the genome wherever H3K9ac and H3K4me3 are found (Bartfai et al. 2010; Petter et al. 2011), consistent with a role in maintaining euchromatin. Although at most genes incorporation of H2A.Z is stable throughout the asexual cycle, the single active \textit{var} gene H2A.Z incorporation into nucleosomes at the promoter appears to be temporally regulated and is observed only at the point in the cycle when the \textit{var} gene is actively transcribed (Petter et al. 2011), thus nucleosome exchange could play a role in \textit{var} gene activation. In contrast, silent \textit{var} genes are devoid of this histone variant. More recent work has identified double-variant histones that incorporate both H2A.Z and H2B.Z at transcriptionally active genomic regions, including the active \textit{var} gene promoter (Hoeijmakers et al. 2013; Petter et al. 2013). The amount of double-variant histones found within intergenic regions correlates with the strength of the nearby promoters as well as the base composition of the underlying DNA, suggesting a model in which double-variant histones help to demarcate transcriptionally active and silent regions of the genome.

Although the histone marks associated with active or silent \textit{var} genes have now been identified, it is not yet clear how the histone modifiers are selectively targeted to specific genes to properly mark them for activation or silencing. DNA regulatory elements have been identified both within individual \textit{var} genes (Avraham et al. 2012; Brancucci et al. 2012) and separating genes within \textit{var} tandem arrays (Wei et al. 2015), but their possible role in recruiting epigenetic factors remains uncharacterized. ncRNAs have been implicated in this process in many model eukaryotic systems, and similar mechanisms are likely to be at play with \textit{var} genes. ncRNAs were first identified associated with \textit{var} genes when this gene family was initially discovered, although their function was not understood (Su et al. 1995). These RNAs are transcribed from an RNA pol II promoter found within the conserved intron located within all \textit{var} genes (Kyes et al. 2007). The intron is required for the recognition of \textit{var} genes for mutually exclusive expression (Deitsch et al. 2001; Dzikowski et al. 2007), and it has been shown to transcribe an antisense ncRNA from the single active gene while producing sense ncRNAs from all members of the family (Jiang et al. 2013; Amit-Avraham et al. 2015). The role of the ncRNAs themselves is not known; however, targeting the antisense transcripts for degradation or overexpression greatly alters \textit{var} gene expression patterns (Amit-Avraham et al. 2015), and an RNA exosome was recently identified that appears to be important for controlling antisense ncRNA levels (Zhang et al. 2014). Disruption of the RNAs enzyme activity of this exosome strongly affects \textit{var} gene expression patterns. In addition to the ncRNAs themselves, the RNA pol II complex that transcribes them might also play a direct role in recruiting histone modifiers to \textit{var} loci. PFSET2, the histone methyltransferase that deposits the H3K36me3 mark at \textit{var} genes, binds to the carboxy-terminal domain of RNA pol II and, thus, could be recruited by the polymerase while it is transcribing the sense ncRNAs (Ukaegbu et al. 2014). This would explain why both active and silent genes are marked by H3K36me3, and is consistent with the hypothesis that this mark is responsible for genes to be recognized as members of the \textit{var} gene family. Additional work will begin to decipher how these different aspects of \textit{var} gene regulation integrate into an overall mechanism of control, and further how mutually exclusive expression and switching are coordinated. Work in the field will also help to determine how \textit{var} gene switching integrates with selection by the human immune system (Abdi et al. 2016), a topic that has not been extensively studied, and also how transmission through the mosquito potentially “resets” the expression patterns of clonally variant gene families (Spence et al. 2015).

**IMPORTANCE OF RESEARCH ON MALARIA EPIGENETICS IN THE CONTEXT OF ERADICATION**

The new paradigm in the fight against malaria is eradication. An agenda has been developed to guide the steps that need to be undertaken to
achieve this ambitious aim (Alonso et al. 2011). Considering the low expectations of having a highly effective vaccine available in the next few years, elimination efforts will have to rely mainly on vector control strategies and antimalarial drugs that could be used in mass drug administration schemes. Monitoring and diagnostic tools will also play important roles in elimination campaigns. Research on malaria epigenetics can contribute to the development of new public health tools that could facilitate elimination.

Monitoring Malaria Phenotypes: Genes under Epigenetic Regulation as Markers for Virulence and Transmission Potential

Malaria elimination campaigns will represent an extremely strong selective pressure for malaria parasites, which makes it reasonable to fear that such interventions may result in accelerated parasite evolution. There is a risk that elimination efforts may select for “super-parasites” with undesirable traits, such as increased virulence, more efficient transmission, increased resilience in front of low vector availability, increased resistance to multiple drugs, or even an increased ability to generate resistance to new drugs reminiscent of the “accelerated resistance to multiple drugs” (ARMD) phenotype (Rathod et al. 1997). Monitoring how parasite traits evolve during elimination campaigns is essential to avoid potentially disastrous consequences of the attempts to eradicate the disease. Monitoring will be necessary at the epidemiological level, but also at the genetic, phenotypic, and epigenetic levels. Strong pressures can obviously result in the selection of genetic variants that confer increased fitness in front of the new challenges, but it is also possible that there is a selection of parasites with epigenetic patterns that have an analogous effect. As described above, some parasite traits are associated with the expression of specific clonally variant genes: for example, expression of some var genes is associated with increased risk of severe disease (Jensen et al. 2004; Rottmann et al. 2006; Avril et al. 2012; Claessens et al. 2012; Lavstsen et al. 2012), and pfap2-g expression levels could be used as a proxy for parasite investment into sexual conversion and transmission. Expression of these genes and several others should be characterized in parasites that persist in settings in which elimination efforts are not completely successful and a residual parasite population survives, albeit with altered epidemiology. This could help to understand the adaptive pathways of surviving parasites, as well as to appreciate the potential risks associated with the evolved parasites (e.g., increased virulence), or to identify their Achilles’ heels.

Epigenetic Regulation of Gene Expression as a Target for Therapeutic Intervention: “Epidrugs”

It is unclear whether existing drugs, used wisely, are sufficient to achieve success in malaria elimination campaigns. In any case, new antimalarial drugs with desirable properties, such as being effective against all parasite stages or requiring a single dose, if available, would certainly facilitate the task. In this regard, epigenetic regulators are considered a promising new class of drug targets, with some attractive characteristics described below.

Epigenetic regulation of gene expression is a highly dynamic process, implying that it is possible to alter normal epigenetic states through modulation of the enzymes involved in the process. Interfering pharmacologically with the delicate balance between the alternative epigenetic states of clonally variant genes could compromise parasite survival in several ways (Fig. 3). First, inhibiting the epigenetic factors that are necessary for var silencing could result in parasites that simultaneously express multiple forms of PfEMP1, inducing the development of broadly reactive immune responses that eliminate the current infection and additionally confer protection against future infections. An analogous strategy to disrupt normal antigenic variation has been successfully applied to induce protective immunity against Giardia lamblia in rodent models of disease (Rivero et al. 2010). Second, altering the balance between the active and silenced states of pfap2-g could result in either massive sexual conversion or no pro-
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Figure 3. Epigenetic factors as targets for drug development. The normal balance between the euchromatic (active) and heterochromatic (silenced) states of clonally variant genes (top panel) can be altered pharmacologically. Drugs that inhibit the factors that catalyze the transition to or the maintenance of the active state are expected to shift the balance toward the silenced state (middle panel). In contrast, drugs that inhibit the factors that catalyze the transition to or the maintenance of the silenced state are expected to shift the balance toward the active state (bottom panel). The predicted effects of chemical inhibition of enzymes that participate in the regulation of clonally variant genes in general are listed. As examples, enzymes that operate on H3K9 are shown, but inhibition of enzymes that regulate the deposition or removal of other histone modifications (e.g., H4K20me3) may have similar effects. Inhibitors of epigenetic factors that participate in the regulation of only some clonally variant gene families (e.g., var genes) are expected to have family-specific effects. The predicted histone acetyltransferases (HATs), lysine demethylases (KDMs), histone deacetylases (HDACs), and lysine methyltransferases (KMTs) that operate on H3K9 are described in Table 2.
duction of sexual forms, both with catastrophic consequences for the parasite. It is important to note that malaria elimination efforts would tremendously benefit from drugs that kill gametocytes and consequently prevent transmission, but gametocytes are highly resilient to chemical attack and most current antimalarial drugs are not efficient against them (malERA Consultative Group on Drugs 2011). Thus, inhibiting the epigenetic mechanisms that drive the conversion of parasites into sexual forms arguably provides an attractive alternative to directly targeting gametocytes. Third, inhibiting malaria chromatin modifiers that do not specifically regulate clonally variant genes but participate in normal cell cycle progression could directly kill the parasites.

Orthologs of many known chromatin modifiers have been identified in the *P. falciparum* genome (Cui et al. 2008a; Bischoff and Vaquero 2010). The first obvious targets for antimalarial “epidrugs” development are the enzymes that add or remove acetyl or methyl groups from histone tails (Table 2). Importantly, inhibitors of this type of enzymes have been developed for the fight against other diseases such as cancer, providing a large number of chemical starting points and a wealth of knowledge that could be used for the development of malaria-specific epigenetic inhibitors (Arrowsmith et al. 2012). Several compounds that were identified as inhibitors of histone deacetylases (HDACs) or acetyltransferases (HATs) in other eukaryotes inhibit *P. falciparum* growth, and some of them have a more potent effect on malaria parasites than on human cells (Merrick and Duraisingh 2007; Cui et al. 2008b; Andrews et al. 2012a,b; Duffy et al. 2014). Inhibitors of *P. falciparum* lysine methyltransferases (KMTs) have also been identified and shown to effectively kill malaria parasites of different species and at different stages of development (Malmquist et al. 2012, 2015). Furthermore, a sublethal concentration of one such compound, chaetocin, was recently shown to alter the epigenetic regulation of var gene expression by increasing the frequency of expression switches in this gene family (Ukaegbu et al. 2015). Interestingly, another KMT inhibitor was shown to activate dormant liver forms called hypnozoites (Dembele et al. 2014), which are produced by some malaria parasite species including *P. vivax* and are considered one of the less accessible malaria infection reservoirs. This observation raises the intriguing possibility that hypnozoite activation may be regulated at the epigenetic level. If this is the case, it may be possible to target these highly resilient forms with epigenetic drugs.

**FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

Epigenetics continues to be a vibrant field of investigation in all eukaryotic systems, from animals to plants to protozoans. Given their somewhat “stripped down” repertoire of transcription factors, it is likely to play an even bigger role in the biology of malaria parasites. With the development of drugs that target epigenetic factors as therapies for human diseases like autoimmune disorders or cancer, this rapidly evolving technology can be applied to the development of antimalarial compounds that kill parasites, reduce virulence, and disrupt transmission, all key components of any elimination/eradication campaign. Along the way, these compounds will also serve as tools for investigating the basic biology of these evolutionarily distant and fascinating organisms. The recent development of new technologies for genetic manipulation of the parasites, including powerful methods for genome editing, will also contribute to addressing some of the burning questions in the malaria epigenetics field described in this chapter. Considering that epigenetics often studies properties that vary from one cell to another, it will be important to also develop improved technologies for analysis at the single-cell level, which could bring enormous progress to the field.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

It is exciting to once again consider the prospects of malaria elimination and eradication after such plans were previously abandoned decades ago. Recent gains in reducing the global malaria burden provide tantalizing hope that elimination is indeed possible. Nonetheless,
for progress against the disease to be sustained, new intervention strategies will be required as drug and insecticide resistance inevitably arise. As funds and resources are devoted to disease reduction in the field, it will be important not to neglect basic research into the fundamental biology of the parasite and its mosquito vector. It is this discovery process that will yield the drugs, vaccines, and intervention strategies of the future that will be required for the ultimate eradication of malaria. Epigenetics is one such field that is rapidly developing and likely to be a rich source of novel targets for antimalaria strategies.
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Increasing antimalarial drug resistance once again threatens effective antimalarial drug treatment, malaria control, and elimination. Artemisinin combination therapies (ACTs) are first-line treatment for uncomplicated falciparum malaria in all endemic countries, yet partial resistance to artemisinins has emerged in the Greater Mekong Subregion. Concomitant emergence of partner drug resistance is now causing high ACT treatment failure rates in several areas. Genetic markers for artemisinin resistance and several of the partner drugs have been established, greatly facilitating surveillance. Single point mutations in the gene coding for the Kelch propeller domain of the K13 protein strongly correlate with artemisinin resistance. Novel regimens and strategies using existing antimalarial drugs will be needed until novel compounds can be deployed. Elimination of artemisinin resistance will imply elimination of all falciparum malaria from the same areas. In vivax malaria, chloroquine resistance is an increasing problem.

The two main pillars for malaria control and beyond remain targeting the anopheline mosquito vector and effective case management, which is crucially dependent on the efficacy of the deployed antimalarial drugs (Bhatt et al. 2015). Antimalarial drug resistance in *Plasmodium falciparum* tends to emerge in low-transmission settings, in particular in Southeast Asia or South America, before expanding to high-transmission settings in sub-Saharan Africa (White 2004). Resistance to chloroquine and later to sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine have followed this route and have contributed to millions of excess malaria attributable mortality in African children (Trape et al. 1998; Trape 2001; Korenromp et al. 2003). At the end of the last century, introduction of the artemisinin combination therapies (ACTs) provided a much needed, highly efficacious antimalarial treatment, which became the first-line treatment for uncomplicated falciparum malaria in all endemic countries (WHO 2001, 2015a). Parenteral artesunate became the first-line treatment for severe malaria. However, partial artemisinin resistance characterized by much slower clearance of parasitemia in the first 3 days of treatment following artemisinin monotherapy or ACT was identified in western Cambodia in 2008–2009 (Noedl et al. 2008; Dondorp et al. 2009), and subsequently in all countries of the Greater
Mekong Subregion (Amaratunga et al. 2012; Hien et al. 2012; Phyo et al. 2012; Ashley et al. 2014; Huang et al. 2015). Artemisinin resistance has selected for concomitant resistance to ACT partner drugs, resulting in high late treatment failure rates with dihydroartemisinin–piperaquine in Cambodia (Leang et al. 2013, 2015; Lon et al. 2014; Saunders et al. 2014; Duru et al. 2015; Spring et al. 2015; Amaratunga et al. 2016) and with artesunate–mefloquine on the Thai–Myanmar border (Carrara et al. 2013). Close surveillance of the emergence and the distribution of artemisinin and partner drugs resistance are important to guide public health measures. This will require drug efficacy studies in sentinel sites, but can be greatly facilitated by the increasing availability of genetic markers for antimalarial drug resistance. New antimalarial treatments are urgently needed. It is expected that new compounds will not be ready for deployment before 2020 (Wells et al. 2015). Until then, novel strategies and regimes using existing antimalarial drugs will have to be implemented to ensure effective treatment. Elimination of artemisinin resistance will imply elimination of all falciparum malaria from the same areas before falciparum malaria becomes untreatable (Maude et al. 2009). This paradigm has contributed to the adoption of a malaria elimination agenda for the Greater Mekong Subregion, which also includes vivax malaria (WHO 2015b). In this respect, increasing resistance to Plasmodium vivax to chloroquine in Indonesia and beyond is an important notice.

This article discusses driving forces of antimalarial drug resistance, the global antimalarial drug resistance situation for P. falciparum and P. vivax, current insights in the molecular markers and mechanisms of antimalarial drug resistance with a focus on the artemisinins, and possible strategies for the treatment of artemisinin and multiple drug-resistant malaria in the context of malaria elimination.

ORIGINS OF ANTIMALARIAL DRUG RESISTANCE

De novo emergence of antimalarial drug resistance requires the spontaneous arising of mutations or gene duplications conferring reduced drug susceptibility, which is then selected in the individual by the presence of antimalarial drug concentrations sufficient to kill or inhibit the growth of sensitive parasites, but allowing expansion of the resistant clone. For the resistant parasite to be successful, the gene alterations conferring resistance should not affect parasite fitness to a large extent (White 2004; Barnes and White 2005). Drug-resistant mutations can arise in the sexual parasite stages in the mosquito (where diploidy and meiosis occur), in the preerythrocytic liver stages or in the asexual erythrocytic parasite stages, and there has been much debate on the most likely source (Pongtavornpinyo et al. 2009). It seems that resistant parasites are most likely to emerge during high levels of asexual-stage parasitemia in patients with subtherapeutic drug levels and, less likely, in the liver stages (Pongtavornpinyo et al. 2009; White et al. 2009). Antimalarial drugs will be more prone to resistance when requiring a limited number of genetic events conferring a considerable level of resistance (such as atovaquone or mefloquine), and when its pharmacokinetic properties include a long terminal half-life translating into a long period of subtherapeutic drug levels (such as piperaquine). Once resistance starts emerging, its transmission and, thus, spread are facilitated by the increased production of gametocytes in partial resistant strains, as shown, for instance, for sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine (Barnes et al. 2008).

Although the total number of circulating P. falciparum parasites and, thus, the number of spontaneous genetic events is much higher in high transmission settings in sub-Saharan Africa, history shows that antimalarial drug resistance is much more likely to emerge successfully in low transmission settings. In particular, Southeast Asia has in the last decades been the cradle for the emergence of P. falciparum resistance to chloroquine (Eyles et al. 1963; Young et al. 1963), sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine (Hofler 1980; Hurwitz et al. 1981), mefloquine (Boudreau et al. 1982; Smithuis et al. 1993), and more recently to artemisinins (Noedl et al. 2008; Dondorp et al. 2009) and piperaquine (Leang et al. 2013; Saunders et al. 2015).
An important reason for this apparent brake on resistance emergence in regions with high stable transmission is host immunity, which can contribute substantially to parasite clearance of partial resistant parasite and also makes that older children and adults can carry substantial numbers of parasites without causing illness (Sarda et al. 2009; Lopera-Mesa et al. 2013). Because these individuals will not seek treatment, the associated large asymptomatic reservoir dilutes the selective pressure provided by antimalarial drugs at the population level (White 2004). In addition, in high transmission areas, patients have multiple strain infections transmitted to the mosquito vector. Crossing over of genes during meiosis in the mosquito can then break up resistance and compensatory mutations, and this greater opportunity for recombination will result in increased parasite diversity and direct competition between different parasite strains, with less opportunity for resistant alleles to become fixed (Jiang et al. 2011; Takala-Harrison and Laufer 2015). This is not the case in low transmission areas where multiple infections are much less common, infected individuals are less preimmune, usually more prone to be symptomatic, and, as a consequence, to be treated with possible poor-quality antimalarial drugs, incomplete treatment courses, or (artemisinin) monotherapies. *P. falciparum* parasite populations in Southeast Asia are highly structured with high rates of parasite inbreeding; particular genetic background alleles seem to predispose to the development of resistance-causing mutations through multi-stage processes in natural parasite populations (Miotto et al. 2013). Moreover, hemoglobinopathies (mainly HbAE or HbEE) and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency, which are highly prevalent in Southeast Asian human populations, may have selected parasites less susceptible to oxidative stress while most antimalarial drugs currently in clinical use exert their activities, at least in part, by increasing oxidative stress in the parasitized erythrocyte (Becker et al. 2004).

Poor drug stewardship has been an important driver of antimalarial drug resistance, and in particular the emergence of artemisinin resistance in Southeast Asia. In the early 1960s, pyrimethamine and later chloroquine were added to salt for consumption as a measure of population malaria prophylaxis in Cambodia (Verdrager 1986). Although the artemisinins have been deployed as combination therapies in ACTs, unregulated artemisinin or artemesunate monotherapy has been available since the mid-1970s in the region. In most countries, including Cambodia where artemisinin resistance was first recognized, the majority of patients obtain their antimalarial treatment through the private sector, which consisted until recent years mainly of artesunate monotherapy (Yeung et al. 2008). A ban on artemisinin monotherapies and deployment of fixed dose combinations for the majority of ACTs have been an important step forward. Counterfeited or substandard drugs that contain less active ingredients than stated are additional sources of subtherapeutic dosing of artemisinins, which may also have contributed to the selection of resistant parasite strains (Newton et al. 2003). Moreover, it is possible that the different pharmacokinetic properties of artemisinins in subgroups of the population, such as pregnant women and children, have resulted in underdosing (Kloprogge et al. 2015). It is thought that an important driver of the rapid spread of resistance to sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine in Africa has been underdosing of the drug in children with falciparum malaria (Barnes et al. 2006).

**CURRENT MAP OF ANTIMALARIAL DRUG RESISTANCE IN *P. falciparum* AND *P. vivax***

The emergence and spread of antimalarial drug resistance is a dynamic process that can change by year. Figure 1 provides an overview of the current situation of falciparum artemisinin resistance (Fig. 1A) and vivax chloroquine resistance (Fig. 1B). For updated information, there are several sources intending to provide information in real time on the global antimalarial drug-resistance situation. The World Health Organization (WHO) maintains a network of sentinel sites in malaria-endemic countries performing therapeutic efficacy studies of first- and second-line antimalarial drugs using a
Figure 1. Overview of the current situation of falciparum artemisinin resistance (A), and vivax chloroquine resistance (B). (A) Frequency distribution of the wild-type K13 allele worldwide (left panel) and in Asia (right panel). Malaria-endemic areas are from the maps from www.map.ox.ac.uk/browse-resources/endemicity/Pf_mean and shaded in gray. Areas in white are considered malaria free. The mean frequency of the wild-type allele is shown using the color code shown in the inset. Data were interpolated using two different approaches and the map censored for regions with very low to nil reported malaria prevalence. To generate the world map (left panel), we used an inverse distance-weighted interpolation method with the gstat package, where the inverse distance weighting power was arbitrarily set to 5. (Legend continues on following page.)
standard protocol. Combined with information from national malaria control programs, the findings are regularly updated on the WHO website (www.who.int/topics/malaria/en). The WorldWide Antimalarial Resistance Network (WWARN) provides updated maps on antimalarial drug resistance from clinical and laboratory studies, including molecular markers, with a focus on academic and other research groups (www.wwarn.org). The KARMA international consortium (K13 Artemisinin Resistance Multicenter Assessment) launched in 2014 and led by the Institut Pasteur and the WHO provides a worldwide map of the polymorphism in the propeller domain of the *P. falciparum* K13 gene (see below) (Menard et al. 2016). The International Centers of Excellence for Malaria Research also established a network for monitoring of antimalarial drug efficacy (Cui et al. 2015). A number of research groups monitor drug efficacy through clinical and laboratory studies, which are published in peer-reviewed journals. Close cooperation between academic and research groups, and national malaria control programs is important for quick incorporation of results into drug policy.

**ASSESSING ANTIMALARIAL DRUG EFFICACY**

Sources of information on antimalarial drug efficacy include clinical drug efficacy studies, ex vivo and in vitro assessments of drug sensitivity, and molecular markers. Regarding clinical studies, it is important that follow-up of patients is sufficiently long to assess appropriate parasitological and clinical cure, in particular when trialing antimalarial drugs with long terminal half-lives, such as mefloquine or piperaquine. Short follow-up will miss up to 90% (at 14 d) or 50% (at 28 d) of late recrudescence infections, which can occur up to 63 d after the start of therapy (Stepniewska and White 2006). In trials on drug efficacy in falciparum malaria, it is important to distinguish between reinfection and recrudescence as the source of recurrent infection, using genotyping methods of parasite strains (WHO 2007). This is a major issue in vivax malaria, as genotyping cannot reliably classify recurrent infection into a relapsing infection (parasites released from liver hypnozoites) or recrudescent infection (resistant parasites), because parasites from relapse infection can be issued from a similar or a different hypnozoite clone than the initial clone (Chen et al. 2007; Imwong et al. 2007).

In vitro assays assessing the sensitivity of *P. falciparum* malaria parasites to antimalarial drugs is a research tool, which is frequently used to complement data from clinical studies and for providing data on the epidemiology of drug-resistant malaria. In vitro sensitivity testing can contribute to the early detection of emergence of drug resistance, changing trends in parasite drug susceptibility over time and space, or changes in the in vitro responses of indiv-

**Figure 1.** (Continued) A 100-km radius surrounding the coordinate sampling site(s) was used. To generate the Asia map (right panel), we used the well-established spatial statistical interpolation of ordinary kriging using a 50-km radius for the area surrounding the coordinate sampling site(s). The individual sites of sample collection are indicated with a cross (reproduced, with permission). (B) Chloroquine resistance in *Plasmodium vivax* infections. The map summarizes evidence from published and unpublished data from 1980 to 2015 compiled by the WWARN, available at www.wwarn.org. Category 1: >10% recurrent infections by day 28 (with a lower 95% CI of >5%), irrespective of confirmation of adequacy of blood chloroquine concentrations at the moment of recurrence. The presence of >10% recurrent infections is highly suggestive of chloroquine resistance. Category 2: parasitological confirmed recurrent infection within 28 d, in the presence of adequate whole-blood chloroquine concentrations (>100 nm) at the moment of recurrence. This confirms chloroquine resistance. Category 3: >5% recurrent infections by day 28 (lower 95%, CI of <5%), irrespective of confirmation of adequacy of blood chloroquine concentrations at the moment of recurrence. In this category, the contribution of other factors than drug resistance, such as poor drug absorption or drug quality, cannot be ruled out. Category 4: chloroquine-sensitive *P. vivax* infections, concluded from studies reporting on ≥10 symptomatic patients with symptomatic malaria, treated with chloroquine monotherapy (without early primaquine treatment), showing fewer than 5% recurrent infections within 28 d. (Reproduced, with permission, from WWARN.)
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idual drugs currently deployed in combination therapies) (Guiguemde et al. 1994; Philipps et al. 1998; Ringwald et al. 2000; Menard et al. 2013). In vitro assessments are also useful for drug development (drug screening, isobologram studies for drug combinations, cross-resistance studies, in vitro phenotype comparisons of pre- and posttreatment isolates, baseline parasite susceptibility to a new drug before country implementation) and for validating candidate molecular markers associated with drug resistance. However, a limited number of laboratories in malaria-endemic countries have the capacity to perform in vitro assays, which requires sophisticated equipment, extensive resources, training, and expertise. There is no universally accepted, standardized protocol for in vitro drug sensitivity assays available, yet, but most protocols are based on the assessment of the 48-h \( P. falciparum \) in vitro development of isolates freshly collected in the field (ex vivo) or of short-/long-term culture-adapted parasite strains (in vitro), in the presence of increasing concentrations of antimalarial drugs (Basco 2007). The procedures for the parasite culture are those defined by Trager and Jensen (1976). The readout of the ex vivo or in vitro tests is parasite growth (at 48 h or later), evaluated by various methods including microscopy (Rieckmann et al. 1978), radioisotopic activity (isotopic test) (Desjardins et al. 1979), colorimetry (ELISA based on HRP2 and pLDH detection) (Makler and Hinrichs 1993; Brasseur et al. 2001; Noedl et al. 2002), fluorescence (Pico Green or Sybr Green dyes) (Smilkstein et al. 2004; Bacon et al. 2007; Rason et al. 2008), or flow cytometry (Pattanapanyasat et al. 1997; Contreras et al. 2004). In vitro susceptibility parameters of \( P. falciparum \) isolates are expressed as the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC\(_{50}\)) or the 90% inhibitory concentration (IC\(_{90}\)) defined as the minimal concentration of antimalarial drug that inhibits parasite growth by 50% or 90% compared with the development in drug-free control wells. IC\(_{50}\) and IC\(_{90}\) estimations can be calculated by a variety of means, including algorithms within software packages or freely available tools based on log-probit (Grab and Wernsdorfer 1983), polynomial (Noedl et al. 2002), and sigmoid inhibition (Le Nagard et al. 2011) models. Easy-to-use online tools, such as ICE-stimator 1.2 (www.antimalarial-icestimator.net) or IVART (www.wwarn.org/tools-resources/toolkit/analyse/ivart), are available for free. The main advantage of in vitro susceptibility testing is that inhibitory constants calculated from the parasite growth are an inherent trait of the parasite and are not affected by host factors, such as acquired immunity, bioavailability, or pharmacokinetics of antimalarial drugs (e.g., low drug absorption or metabolic alterations) (Woodrow et al. 2013). However, for some drugs, classical in vitro assays have limited sensitivity for detecting resistant parasites. This is, in particular, the case for artemisinin derivatives. Most of the initial studies investigating in vitro susceptibility to artemisinin showed that the delayed parasite clearance phenotype does not correspond to increased artemisinin 50% inhibitory concentration (IC\(_{50}\)) values. Slightly increased IC\(_{50}\) values for dihydroartemisinin (the active metabolite of all artemisins) were reported for slow-clearing parasites (Noedl et al. 2008), but they substantially overlap with those for fast-clearing parasites (Dondorp et al. 2009; Amaratunga et al. 2012). Studies with culture-adapted resistant parasite lines showed that artemisinin resistance was associated with decreased susceptibility of ring stages (Witkowski et al. 2010, 2013a,b; Cui et al. 2012; Klonis et al. 2013) and in some lines to mature stages (Cui et al. 2012; Teuscher et al. 2012). A novel in vitro assay (ring-stage survival assay [RSA]) that measures susceptibility of 0–3 h postinvasion \( P. falciparum \) ring stages to a pharmacologically relevant, short exposure (700 nM for 6 h) to dihydroartemisinin developed by Witkowski and colleagues demonstrated significant higher survival rates of culture-adapted parasites (in vitro RSA\(_{0–3\ h}\)) or fresh isolates (ex vivo RSA) in slow-clearing \( P. falciparum \) infections (threshold >1%) compared with isolates collected from fast-clearing infections (Witkowski et al. 2013a). In contrast, late rings and trophozoites from slow- and fast-clearing infections showed no difference in their susceptibility to dihydroartemisinin. Parasite survival rates in the RSA also significantly corre-
lated with parasite clearance half-life, including in areas where artemisinin-resistant parasites had not yet been described, designating this assay as the current reference platform to detect in vitro artemisinin resistance. Molecular markers associated with antimalarial drug resistance, when they are validated, are highly relevant to detect and monitor in real time the geospatial distribution of resistant parasites. To date, known molecular signatures are mutations in genes or changes in the copy number of genes encoding to the drug’s parasite target or to transport proteins involved in intra-parasitic influx/efflux of the drugs. Markers represent useful surveillance tools as their prevalence in a parasite population is often a good indicator of the level of clinical resistance. Different methods, including classical polymerase chain reaction (PCR), followed by direct sequencing, allele-specific PCR, PCR-RFLP, PCR-SSOP, FRET-MCA, PCR with molecular beacons, SNPE, PCR-LDR-FMA, and real-time PCR can be used depending on resources (Wilson et al. 2005; Barnadas et al. 2011). Their main advantage is that they allow us to test thousands of small volumes of blood samples (capillary blood collected by finger prick, spotted into filter paper, and stored at ambient temperature) at a wide scale by high-throughput automated approaches (Menard and Ariey 2015). Validated or candidate genetic markers are available for a limited number of antimalarial drugs, as described in Tables 1 and 2. Current molecular markers associated with antimalarial drug resistance are summarized in Table 1. These include markers for resistance to chloroquine (Pfcrt, Pfmdr-1), sulfonamides, and sulfo- fones, including sulfadoxine (Pfdhps), pyrimethamine, cycloguanil and chlorocyloguanil (Pfdhfr), atovaquone (Pfcytb), mefloquine and halofantrine (Pfmdr-1 amplification), amodiaquine (Pfcrt, Pfmdr-1), quinine (Pfcrt, Pfmdr-1, Pfnihe-1), and, most recently, artemisinins (Pfk13). Located on chromosome 13 (Pfk13 gene, PF3D7_1343700, previous ID: PF13_0238), Pfk13 is a single exon gene, which encodes a 726 amino acid protein that constitutes three domains, including a Plasmodium-specific/Apicomplexa-specific domain, a BTB/POZ domain, and a six-blade β-propeller Kelch domain. Seminal studies performed by Ariey et al. (2014) demonstrated that a single mutation in the β-propeller domain of the K13 gene was a major determinant of resistance to artemisinin derivatives (see paragraph below). The identification of K13 mutant-allele parasites in patients with a slow parasite clearance rate and site-specific genome-editing experiments using zinc-finger nucleases (Straimer et al. 2015) or the CRISPR-Cas9 system (Ghorbal et al. 2014) provided final evidence that this molecular marker was a major determinant of resistance to artemisinin derivatives. However, because this is a laborious process, to date only four mutant alleles have been validated by genome editing (580C → Y, 539R → T, 543I → T, and 493Y → H) among the 173 nonsynonymous mutations described to date (Straimer et al. 2015; Menard et al. 2016). The discovery of K13 polymorphism as the major determinant of P. falciparum artemisinin resistance opened unprecedented opportunities for resistance monitoring and soon after this discovery several molecular epidemiology studies were conducted to map the extended artemisinin resistance (Ashley et al. 2014; Takala-Harrison et al. 2015; Tun et al. 2015). The KARMA project is the largest study, yet, and provides critical information for drug policymakers in the following years, by clarifying the roadmap for future surveillance activities involving samples collected across 59 malaria-endemic countries (Menard et al. 2016).

CURRENT INSIGHTS IN ARTEMISININ RESISTANCE

The clinical phenotype of artemisinin resistance is characterized by delayed parasite clearance after treatment with artemisinin monotherapy or an ACT. Delayed clearance can be assessed as an increased parasite half-life assessed from the log-linear part of the peripheral blood parasite clearance curve or as persistence of parasitemia at 72 h after the start of treatment (Flegg et al. 2011; White et al. 2015). In addition to resistance of the parasite to the artemisinins, para-
Plasmodium falciparum chloroquine resistance transporter (PfCRT)

Located on chromosome 7 (PfCRT gene, PF3D7_0709000, previous ID: MAL7P1.27), PfCRT encodes a food vacuole membrane transporter protein, member of the drug/metabolite transporter superfamily (Tran and Saier 2004). The mutation at codon 76 (K → T) always associated with other nonsynonymous mutations (at codons 72, 74, or 75) (Warhurst 2001; Sidhu et al. 2002) is the primary mediator of chloroquine resistance, by increasing the export of chloroquine from the food vacuole, away from its target (Sanchez et al. 2007). Laboratory experiments have shown that PfCRT is also involved in decreasing parasites’ susceptibility to monodesethylamodiaquine (SVMNT, 7G8 allele) and quinine (Cooper et al. 2007; Tinto et al. 2008) and mediates increased susceptibility to mefloquine and artesinins. In areas where PfCRT mutant-type alleles are not fixed, like Africa, an increase in the frequency of the wild-type allele has been observed after the discontinuation of chloroquine (Lauber et al. 2006; Noranate et al. 2007). In South America, where SVMNT alleles are almost fixed, emergence of a mutation at codon 350 (C → R) mediates both increase susceptibility to chloroquine and resistance to piperaquine (Pelleau et al. 2015).

P. falciparum multidrug resistance protein 1 (PfMDR1)

Located on chromosome 5 (PfMDR1 gene, PF3D7_0523000, previous ID: MAL5P1.230, PFE1150w), PfMDR1 encodes an ABC transporter (ATP-binding cassette, P-glycoprotein homolog). MDR1, located in the membrane of the food vacuole, is involved in the modulation of the susceptibility to several antimalarial drugs and, more particularly, in the hydrophobic antimalarial efflux (Duraisingh and Cowman 2005). Resistance mechanisms are associated to (1) increased copy number of Pfmdr-1 leading to an increase in the expression of the protein (Nishiya et al. 2004) and resistance to mefloquine, lumefantrine, quinine, and artesinins (Cowman et al. 1994; Pickard et al. 2003; Price et al. 2004; Sidhu et al. 2006), and (2) mutations at codons 86N → Y and 1246D → Y (found in Africa) mediating decreased susceptibility to chloroquine and amodiaquine, but increased sensitivity to lumefantrine, mefloquine, and artesinins (Duraisingh et al. 2000; Reed et al. 2000; Mwai et al. 2009) or at codons 1034C → S and 1042N → D (observed outside Africa), which have been associated with altered sensitivity to lumefantrine, mefloquine, and artesinins (Reed et al. 2000; Pickard et al. 2003; Sidhu et al. 2005, 2006). Opposite effects on different drugs have been reported between chloroquine and mefloquine: the 86N → Y mutation decreases the parasite susceptibility to chloroquine, but increases mefloquine sensitivity (Duraisingh and Cowman 2005). Similarly, increased copy number of Pfmdr-1 increases resistance to mefloquine, but conversely increases the sensitivity to chloroquine and to piperaquine (Leang et al. 2013, 2015; Duru et al. 2015; Lim et al. 2015; Amarantunga et al. 2016).

P. falciparum bifunctional dihydrofolate reductase-thymidylate synthase (PfDHFR-TS)

Located on chromosome 4 (PfDHFR-TS gene, PF3D7_0417200, previous ID: MAL4P1.161, PFD030w), PfDHFR-TS encodes an enzyme involved in the pathway of the folate synthesis (Foote and Cowman 1994; Gregson and Plowe 2005). DHFR-TS is the target of the antifolate drugs such as pyrimethamine and proguanil (metabolized in vivo to the active form cycloguanil). Antifolate drugs act by inhibiting DHFR-TS activity, blocking the pyrimidine synthesis, and the replication of the parasite DNA (Hankins et al. 2001; Gregson and Plowe 2005). Resistance mechanisms are associated to (1) increased copy number of PfDHFR-TS leading to an increase in the expression of the protein (Nishiya et al. 2004) and resistance to mefloquine, lumefantrine, quinine, and artesinins (Cowman et al. 1994; Pickard et al. 2003; Price et al. 2004; Sidhu et al. 2006), and (2) mutations at codons 86N → Y and 1246D → Y (found in Africa) mediating decreased susceptibility to chloroquine and amodiaquine, but increased sensitivity to lumefantrine, mefloquine, and artesinins (Duraisingh et al. 2000; Reed et al. 2000; Mwai et al. 2009) or at codons 1034C → S and 1042N → D (observed outside Africa), which have been associated with altered sensitivity to lumefantrine, mefloquine, and artesinins (Reed et al. 2000; Pickard et al. 2003; Sidhu et al. 2005, 2006). Opposite effects on different drugs have been reported between chloroquine and mefloquine: the 86N → Y mutation decreases the parasite susceptibility to chloroquine, but increases mefloquine sensitivity (Duraisingh and Cowman 2005). Similarly, increased copy number of PfDHFR-TS increases resistance to mefloquine, but conversely increases the sensitivity to chloroquine and to piperaquine (Leang et al. 2013, 2015; Duru et al. 2015; Lim et al. 2015; Amarantunga et al. 2016).

P. falciparum hydroxymethyl–dihydropterin pyrophosphokinase–dihydropteroate synthase (PfPPPK-DHPS)

Located on chromosome 8 (PfPPPK-DHPS gene, PF3D7_0810800, previous ID: PF08_0095), PfPPPK-DHPS, this gene encodes another parasite-specific enzyme involved in the de novo synthesis of essential folate coenzymes. Resistance to sulfa drugs (sulfonamide, sulfadoxine, sulfone, and dapsone), most commonly involves the changes at codons 436S → A, 437K → G, 540K → E, 581A → G, and 613A → S/T (Hyde 2002; Gregson and Plowe 2005). Accumulation of mutations in PfDHFR-TS and PfPPPK-DHPS genes is strongly associated to clinical failure rates in patients treated with sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine combination, widely used in Africa in pregnant women or in children in the intermittent preventive treatment strategy (Kublin et al. 2002). The most frequent resistant combination in HPPK-DHPS and DHFR-TS (quintuple mutant for which frequencies of 70% or higher in some areas of East Africa is currently...
site clearance dynamics are also, to some extent, affected by the differences in host immunity (causing a variance of 0.5–1 h in parasite half-life), partner drug efficacy, splenic function, and other factors (Dondorp et al. 2010). Persistence of parasitemia at 72 h as a measure of artemisinin resistance is much dependent on the initial parasitemia and on the sensitivity of the method assessing parasitemia at 72 h (White et al. 2015). Because *P. falciparum* parasites in the second half of their asexual-stage development sequester in the microcirculation, delayed clearance suggests that ring-stage sensitivity is affected by artemisinin resistance. Artemisinins are the only class of antimalarial drugs with potent and rapid parasiticidal action against ring-stage parasites translating to a 10,000-fold decrease in parasitemia 48 h after the start of treatment
Table 2. Recommended antimalarial drugs: epidemiological, biological, and molecular characteristics

Artemisinin derivatives (artesunate, artemether, dihydroartemisinin)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical structure</th>
<th>Sesquiterpene lactone endoperoxide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduced in</td>
<td>1980s (monotherapy), 2000s (combined with a partner drug in ACT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First report of resistance in</td>
<td>2008 (partial resistance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life</td>
<td>0.5–2.0 h (artesunate, dihydroartemisinin) 5–7 h (artemether)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of action</td>
<td>Not fully understood. Active against blood-stage parasites, from the ring stages to early schizonts as well as young gametocytes, involving cation-mediated generation of reactive intermediates and reduction of the peroxide bridge.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Molecular signatures of resistance

- **Validated**
  - PfK13 gene at codons 580 (C → Y), 539 (R → T), 543 (I → T), 493 (Y → H), 561 (R → H)

- **Associated**

In vitro susceptibility threshold value for resistance

- Survival rate >1% in the RSA

- **In vitro cross-resistance (IC50 correlation)**
  - Positively correlated with chloroquine, lumefantrine, mefloquine, halofantrine

Spatial distribution of confirmed resistance

- Southeast Asia

Quinine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical structure</th>
<th>Aryl-amino alcohol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Introduced in</td>
<td>1632 (cinchona), 1820 (quinine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First report of resistance in</td>
<td>1908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life</td>
<td>3–36 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of action</td>
<td>Active against large rings and trophozoites by inhibiting intraparasitic haem detoxification in the parasite’s digestive vacuole. Active against gametocytes (except for Plasmodium falciparum).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Molecular signatures of resistance

- **Validated**
  - None

- **Associated**
  - PfCRT at codon 76 (K → T) Pfmdr-1 at codons 1042 (N → D), 1034 (S → C) or 1246 (D → Y) ms4760 variation in PfRh1 gene (increase in DNNND repeats in block II) (in Asian parasite populations)

In vitro susceptibility threshold value for resistance

- IC50 > 500–800 nM

- **In vitro cross-resistance (IC50 correlation)**
  - Positively correlated with chloroquine, lumefantrine, mefloquine, halofantrine
Spatial distribution of confirmed resistance: Sporadic worldwide cases (but mainly in Southeast Asia)

**Chloroquine**
- Chemical structure: 4-Aminoquinoline
- Introduced in: 1945
- First reports of resistance in: 1957
- Half-life: 5–12 d (chloroquine and monodesethylchloroquine)
- Mode of action: Active by inhibiting intraparasitic haem detoxification in the parasite's digestive vacuole. Chloroquine may also act on the biosynthesis of nucleic acids.

Molecular signatures of resistance
- **Validated**: Pfcr at codon 76 (K → T) with other mutations: Dd2 Southeast Asian allele (74 M → I, 75 N → E) or 7G8 South American allele (72 C → S)
- **Associated**: Pfmdr-1 at codons 86 (N → Y), 1034 (S → C), 1042 (N → D), and 1246 (D → Y)

In vitro susceptibility threshold value for resistance: IC50 > 100 nM

In vitro cross-resistance (IC50 correlation): Positively correlated with quinine, monodesethylamodiaquine, piperaquine. Negatively correlated with mefloquine.

Spatial distribution of confirmed resistance: Worldwide

**Amodiaquine**
- Chemical structure: 4-Aminoquinoline
- Introduced in: 1945
- First reports of resistance in: 1990s
- Half-life: 3–12 h (amodiaquine) 4–10 d (monodesethylamodiaquine)
- Mode of action: Active by accumulation in the parasite's digestive vacuole and inhibition of the haem detoxification

Molecular signatures of resistance
- **Validated**: Pfcr at codons 72 (C → S) and 76 (K → T) (7G8 South American allele SVMNT)
- **Associated**: Pfmdr-1 at codons 86 (N → Y) and 1246 (D → Y) (on the Pfcr CVIET allele genetic background)

In vitro susceptibility threshold value for resistance: Amodiaquine > 80 nM monodesethylamodiaquine IC50 > 60 nM

In vitro cross-resistance (IC50 correlation): Positively correlated with chloroquine and quinine

Spatial distribution of confirmed resistance: South America, Asia, and East Africa

---
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### Table 2. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Mefloquine</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical structure</td>
<td>4-methanolquinoline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduced in</td>
<td>1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First reports of resistance in</td>
<td>1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life</td>
<td>8–15 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of action</td>
<td>Active by inhibiting intraparasitic haem detoxification in the parasite's digestive vacuole and endocytosis of the cytosol by the parasite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular signatures of resistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated</td>
<td>Increase expression of the amplified (≥ 2 wild-type ( Pfmdr-1 ) gene copy) ( Pfmdr-1 ) gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro susceptibility threshold value for resistance</td>
<td>( IC_{50} &gt; 30 \text{ nm} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro cross-resistance (( IC_{50} ) correlation)</td>
<td>Positively correlated with halofantrine and lumefantrine and negatively correlated with chloroquine and piperaquine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial distribution of confirmed resistance</td>
<td>Southeast Asia, and sporadically in South America, India, Africa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Lumefantrine</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical structure</td>
<td>Aryl-amino alcohol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduced in</td>
<td>2000s (combined with artemether)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First reports of resistance in</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life</td>
<td>2–11 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of action</td>
<td>Active by inhibiting intraparasitic haem detoxification in the parasite's digestive vacuole and endocytosis of the cytosol by the parasite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular signatures of resistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated</td>
<td>( Pfmdr-1 ) at codons 184 (Y ( \rightarrow ) F), 1034 (S ( \rightarrow ) C) and 1042 (N ( \rightarrow ) D) (on the ( Pfcrt ) CVIET allele genetic background)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro susceptibility threshold value for resistance</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro cross-resistance (( IC_{50} ) correlation)</td>
<td>Positively correlated with mefloquine and halofantrine and negatively correlated with chloroquine and piperaquine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial distribution of confirmed resistance</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Piperaquine</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical structure</td>
<td>Bis-4-aminoquinolin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduced in</td>
<td>1960s (monotherapy), 2008 (combined with dihydroartemisinin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First reports of resistance in</td>
<td>1970s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug</td>
<td>Chemical structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>8-Aminoquinoline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfadoxine</td>
<td>Sulfonamide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Molecular signatures of resistance**

**Validated**

**Associated**

**In vitro cross-resistance (IC_{50} correlation)**

**Spatial distribution of confirmed resistance**

**Half-life**: 13–28 d  
**Mode of action**: Not fully understood. Active by inhibiting intraparasitic haem detoxification in the parasite’s digestive vacuole. Chloroquine may also act on the biosynthesis of nucleic acids.

**Molecular signatures of resistance**

**Validated**:

- *Pfcr* at codon 350 (C → R).  
- Deamplification of an 82-kb region of chromosome 5 (including *Pfmbr-1* gene) and amplification of an adjacent 63-kb region of chromosome 5.

**In vitro susceptibility threshold value for resistance**

- Survival rate >10% in the PSA (piperaquine survival assay)

**In vitro cross-resistance**

- Positively correlated with chloroquine and negatively correlated with mefloquine
Table 2. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode of action</th>
<th>Active by inhibiting the enzyme dihydropteroate synthase (DHPS), a component of the folate biosynthetic pathway and the replication of the parasite DNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular signatures of resistance</td>
<td>$P. falciparum$ at codons 436 (S → A), 437 (K → G), 540 (K → E), 581 (A → G), and 613 (A → S/T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro susceptibility threshold value for resistance</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro cross-resistance (IC$_{50}$ correlation)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial distribution of confirmed resistance</td>
<td>Worldwide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pyrimethamine**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical structure</th>
<th>Diaminopyrimidine derivative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Available since</td>
<td>1940s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First report of resistance in</td>
<td>1952 and 1970s (in association with sulfadoxine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-life</td>
<td>2–19 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of action</td>
<td>Active by inhibiting the bifunctional dihydrofolate reductase–thymidylate synthase activity, blocking the pyrimidine synthesis and the replication of the parasite DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular signatures of resistance</td>
<td>$P. falciparum$ at codons 51 (N → I), 59 (C → R), 108 (S → N), and 164 (I → L). In South America, mutation at codon 50 C → R instead of 59 (C → R).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated</td>
<td>IC$_{50}$ &gt; 100 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro susceptibility threshold value for resistance</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro cross-resistance (IC$_{50}$ correlation)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial distribution of confirmed resistance</td>
<td>Worldwide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proguanil**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical structure</th>
<th>Biguanide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Available since</td>
<td>1940s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaria Drug Resistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First report of resistance in 1949

**Half-life**
- Proguanil (8–18 h); cycloguanil (16–23 h)

**Mode of action**
- Active through its active triazine metabolite (cycloguanil) by inhibiting the bifunctional dihydrofolate reductase–thymidylate synthase activity, blocking the pyrimidine synthesis and the replication of the parasite DNA

**Molecular signatures of resistance**
- **Validated**
  - *Pfdhfr* at codons 16 (A → V) and 108 (S → T)
- **Associated**
  - -

**In vitro susceptibility threshold value for resistance**
- IC$_{50} >$ 15 nm

**In vitro cross-resistance (IC$_{50}$ correlation)**
- -

**Spatial distribution of confirmed resistance**
- Sporadic worldwide cases

---

**Atovaquone**

**Chemical structure**
- Hydroxynaphthoquinone

**Available since**
- 1996

First report of resistance in 1996

**Half-life**
- 1–6 d

**Mode of action**
- Active by inhibiting the transport of several parasite enzymes and by interfering with the cytochrome electron transport system, resulting in the collapse of the mitochondrial membrane potential

**Molecular signatures of resistance**
- **Validated**
  - *Pf cyt b* at codon 268 (Y → N/S/C)
- **Associated**
  - -

**In vitro susceptibility threshold value for resistance**
- IC$_{50} >$ 10 nm

**In vitro cross-resistance (IC$_{50}$ correlation)**
- -

**Spatial distribution of confirmed resistance**
- Sporadic worldwide cases
This sensitivity of ring-stage *P. falciparum* parasites seems what is primarily affected in artemisinin resistance as suggested by the clinical observations and later confirmed by ring-stage-specific sensitivity tests described above (Dondorp et al. 2009; Flegg et al. 2011; Saralamba et al. 2011; Arisy et al. 2014). The ring-stage survival assay performed on early ring parasites (0–3 h postinvasion, RSA^0–3 h^) showed a strong correlation between clinical data (parasite clearance half-life) and in vitro parasite survival rates (Witkowski et al. 2013a; Amaratunga et al. 2014). Transcriptomic and cell biological studies suggest that the important contributors to reduced ring-stage sensitivity are a deceleration in ring-stage development (early ring forms are intrinsically less susceptible) and an up-regulation of the “unfolded protein” stress response (UPR) (Dogovski et al. 2015; Mok et al. 2015). This was confirmed by additional population transcriptional studies (Mok et al. 2015; Shaw et al. 2015), which showed an increased expression of the UPR pathways involving the major PROSC and TRiC chaperone complexes to mitigate protein damage caused by artemisinin.

As discussed above, mutations in the K13 gene coding for the propeller region of the *P. falciparum* Kelch protein are a cause of artemisinin resistance. The Kelch protein has a wide range of biological functions, one of which is facilitating polyubiquination leading to protein degradation in the proteosome (Dogovski et al. 2015; Mbengue et al. 2015). In Kelch-mutated parasites, lower levels of ubiquitinated proteins can be observed, which is in accordance with UPR up-regulation (Dogovski et al. 2015). In addition, it was recently shown that artemisinins target *P. falciparum* phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PPI3K) and Kelch-mutated parasites, through reduced ubiquitination, have increased levels of PPI3K and its lipid product phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PI3P), conferring reduced artemisinin sensitivity (Mbengue et al. 2015).

Following the discovery of the K13 genetic marker, additional genomewide studies suggested a specific genetic background in Southeast Asia parasite populations associated to artemisinin resistance (Mirotto et al. 2015). This genetic background on which *kelch13* mutations are particularly likely to arise includes several nonsynonymous mutations: 193D → Y in PF3D7_1318100 (ferredoxin putative gene), 127V → M in PF3D7_1460900 (apicoplast ribosomal protein S10 precursor gene), 484T → I in PF3D7_1447900 (multidrug resistance protein 2 gene), and 356I → T in PF3D7_0709000 (chloroquine resistance transporter gene). Further research on defining this genetic backbone is ongoing.

Genetic studies also showed that two different foci of resistance originated in Asia, with virtually no overlap between the sets of mutations and haplotypes in Thailand–Myanmar–China and Cambodia–Vietnam–Lao PDR, confirming recent observations (Takala-Harri son et al. 2015; Menard et al. 2016). In Cambodia where artemisinin-resistant mutants in western provinces are almost fixed, haplotyping of K13 neighboring loci revealed multiple independent origins of common mutations alongside numerous sporadic localized mutational events, creating a large repertoire of mutants (Menard et al. 2016). The independent emergence of various K13 mutations will have to be reconciled with the observation that the area in Southeast Asia harboring the artemisinin-resistant phenotype is expanding over time.

South America, Oceania, Philippines, and Central/South Asia are currently areas free of K13 mutant parasites. In Africa, highly diverse and low-frequent K13 mutant alleles have been observed, with no evidence of selection, and none of these were associated with clinical artemisinin resistance assessed by the presence of parasites on day 3 following artesunate monotherapy or a 3-d ACT course. It is thought that artemisinin resistance has not been established in Africa, supported by the additional absence of evidence of invasion by Asian K13 alleles validated as molecular marker of artemisinin resistance (C580Y, R539T, I543T, Y493H), confirming previous smaller-sized studies (Conrad et al. 2014; Torrentino-Madamet et al. 2014; Cooper et al. 2015; Escobar et al. 2015; Hawkes et al. 2015; Isozumi et al. 2015; Kamau et al. 2015; Taylor et al. 2015). Haplotyping studies on the
most common African mutant 578A → S does not show evidence of selection of the mutation in the African parasite population. In addition, the 578A → S mutation seems phenotypically neutral, because genome editing of the Dd2 line indicated that this mutation did not affect artemisinin susceptibility in in vitro sensitivity testing with the RSA
0−3 h
assay, whereas this was clearly the case for other Kelch mutations (Straimer et al. 2015; Menard et al. 2016). Figure 2 summarizes current insights in artemisinin resistance from the molecular to the public health level.

P. vivax ANTIMALARIAL DRUG RESISTANCE

Vivax malaria is treated with antimalarial drugs highly effective against blood-stage parasites. For radical cure, which includes sterilization of liver hypnozoites, primaquine has to be added to the drug regimen. To date, in vivax malaria resistance has only emerged against chloroquine, a drug used worldwide for decades. Chloroquine resistance was first recognized in the late 1980s in New Guinea, 30 years after the emergence of P. falciparum chloroquine resistance (Rieckmann et al. 1989) and later in Eastern Indonesia, and nowadays in many countries in which vivax malaria is endemic (Price et al. 2014). Until now, the detection of chloroquine resistance is challenging, as recurrence after treatment may be a recrudescence (true resistance), a relapse or a reinfection (false resistance). As no reliable genotyping method is available, caution is required to conclude arrival of resistance. A consensus definition of resistance is the capability of a parasite strain to grow in the presence of an adequate drug blood concentration (100 ng/mL in whole blood). Unfortunately, this information is often missing in clinical studies, because of technical constraints. In vitro drug sensitivity testing is an alternative option to assess drug resistance for P. vivax, but only “one-shot” ex vivo drug sensitivity assays can be performed yet, because continuous culturing is not possible for P. vivax (Russell et al. 2012). Such assays are difficult to implement (the assay needs to be conducted within few hours of blood collection) and to interpret, because isolates from patients generally contain a mixture of parasite stages ranging from early ring stages to mature trophozoites and sensitivity of P. vivax to chloroquine depends on its parasite stage: ring forms are highly sensitive, whereas trophozoites are more resistant (Kerlin et al. 2012). To date, no validated molecular marker associated with chloroquine resistance in vivax malaria has been identified, and the mechanisms of parasite resistance to this drug remain unknown. However, current ACTs remain fully effective to kill blood-stage P. vivax parasites and through their posttreatment prophylactic effect protect against relapses for weeks after treatment (Gogtay et al. 2013). Thus, in chloroquine-resistance areas, ACTs provide an effective alternative treatment, decreasing the risk of chloroquine resistance spreading.

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES: TOWARD THE ELIMINATION OF ARTEMSININ AND MULTIPLE DRUG-RESISTANT FALCIPARUM MALARIA IN SOUTHEAST ASIA

Because the artemisinins have much shorter plasma half-lives (~1 h) compared with the ACT partner drugs (days to weeks), the reduction in artemisinin sensitivity has left partner drugs exposed to a larger biomass of parasites after the usual 3-d ACT course. For this reason, artemisinin resistance contributes to the selection for partner drug resistance (Dondorp et al. 2010). Indeed, an increase in concomitant partner drug resistance has been observed in recent years and, as a consequence, treatment failures after ACTs are becoming more widespread in Southeast Asia. Late failure rates (within 21–28 d after the initial treatment) of >30% for dihydroartemisinin–piperaquine and mefloquine–artesunate have been documented in western Cambodia (Leang et al. 2013, 2015; Lon et al. 2014; Saunders et al. 2014; Duru et al. 2015; Spring et al. 2015; Amaratunga et al. 2016) and the western Thailand border areas (Carrara et al. 2013), respectively. Failure of first-line ACTs will damage current control and elimination efforts and accelerate the emergence and spread of resistance. Although
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Figure 2. Current insights in artemisinin resistance from the molecular to the public health level.
promising new compounds are currently in phase II and phase III trials, their deployment is not expected before 2020. Promising new drug classes include ozonides, spiroindolones, and imidazole piperazines (Wells et al. 2015). There is an urgent need to evaluate alternative treatments where standard courses of ACTs are failing, and to develop combinations of existing drugs that will not fall rapidly to resistance and can be deployed immediately. Possible strategies include drug rotation between different ACTs, in particular DHA–piperaquine and artesunate–mefloquine. It has been shown that withdrawal of mefloquine as antimalarial treatment is followed by the recovery of mefloquine sensitivity in \textit{P. falciparum}, resulting from the quick loss of \textit{mdr-1} gene amplification, which exerts an important fitness cost in the absence of drug pressure (Preechapornkul et al. 2009; Leang et al. 2013, 2015; Duru et al. 2015; Lim et al. 2015; Amaratunga et al. 2016). This strategy is currently implemented in large parts of Cambodia suffering from high failure rates with DHA–piperaquine. Another possibility is extension of the usual 3-d ACT course to 5 or 7 d, for instance, using artemether–lumefantrine. A 5-d course of the latter drug combination is currently (2015) being trialed. A novel ACT, artesunate–pyronaridine, was recently trialed in western Cambodia, but showed suboptimal efficacy in an area of artemisinin and piperaquine resistance (Leang et al. 2016). A synthetic endoperoxide trioxane, arterolane, which is marketed in India in combination with piperaquine, might be efficacious in areas with high ACT failure, but cross-resistance with the artemisinins cannot be excluded. Sequential deployment of two alternative full ACT courses could likely restore cure rates. Adherence to the longer treatment course might hinder adherence, and interaction of the long half-life partner drugs will need to be assessed. It should also be noted that a total cumulative dose $>$20 mg/kg of artesunate has been associated with bone marrow toxicity (Bethell et al. 2010; Das et al. 2013). Finally, a promising approach is the combination of artemisinin derivatives with two slowly eliminated partner drugs in a 3-d triple ACT. The principle of combining three antimicrobial drugs is a standard approach for the treatment of HIV and tuberculosis. Several groups have advocated for the same approach as the new paradigm for the treatment of falciparum malaria (Shanks et al. 2015). There is a fortuitous inverse correlation between susceptibility to amodiaquine and lumefantrine and between piperaquine and mefloquine, which in addition have reasonably well-matching pharmacokinetic profiles. The combinations artemether–lumefantrine–amodiaquine and DHA–piperaquine–mefloquine are currently studied for their efficacy and safety in the treatment of uncomplicated falciparum malaria in areas of artemisinin and partner drug resistance.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Artemisinin and partner drug resistance in \textit{P. falciparum} are an increasing problem in Southeast Asia, causing high failure rates with ACTs in several countries of the Greater Mekong subregion. This jeopardizes the malaria elimination agenda of the region. Arrival in sub-Saharan Africa of these very difficult-to-treat parasite strains can have a huge impact on malaria morbidity and mortality, and intense surveillance is indicated. Monitoring of the genetic marker for artemisinin resistance, K13, has greatly facilitated surveillance, supplementing the more labor-intensive clinical studies identifying the slow clearance phenotype. The ring-stage-specific assay, RSA$^{0–3 \text{ h}}$, has become the reference in vitro sensitivity test, which has helped to uncover the important aspects of the underlying biological mechanisms conferring artemisinin resistance. Until new antimalarials become available, creative deployment of existing drugs will be essential, which could include triple combination therapies. Accelerated elimination of all falciparum malaria in the Greater Mekong subregion will be needed to counter the threat of artemisinin and partner drug resistance. In vivax malaria, increasing chloroquine resistance is an increasing problem. Its surveillance is hampered by the absence of validated molecular markers or easy deployable in vitro sensitivity assays. ACTs are an effective alternative treat-
ment for *P. vivax*, with the addition of primaquine for radical cure of the infection.
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Remarkable progress has been made in coordinated malaria control efforts with substantial reductions in malaria-associated deaths and morbidity achieved through mass administration of drugs and vector control measures including distribution of long-lasting insecticide-impregnated bednets and indoor residual spraying. However, emerging resistance poses a significant threat to the sustainability of these interventions. In this light, the malaria research community has been charged with the development of a highly efficacious vaccine to complement existing malaria elimination measures. As the past 40 years of investment in this goal attests, this is no small feat. The malaria parasite is a highly complex organism, exquisitely adapted for survival under hostile conditions within human and mosquito hosts. Here we review current vaccine strategies to accelerate elimination and the potential for novel and innovative approaches to vaccine design through a better understanding of the host–parasite interaction.

Following the reinstatement in 2007 of global malaria eradication as a long-term goal, the malaria eradication research agenda initiative was conceived as a scientific consultative process between funding groups, researchers, and interest groups to identify key knowledge gaps and new tools required to move toward elimination and the eventual eradication of malaria. The crux of this strategy was enabling development of strategies and mechanisms to interrupt transmission of malaria, without which eradication would not be achievable. In 2011, a comprehensive R&D agenda was published (www.ploscollections.org/malERA2011; a new updated version will be available soon) in which vaccine development was recognized as a key component, complementing other malaria interventions with the objective of interrupting transmission to bring about the eventual eradication of the parasite species responsible for causing malaria in humans. The MalERA agenda introduced the concept of vaccines to interrupt malaria (parasite) transmission (VIMT), which could potentially incorporate the classical transmission-blocking targets, the sexual/mosquito stages (transmission-blocking vaccine [TBV]); preerythrocytic vaccines that markedly reduce asexual- and transmission-stage prevalence rates; erythrocytic-stage vaccines that reduce asexual parasite and gameto-
cyte densities to impact malaria transmission; and mosquito antigens to disrupt development in the vector. Although the main target product profile (TPP) of a VIMT is to interrupt transmission, an important additional benefit would be to provide protection against malaria symptoms and, ideally, to prevent epidemic spread following reintroduction after elimination.

A fundamental principle that underpins the current strategic agenda of VIMT development is that population bottlenecks (i.e., points in the life cycle where parasite numbers are low) constitute weak points where targeted approaches have a greater potential for success. As shown in Figure 1, there are two main opportunities for targeting parasite density bottlenecks: during the early exoerythrocytic phase with sporozoite injection and intrahepatocyte infection and development within the mosquito midgut following uptake of gametocytes. The fewer parasites infecting the host tissues, the reasoning goes, the greater the likelihood that induced immune mediators can prevent onward development. This is conceptually attractive as a vaccine strategy to prevent infection. Immunity in this vaccine-induced scenario differs from that of naturally acquired immunity to malaria, which occurs only after several exposures, largely to asexual targets, and acts to suppress parasite density and thereby prevent malaria symptoms. Another key advantage of a VIMT approach is a smaller population of parasites subjected to immune selection, reducing the probability of vaccine escape mutants. Naturally acquired immunity does not prevent infection or effect complete destruction of parasites in the host (Hoffman et al. 1987; Doolan et al. 2009). Thus, vaccine strategies focused on recapitulating naturally acquired immunity, via the targeting of asexual-stage antigens, are poorly aligned with the VIMT concept.

**IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS FOR PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT OF A VACCINE TO ACCELERATE GLOBAL MALARIA ELIMINATION**

**What Does a Malaria Vaccine Need to Do?**

The outputs from the MalERA processes, which informed the subsequent updates to the Malaria Vaccine Technology Roadmap (who.int/immunization/topics/malaria/vaccine_roadmap/TRM_update_nov13.pdf), have contributed to the establishment of clear community goals for development of a vaccine to interrupt malaria transmission. The 2013 updated Roadmap acknowledges the need for specific measures to tackle the burden of malaria caused by *Plasmodium vivax*, considered to be even more intractable than *Plasmodium falciparum* in some regions. The points below, generally presented in the context of *P. falciparum*, apply to *P. vivax* also.

In high-intensity transmission areas where malaria is not yet under control, a VIMT would synergize with existing or introduced control programs to move these regions toward elimination.

In areas where elimination has previously been achieved, such a vaccine would prevent reestablishment of transmission; and in the event of malaria resurgence (e.g., where previous control efforts have broken down [Cohen et al. 2012]), it would provide a safety net to prevent disease and death where naturally acquired immunity has waned (White 2014).

This issue is particularly relevant in the light of the spread of anopheline resistance to insecticides and the potential time bomb of resistance to artemisinin combination therapies (ACTs) crossing into Africa (Ashley et al. 2014; Mnzava et al. 2015).

Toward ensuring that such a vaccine meets requirements, the World Health Organiza-
Figure 1. (Legend on facing page.)
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tion (WHO) published a set of preferred product characteristics (PPCs) that describe the parameters for the vaccine (apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/149822/1/WHO_IVB_14.09_eng.pdf). These include indications, target groups, and the clinical data required to assess safety and efficacy.

A critical knowledge gap in the PPC guidelines currently is the target protective efficacy and coverage required to confer population immunity and impact malaria transmission, in particular transmission settings. Currently, mathematical modeling using clinical trial data is our only tool to advise on these parameters and to predict how vaccine efficacy will impact malaria transmission. The controlled human malaria infection (CHMI) model offers a practical way to obtain initial efficacy estimates of a candidate vaccine in naive volunteers. Vaccine efficacy is generally measured by evaluating the proportion of vaccinated individuals who are protected following malaria exposure. In clinical field trials, a number of endpoints may be considered including Plasmodium infection, number of clinical episodes, time to infection, and the number of severe malaria cases (White et al. 2015). A critical measure of vaccine efficacy, and one that has proven particularly difficult to achieve to date, is duration of protection; models can provide insight into how waning immune responses will potentially impact on malaria transmission over time. For transmission-blocking vaccines, in which the definitive measure of efficacy will be via cluster-randomized studies (either pre- or postlicensure), preclinical and early clinical studies currently place a heavy reliance on the standard membrane feeding assay (SMFA) to measure transmission reducing and/or blocking activity. Although significant progress has been made in qualifying this assay, additional work is needed to better correlate outcomes with natural transmission measures (i.e., via direct skin feeding), as well as to translate “individual level” outcomes to anticipated impact on populations. Mathematical models of malaria transmission provide a rational approach to estimating the public health impact of these interventions (White et al. 2009); however, it is important that such models are maximally informed by biological data, an example being the nonclinical population transmission model, which has yielded unexpected findings regarding the potential impact of relatively low efficacy interventions on sustained parasite transmission (Blagborough et al. 2013).

**APPROACHES TO DEVELOPMENT OF VIMT**

**Attacking the Exoerythrocytic Cycle—Preventing Mosquito-to-Human Transmission**

To enter the liver, intradermally deposited sporozoites must leave the skin by encountering and penetrating blood vessels (Sinnis and Zavala 2012). Mutant sporozoites with decreased migratory behavior and motility speed show dramatic reductions in invasion and infectivity, indicating that migration from the inoculation site poses a significant barrier and thus an ideal opportunity for intervention (Hopp et al. 2015). Available data indicate that fewer than 100 sporozoites result in hepatocytic infection following the bite of a mosquito (Fig. 1B-1) (Kebaier et al. 2009).

Following inoculation, sporozoites migrate around CD31⁺ endothelial junction cells before penetration of a blood vessel. Circumsporozoite protein (CSP) and thrombospondin-related adhesive protein (TRAP) have important roles in dermal emigration (Fig. 1B-1,2) (Coppi et al. 2011; Ejigiri et al. 2012). Sporozoites injected into the skin display a folded CSP conformation such that the amino-terminal region masks the carboxy-terminal thrombospondin repeat (TSR) domain. Although a number of sporozoites enter lymphatic vessels and do not contribute to hepatic invasion (Amino et al. 2006), these are crucial in priming CD8⁺ T-cell immunity that targets intrahepatocytic infection (Fig. 1B-5) (Radtke et al. 2015). How sporozoites recognize liver proximity and by what mechanisms they exit the blood vessel and initiate liver cell entry are unknown, but an understanding of these processes would provide insights for targeted vaccine development (Fig. 1B-3).

On reaching the liver, the amino-terminal region of CSP is proteolytically cleaved, expos-
ing the TSR that is crucial for hepatocyte adhesion and invasion (Coppi et al. 2005, 2011). Antibody-mediated prevention of this processing event inhibits sporozoite invasion in vitro (Espinosa et al. 2015), indicating that incorporating amino-terminal CSP targets may improve the efficacy of a CSP-based vaccine. Because the proteolytic cleavage site (region I) appears to be genetically conserved across species, it represents a promising target for induction of cross-species protection.

The liver vasculature, or sinusoids, is comprised of two cell types, the endothelial and macrophage-like Kupffer cells (Widmann et al. 1972). Kupffer cells are the main route of entry for sporozoites into the liver parenchyma (Meis et al. 1983; Frevert et al. 2005; Baer et al. 2007), although endothelial cells have also been observed as a route of sporozoite entry into the liver (Fig. 1B-3,4) (Tavares et al. 2013). Sporozoite traversal occurs via active penetration rather than phagocytosis (Vanderberg and Stewart 1990; Frevert et al. 2005) and CD68 is a receptor for Kupffer cell invasion, although the sporozoite ligand is not yet known (Cha et al. 2015). This would be a prime target for vaccination. How the sporozoite escapes triggering the respiratory burst is not completely understood, but involves CSP binding (Usynin et al. 2007); thus, antibodies bound to CSP may act to reverse this respiratory burst inhibition or provide an opsonization signal for phagocytic activity by Kupffer cells.

Once the process of traversal is complete and a suitable hepatocyte is identified, the process of active invasion occurs, leading to the establishment of the parasite inside a parasitophorous vacuole (PV) (Sibley 2011). The molecular determinants of this process are poorly understood but involve interactions between sporozoites and specific surface receptors on hepatocytes. These cells are recognized and bound by the amino-terminal region of CSP, mediating a signal for hepatocyte invasion (Coppi et al. 2007). Hepatocyte membrane-bound CD81 is one important receptor for sporozoites (Silvie et al. 2003), and EphA2, which associates with sporozoite proteins P52 and P36, is another (Kaushansky et al. 2015). Intra-hepatocytic replication commences inside the PV. This provides a protective niche and prevents fusion with endosomal compartments. Once a liver schizont completes development, merozoites are released, initiating the self-replicating, pathogenic blood-stage cycle of malaria.

A fully effective vaccine that prevents sporozoite invasion of hepatocytes would also prevent emergence of blood-stage parasites, including gametocytes, and thus parasite transmission (inducing so-called sterile immunity).

Proof-of-principle evidence that sterile protection is achievable by vaccination comes from studies of irradiated sporozoites in humans and animal models (Nussenzweig et al. 1967; Clyde et al. 1973; McCarthy and Clyde 1977; Rieckmann et al. 1979). Complete protection against a sporozoite challenge can be induced when subjects are immunized with adequate numbers of attenuated parasites. Radiation-attenuated sporozoites undergo random DNA damage and arrest early in intrahepatocytic development, before DNA replication. What is not yet clear is the exact gene expression attenuation profile required to inactivate parasite development while still inducing protective immunity; nor is it clear what immune profile confers protection in protected individuals, although associations have been shown with CD8+ T-cell responses targeting liver-stage antigens (Epstein et al. 2011; Seder et al. 2013). Answers to these questions will provide direct routes to targeted vaccine development, including development of genetically attenuated sporozoite vaccines, which are potentially safer in terms of quality control than irradiated vaccines, because identical clonal parasite lines are used in which the exact gene attenuation profile is known (Vaughan et al. 2010). These approaches benefit, at least in early-stage development, from the absence of a requirement to define specific protective antigens (a critical requirement for subunit vaccine development) and have the potential to enable identification of immune correlates of protection that could inform subunit vaccine development. The most advanced whole-parasite vaccine effort involves radiation-attenuated *P. falciparum* sporozoites, administered by the intravenous route. This ap-
proach, being developed by Sanaria (Rockville, MD), protected six out of six volunteers in the highest dose group from infection in CHMI studies (Richie et al. 2015). Studies are ongoing to replicate these initial findings in larger numbers of volunteers, and to generate evidence for sustained protection (via delayed challenge) and cross-strain protection (via heterologous challenge). Initial results from a field study in Mali have revealed a more modest level of efficacy (approximately 50% protection over 6 months of follow-up) (ECTMIH Special Issue 2015, http://www.ectmihbasel2015.ch/ectmih2015/home). Further, in view of the manufacturing substrate (sterile mosquitoes) reservations remain as to the feasibility of producing adequate material for global mass vaccination campaigns, as well as lack of alignment with current WHO PPCs for next-generation malaria vaccines, specifically with respect to need for intravenous delivery and a liquid nitrogen cold chain (apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/149822/1/WHO_IVB_14.09_eng.pdf).

It has been postulated that the former issue, the numbers of irradiated sporozoites required, may be circumvented by adopting a similar strategy, known as ChemoProphylaxis with Sporozoites (CPS), where complete protection is induced by a fraction of the dose required in the Plasmodium falciparum sporozoite (PfSPZ) vaccine (Roestenberg et al. 2009). However, recent enthusiasm for the potential impact of this approach has been tempered by the report of disappointing levels of heterologous protection (Schts et al. 2015); further, challenges with ensuring safe and effective delivery of such a vaccine approach remain to be resolved.

Late liver-stage parasites show an overlapping transcriptomic profile with blood-stage parasites (Tarun et al. 2008) suggesting at least some of these antigens are expressed in both stages. Indeed, immunization with a late-arresting genetically attenuated parasite (GAP) did provide some protection against a low-dose blood-stage challenge, by a cell-mediated mechanism; however, in a CPS human challenge model, no protection was observed against direct blood challenge (Bijker et al. 2013). It has been determined that generation of preerythrocytic immunity is dependent on sporozoite numbers (Bijker et al. 2014; Nahrendorf et al. 2015). This is consistent with the assertion that naturally acquired preerythrocytic immunity is absent in malaria-endemic situations (Tran et al. 2014). Protection against challenge in a rodent study model was only apparent after several cycles of replication in the bloodstream and indicates that lack of protection against blood-stage challenge in human volunteers may have resulted from the necessarily early treatment with chloroquine (CQ), before the onset of symptoms. Attenuation by other means, either chemical (Good et al. 2013) or genetic (Aly et al. 2011), could potentially provide protection against homologous and heterologous blood-stage challenge. Complete sterilizing immunity against sporozoite challenge in a late-arresting GAP liver infection model is conferred by only two, rather than three, immunizations in other GAP/RAS models, suggesting that late schizont/merozoite antigen expression may be important. This is supported by evidence that MSP1 can induce a multistage immune response with partial protection against liver stages (Draper et al. 2009).

The malaria vaccine candidate most advanced in development is RTS,S, comprising Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) and an HBsAg-circumsporozoite (CS) fusion protein, which are produced in yeast and form a particulate structure. It is formulated with the proprietary AS01 adjuvant system of GlaxoSmithKline (GSK). Results after a year of follow-up in a phase III efficacy (clinical malaria endpoint) and safety trial, involving more than 15,000 infants and young children, at 11 sites in seven African countries, showed that three doses of RTS,S reduced clinical malaria by approximately half in children 5–17 months of age at first vaccination (Agnandji et al. 2011). The final study results analyzed vaccine efficacy (VE), immunogenicity, safety, and impact of RTS,S/AS01 over a median of 38 and 48 months of follow-up (postdose 1) in infants and young children, respectively, including the effect of a fourth dose of vaccine (Greenwood 2015). These final results showed that vaccination with the three-dose primary series reduced clinical malaria cases by 28% (95% CI: 23.3; 32.9) in
young children and 18% (95% CI: 11.7; 24.4) in infants to the end of the study. Adding a fourth dose of RTS,S, administered 18 months after the primary series, reduced the number of cases of clinical malaria in young children by 36% (95% CI: 31.8; 40.5) and in infants by 26% (95% CI: 19.9; 31.5) over the entire study period. Therefore, although the VE waned over time, the fourth dose contributed to enhancing VE, but not to the level observed following the first three doses. These results were achieved within the context of existing malaria interventions, such as insecticide-treated nets (ITNs), which were used by approximately 80% of the trial participants during the follow-up period.

In June 2014, GSK submitted an application for a scientific opinion on the RTS,S/AS01 candidate vaccine to the European Medicines Agency (EMA) under its Article 58 procedure. The EMA’s Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) evaluated data on the quality, safety, and efficacy of the RTS,S/AS01 vaccine candidate. In July 2015, CHMP adopted a positive scientific opinion for RTS,S/AS01, stating that the quality of the vaccine and the benefit-risk balance are considered favorable from a regulatory perspective. However, the EMA also requested that additional information needs be addressed in future studies, specifically with respect to (1) the timing of the fourth dose and evaluation of the safety and efficacy of an earlier fourth dose; (2) the efficacy and safety of multiple yearly doses and whether the vaccine predisposes to some degree of hypo-responsiveness to sequential doses; and (3) the potential utility of a delayed and fractionated third dose schedule in the target age group. Subsequent to the Article 58 process (WHO issued formal recommendations in January 2016 calling for large-scale pilot implementations of RTS,S; www.who.int/immunization/research/development/malaria_vaccine_qa/en).

The recommendations include that the pilot implementations use the four-dose schedule of the RTS,S/AS01 vaccine in three to five distinct epidemiological settings in sub-Saharan Africa, at the subnational level, covering moderate-to-high transmission settings, with three doses administered to children between 5 and 9 months of age, followed by a fourth dose 15–18 months later. Further recommendations for the pilot implementations are outlined in the WHO position paper.

Concurrent with the activities associated with the conduct and regulatory submission of the phase 3 RTS,S/AS01 clinical trial, PATH’s (Program for Appropriate Technology in Health) malaria vaccine initiative (MVI) and GSK have continued to investigate opportunities to increase the efficacy and duration of protection against malaria infection toward development of a vaccine that could contribute to malaria elimination and eradication. An important milestone was achieved in 2014–2015, when an alternative regimen of RTS,S/AS01—in which the third dose is delayed by 6 months and fractionated to one-fifth of the standard dose (thus the term “FxRTS,S”)—achieved 87% protection (95% CI: 67–95), compared to 63% (95% CI: 20–80) for the standard full-dose regimen, in a CHMI study. The fractional regimen increased antibody somatic hypermutation and avidity. Rechallenge showed waning efficacy in both groups, but fractional dose boosting maintained high protection (manuscript in preparation). These results were remarkably similar to findings reported in 1997, where six of seven volunteers were protected with a similar regimen of RTS,S/AS02 (Stoute et al. 1997); this regimen was not pursued at that time, as the results were generally viewed as being a “chance” finding in view of later studies (where the fractional booster dose was not implemented), and the nonalignment with the accepted expanded program on immunization (EPI) schedules, for which the vaccine was intended. The GSK/PATH partnership are proceeding to a phase 2b field study in young African children (aged 5 to 17 months at first vaccination) to evaluate the potential of FxRTS,S to prevent naturally acquired P. falciparum infection.

PREVENTION OF HUMAN–MOSQUITO TRANSMISSION—ATTACKING THE ERYTHROCYTIC STAGES

Early malaria vaccine development efforts focused on induction of an immune response that would clear or restrict fulminating parasite-
mia, thus preventing severe disease and death. The rationale for this approach came from resolution of malaria symptoms following clinical interventions involving passive transfer of hyperimmune adult sera to children experiencing severe malaria symptoms with high parasitemia (Cohen et al. 1961; Sabchaeron et al. 1991). The prospective targets for conferring such immunity were antigens expressed in the blood stages, many of which were correlated with immune protection in endemic regions or were identified by screening expression libraries with hyperimmune sera. Thus, there was a clear correspondence between induction of vaccine-induced protection and naturally acquired immunity to malaria. Despite a pressing medical need for a vaccine to prevent clinical malaria, as well as a strong rationale for vaccine-induced immunity against blood stages to provide a safety net in regions of waning naturally acquired immunity because of reductions in parasite transmission achieved by other measures, blood-stage vaccine research has been deprioritized in favor of targeting preerythrocytic- and mosquito-stage parasites. Reasons for deprioritizing blood-stage vaccines in an elimination agenda include the bottleneck phenomenon (Fig. 1): the perception that large numbers of circulating parasites, and genetic diversity of many, but not all potential asexual blood-stage target antigens, present an insurmountable hurdle to the vaccine-induced immunity. The disappointing clinical efficacy of AMA1- and MSP1-based vaccines have no doubt contributed to the idea that blood-stage parasitemia is intractable to control by vaccination (Spring et al. 2009; Sheehy et al. 2012; Payne et al. 2016). These unsuccessful clinical trials have highlighted the importance of judicious antigen choice, with conserved antigens preferable to polymorphic ones and prioritization of antigens capable of evoking protective responses at relatively low antibody concentrations.

These trials highlighted the benefit of early clinical testing of prospective approaches in CHMI models, toward determining whether induced responses reduce parasite replication in vivo, and CHMI is increasingly serving as a stage gate before endemic field testing (Duncan et al. 2011; Duncan and Draper 2012).

It still remains possible that a highly effective blood-stage immune response could form an integral part of a VIMT strategy if it successfully reduced death, disease, and transmission by limiting the asexual parasite density below a threshold required for infectious gametocyte production. How these factors are related is not well understood, however, and more research is required to address this particular knowledge gap.

The leading blood-stage vaccine candidate today is PfRh5, a conserved merozoite protein essential to erythrocyte invasion (Fig. 1B-7) (Baum et al. 2009; Douglas et al. 2011, 2015; Chen et al. 2014; Wright et al. 2014). Other merozoite protein clinical candidates remaining in the vaccine pipeline from the pre-eradication era are SERA5, MSP3, MSP1, GLURP, AMA1, and EBA-175 (Birkett 2015). Promising early clinical trial data support further investigation into MSP3 (Sirima et al. 2011) and SERA5 (Palacpac et al. 2013) as possible components in a next-generation malaria vaccine. Another antigen showing preclinical promise is schizont egress antigen-1 (SEA-1). This protein plays an essential role during schizont rupture during the blood stage (Fig. 1B-8) and carriage of antibodies to this protein was associated with reduced incidence of severe malaria (Raj et al. 2014). Whether antibodies to SEA-1 can also block liver schizont egress (Fig. 1B-6) is not known, but an essential process that could be blocked in multiple stages with a single vaccine antigen should be considered as a high priority for further investigation.

Antigens present on the surface of the parasitized erythrocyte membrane are associated with natural immunity to malaria; however, their high diversity presents a major roadblock for vaccine design. A vaccine aimed to prevent pregnancy-associated malaria, based on the relatively conserved PfEMP1 protein VAR2CSA, is close to clinical transition (Birkett 2015).

During a blood-stage infection, a proportion of parasites will divert to a sexual course of development, dependent on the uptake of mature gametocytes by a feeding mosquito. Conversion to gametocyte development is a poorly understood process (see Meibalan and Marti...
2016 for details) that is constitutive in Plasmodium, but is also inducible by certain environmental conditions, indicating that parasites sense changes in their environment and respond to these cues. In P. falciparum, intracellular gametocytes develop through five distinct stages, and sequester in the bone marrow and spleen for 9–12 days until mature forms emerge into the peripheral circulation and are transmissible. Many sexual stage–specific antigens are expressed in the developing gametocyte, but do not play a role in nutrient acquisition or cytoadherence, and thus are not revealed to the immune system by presentation on the erythrocyte surface.

Until recently, it was widely held that circulating gametocytes were immunologically silent. The proteins exposed on the surface of gamocyte-infected erythrocytes are potentially involved in cytoadherence, conferring the ability of maturing stages to sequester until maturity, are poorly characterized but may include variant proteins such as STEVOR (McRobert et al. 2004), RIFIN (Sharp et al. 2006) or others yet to be identified (Saeed et al. 2008). This as-yet-unexplored area could present new opportunities for gametocyte-specific immune targeting to reduce transmission and move toward malaria elimination.

PREVENTION OF HUMAN–MOSQUITO TRANSMISSION—ATTACKING THE MOSQUITO STAGES

Once inside the midgut of the mosquito, the changed environment signals the gametocytes to break down the erythrocyte membrane and emerge as male and female gametes that can undergo fertilization. At this point, well-characterized antigens (clinical vaccine candidates Pfs230 and Pfs48/45) are exposed and are targetable by antibodies and complement (Table 1) (Sauerwein and Boussen 2015).

Mosquito midgut invasion by Plasmodium ookinetes is considered a promising target for transmission-blocking intervention, as parasite numbers undergo a major bottleneck at this stage (Sinden 2010). After the mosquito ingests an infected blood meal, male and female gametes fuse in the midgut lumen, giving rise to zygotes that differentiate into motile oocytines.

After crossing the peritrophic matrix aided by chitinase secretion (Tsai et al. 2001), the oocynete establishes specific molecular interactions with the midgut epithelial cells, followed by their invasion and traversal. Several proteins from the oocynete, including the most advanced TBV candidate Pfs25 and the mosquito aminopeptidase 1 (AnAPN1), may be involved in this process (see Boussen and Drakelley 2016 for more details). AnAPN1 presents a conceptually attractive candidate because it potentially targets multiple Plasmodium–Anopheles species combinations, although preclinical studies have yet to confirm this. The only molecular interactions between the oocynete and the midgut characterized thus far are the in vitro interaction between parasite Pvs25 and mosquito calreticulin (Rodriguez Mdel et al. 2007) and between the oocynete enolase and the mosquito midgut enolase-binding protein (Vega-Rodriguez et al. 2014).

Table 1. Malaria vaccine candidates in clinical trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exoerythrocytic antigens</th>
<th>Erythrocytic-stage antigens</th>
<th>Transmission blocking</th>
<th>Multistage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTS,S (CSP)</td>
<td>PfAMA1 (3)</td>
<td>Pfs25 + Pfs230-EPA</td>
<td>PfCSP + AMA1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PME-TRAP</td>
<td>PfAMA1 + MSP1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFSZPZ (RAS, CPS)</td>
<td>PfGLURP + MSP3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFCSP (3)</td>
<td>EBA-175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFCeITOS</td>
<td>PRH5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PvCSP</td>
<td>SERA5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PfGAP (2)</td>
<td>PvDBP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For up-to-date information, see the WHO rainbow tables at: www.who.int/imunization/research/development/Rainbow_tables/en.
The classical TBV candidates are antigens expressed on the surface membrane of gamete, zygote, and ookinete forms. The best characterized of these, Pfs25, is the lead TBV in clinical development. However, challenges in the induction of high titer antibody responses have been recently reported, with poor immunoreactivity in humans with this antigen, despite good preclinical results in nonhuman primates. For an in-depth recent review of the TBV candidate development status see Nikolaeva et al. (2015).

Two of the main challenges in TBV development have been: (1) producing immunogens with three-dimensional structures that mimic their native counterparts, and (2) inducing in humans the levels of functional antibodies observed in preclinical models. Although progress has been made in qualifying the SMFA (Miura et al. 2013), there remains a need to better understand the correlation between the readout of assays used to report the impact of TBVs and the longitudinal impact on malaria transmission (Blagborough et al. 2013; Kapulu et al. 2015). A rapid pipeline of proof-of-principle human trials for testing lead candidates would improve prioritization of new TBV candidates (Sauerwein and Bousema 2015). Target identification efforts that leverage available sera with high levels of naturally induced transmission-blocking activity have recently been initiated.

INNOVATION FOR DISCOVERY OF NEW TARGETS AND BIOMARKERS

Publication of the *P. falciparum* (Gardner et al. 2002) and *P. vivax* (Carlton et al. 2008) genomes revealed many novel potential candidate antigens. Transcriptome (Le Roch et al. 2003; Silvestrini et al. 2005; Xu et al. 2005; Young et al. 2005) and proteomic (Lasonder et al. 2002; Hall et al. 2005; Khan et al. 2005; Lal et al. 2009) studies have since confirmed expression of hundreds of *Plasmodium* proteins. Potentially, all of these could be investigated for their suitability as vaccine antigens and their roles in parasite development. However, only a few have been the subject of preclinical studies. Advances in other postgenomic areas such as metabolomics, lipidomics, and functional genomics, particularly with CRISPR/Cas9 technology to expedite large screening projects, are also poised to yield exciting leads in vaccine R&D.

Conventional screening approaches used for antigen identification to date have included expression cloning of putative candidates followed by immunoreactivity testing of plasma by ELISA or western blot; elution and mass spectrometry sequencing of MHC-bound peptides; and in vitro testing of pools of overlapping peptides and reverse immunogenetics (Doolan et al. 2008). However, these methods are not applicable to high-throughput analysis of genomic data. Screening of protein microarray (Doolan et al. 2008; Felgner et al. 2013) or expression libraries (Richards et al. 2013; Arumugam et al. 2014; Osier et al. 2014) can be a useful alternative for identifying immunodominant antigens and immunoreactivity profiles from donors with defined malaria immune status. Antibody profiles from naturally immune individuals and those from CPS- or radiation-attenuated immunized, protected individuals have distinctly different profiles (Felgner et al. 2013), with a strong blood-stage bias in protective immunity from natural infection compared with largely preerythrocytic or mixed-stage targets via CPS vaccination, which is perhaps unsurprising given that CQ treatment is highly effective in preventing establishment of a blood-stage infection.

A key knowledge gap is what constitutes a protective immune response. This is used to inform which particular antigens or epitopes are likely to comprise the best targets, whether adjuvants will be required to optimize the induction of immune effectors, and which platforms may be best suited for durability and longevity of the protective response. Rational design of malaria vaccines can be facilitated by progress in the understanding of the host–parasite relationship, particularly, mechanisms of host protective immunity and molecular mechanisms of pathogen–host interactions, particularly those that are essential to parasites’ survival and reproduction, and also those used to evade host immunity. To investigate protective immunity a combination of systems biology, -omics
technologies, interrogation of the B-cell repertoire, cell-based assays, and epidemiological studies are all relevant.

The relative importance of different immune responses in endemic settings is difficult to gauge, inconsistent between studies and varies with seasonality and parasite density. Despite extensive use as surrogate markers of immunity, neither antibody levels nor interferon-γ (IFN-γ) responses correlate consistently with protection between studies (Dunachie et al. 2015). Of particular note is the failure of the parasite growth-inhibition assay (GIA) to predict clinical outcomes from trials of blood-stage vaccines to date.

The availability of highly characterized parasites for challenge via CHMI offers significant advantages over the study of natural infections for gaining insight into protective mechanisms of antimalarial immunity following vaccination or exposure (reviewed in Sauerwein et al. 2011). In a CHMI study, participants are infected with parasites delivered via three alternative routes: with sporozoites via mosquito bite (Chulay et al. 1986; Roestenberg et al. 2012); via needle-based inoculation of cryopreserved sporozoites (Epstein 2013); or via thawed cryopreserved, infected red blood cells (Lawrence et al. 2000; Pombo et al. 2002; Duncan et al. 2011). CHMI by mosquito bite challenge has been the most widely adopted, and provides a cost-effective, highly reproducible assessment of vaccine candidates for their efficacy against infection. Two groups have reported successful challenge with *P. vivax*-infected mosquitoes opening up the potential for much-needed research on *P. vivax* vaccines (Herrera et al. 2009; Bennett et al. 2016).

Vaccine approaches designed to interrupt transmission of *P. vivax* parasites must account for two unique features that are distinct from *P. falciparum* parasites: (1) the relapse potential of liver-resident hypnozoites; and (2) accelerated transmissibility associated with direct emergence of gametocytes from the liver at the initiation of the asexual blood-stage infection. A highly efficacious preerythrocytic vaccine that prevents initial infections, and thereby preventing relapsing hypnozoites, has significant potential in accelerating *P. vivax* elimination. Although relatively few *P. vivax* vaccine approaches have been tested in human clinical trials, a chimeric PvCSP protein (VMP001), developed by Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR) and incorporating a truncated repeat region containing sequences from both the VK210 (type 1) and the VK247 (type 2) parasites has been evaluated in a single CHMI study. Although all volunteers immunized with VMP001/AS01 progressed to blood-stage parasitemia following challenge by infected mosquitoes, a significant delay in the median prepatency period was noted as compared to the infectivity controls (Bennett et al. 2016). These results suggest that induced immune responses partially blocked hepatocyte invasion by sporozoites and/or emergence of merozoites from infected hepatocytes. The development and testing of a particulate CS-based immunogen, and application of the delayed fractional booster dosing regimen, successfully applied to RTS,S/AS01, would appear to be logical next steps.

### SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR A TBV

Classical TBVs, also known as SSM-VIMT (for sexual, sporogonic, and/or mosquito-stage vaccines interrupting malaria [parasite] transmission), target the mosquito stages of the life cycle by inducing antibodies within the human host that prevent onward transmission and, therefore, provide no direct and immediate clinical benefit to the vaccine recipient. Delayed individual benefit would be realized at the population level, when transmission is decreased or ceased. Despite the absence of direct and immediate benefit to the vaccine recipient, the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has asserted that there is no legal bar to prevent a vaccine such as an SSM-TBV from being considered for licensure in the context of their review process. This is consistent with the continued implementation of pertussis vaccine, which from a public health standpoint primarily benefits the unborn children of pregnant women. Further, tetanus and influenza vaccines (along with pertussis) are recommended during preg-
nancy, and vaccine development efforts for respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) and group B streptococcus (GBS) are increasingly targeting maternal immunization as an approach to benefit newborns (Lindsey et al. 2013).

Another key consideration is how to monitor the efficacy and secure licensure of such a vaccine via clinical trials. Two approaches have been proposed: (1) a large-scale, phase 3 efficacy trial, which, in the case of an SSM-VIMT, has been proposed by regulators to be a cluster-randomized trial (CRT) to show vaccine impact on incidence of infection in the community; and (2) an accelerated approval pathway in which the vaccine would receive approval for use based on an analytically and biologically, but not clinically, validated surrogate of efficacy (which does not yet exist), with impact on transmission confirmed during postapproval studies (Nunes et al. 2014; Delrieu et al. 2015). The accelerated approval strategy would likely involve feeding mosquitoes directly on malaria-exposed trial participants or via drawn blood in membrane feeders. However, there are likely to be significant differences in transmission between humans and mosquitoes (e.g., age of volunteer, immune status, parasite and mosquito genotypes) (Smith et al. 2010; Tusting et al. 2014; Reiner et al. 2015); thus, trial size, as well as design, will be major considerations in ensuring statistical confidence in the results.

Further challenges are how to predict the public health impact from reducing transmission, and what criteria to apply regarding the minimal efficacy required to ensure that the significant financial and logistical investment required of such a vaccine is warranted.

TARGET POPULATION FOR A VACCINE TO ELIMINATE MALARIA

All individuals with the potential to become infected, including those who are asymptomatic and whose parasitemia is below detectable levels, should be considered capable of transmitting malaria parasites; therefore, everyone in the area of transmission would likely need to be immunized with a VIMT. This contrasts with vaccine approaches intended to protect only those at greatest risk from the severe consequences of malaria, primarily young African children, who are targeted via the EPI schedule. Distinct implementation strategies, and other key considerations that need to be considered in development of these two classes of vaccine, have been the focus of increased recent attention (Nunes et al. 2014; Birkett 2015).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The first 15 years of the 21st century have witnessed remarkable reductions in mortality attributable to malaria, in alignment with the coordinated implementation of a range of interventions. Approximately six million lives, mostly of young African children, have been spared during this period (www.who.int/malaria/media/world-malaria-report-2015/en). Emerging threats to the effectiveness of these interventions highlights the need for new and innovative approaches. The potential for a vaccine to contribute to maintaining the gains and potentially contributing to further reductions in disease and death has become closer to realization over the past 2 years with RTS,S/AS01, the most advanced vaccine candidate in development globally, completing phase 3 testing and receiving a positive opinion from the EMA. The future impact of RTS,S/AS01 will be defined by its performance in pilot implementations recommended by WHO to generate the supplemental evidence needed to support possible wide-scale implementation.

In the face of these advancements, the vaccine development community has been challenged to apply the proven attributes of this powerful intervention to contribute to reduced transmission, toward accelerating elimination and eventual eradication of the parasites responsible for causing malaria in humans. This has led to an increased focus on research to better understand the biological process associated with parasite invasion, particularly at the interface of the two obligate hosts, humans and female anopheline mosquitoes, critical for maintaining parasite transmission. Further un-
nderstanding these invasion mechanisms could reveal potent new vaccine targets.

Vaccine development efforts, utilizing an array of subunit and whole parasite vaccine approaches, are increasingly focused on infection and transmission endpoints. Short-term protection levels (from infection) approaching 100% have recently been reported for two distinct vaccine approaches, RTS,S/AS01 and PfSPZ, in CHMI studies, resulting in significant optimism. For these leading approaches, efforts are underway to improve the durability of protective responses and ease implementation challenges. As these approaches transition to endemic field testing for assessment under conditions of natural transmission, clear “lines of sight” for development, regulatory approval, implementation, and financing will become increasingly important.
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Mathematical models provide the essential basis of rational research and development strategies in malaria, informing the choice of which technologies to target, which deployment strategies to consider, and which populations to focus on. The Internet and remote sensing technologies also enable assembly of ever more relevant field data. Together with supercomputing technology, this has made available timely descriptions of the geography of malaria transmission and disease across the world and made it possible for policy and planning to be informed by detailed simulations of the potential impact of intervention programs. These information technology advances do not replace the basic understanding of the dynamics of malaria transmission that should be embedded in the thinking of anyone planning malaria interventions. The appropriate use of modeling may determine whether we are living in an era of hubris or indeed in an age of eradication.

The quantitative understanding of malaria dynamics that is essential for rational planning of intervention programs needs to be supported by mathematical models. These models must address considerably more challenges and factors than is generally the case for directly transmitted pathogens or for noncommunicable diseases. The malaria life cycle is complicated, as are host immune responses to the parasite and the consequent pathogenesis. The diversity of Anopheles vectors, with their own complex life cycles and distinct ecologies also complicate malaria dynamics, resulting in an enormous variety of situations and of potential intervention strategies. Evaluating all of these empirically in field trials is simply impossible, especially because effects can occur over long timescales. Malaria is, thus, an archetypical example of a complex system, in which mathematical modeling is needed for studying the magnitude of effects of different components and for explaining their interactions and for making predictions about their behavior and how this depends on multiple drivers.

The need for mathematical models that simultaneously consider the effects of these different factors was recognized by Ross (1911), not long after he elucidated the transmission cycle, making malaria one of the first infectious diseases to be analyzed mathematically. Ross showed that attacking the Anopheles mosquito could be effective in controlling malaria but that it was not necessary to completely eliminate the vector to eliminate the infection: This motivated substantial efforts in larval control in the early 20th century. The development of indoor residual spraying (IRS) using DDT in the mid-20th century as mainstream of the World Health
Organization (WHO) Global Malaria Eradication Program (GMEP) stimulated further development of mathematical models of malaria interventions, mainly led by George Macdonald (1956). The central insight of these models is that, despite the complexity of malaria transmission, many of the factors affecting it can be quantified in a single equation for $R_0$, the basic reproductive number (Fig. 1). This measures how many infectious humans could be expected to arise from a single infectious human, assuming all other humans and mosquitoes are susceptible. Using this equation, it is straightforward to compare impact of intervention at different stages of the malaria cycle and show that, assuming all other things being equal, killing adult female mosquitoes has a much greater transmission effect than an equivalent attack on any other stage in the life cycle of the parasite or vector considered in the model (Fig. 1). IRS with DDT provided an effective way of achieving exactly that, and armies of malaria workers were trained to implement what was perceived of as a one-size-fits-all campaign with well-defined activities and timelines (Pampana 1963). Although these efforts were successful in many parts of the world, they did not lead to interruption of transmission in every setting. A lesson of this was that malaria control and elimination require additional interventions, especially in Africa, where transmission is most intense.

**BEYOND ROSS–MACDONALD**

Much malaria modeling has been built on the Ross–Macdonald model (Smith et al. 2014) but the key insight remains valid: The most traction will generally be achieved in reducing transmission by targeting the adult female vector. This is borne out by the tremendous success of scale-up of insecticide-treated nets (ITNs) in reducing transmission across Africa in the last decade (Bhatt et al. 2015). In general, interventions that target malaria in humans need to achieve very high efficacy, coverage, and long duration if they are to be comparable with vector control in their impacts on transmission. So far, we do not have any such interventions.

At the same time, the basic Ross–Macdonald framework does not consider all elements even of the life cycle of the *Anopheles* mosquito or how best to reduce adult mosquito survival. The $R_0$ concept, elegant in its simplicity, is more complicated when heterogeneities in space and time (e.g., seasonality) need to be considered (Diekmann et al. 1990; Heffernan et al. 2005), and the aspects of malaria dynamics considered by Macdonald are only a subset of those that need modeling. Although computer technology continually increases the computational possibilities, modeling malaria in a complex, dynamic, rapidly changing world finds ever more challenges. Some of them are discussed below.

**Surveillance Response**

The models available did not address all the challenges of malaria programs recognized in the mid-20th century. In general, the impact on transmission of a malaria intervention program is maximal shortly after scale-up (Smith and Schapira 2012), so IRS programs were originally intended to be time-limited to achieve very rapid interruption of transmission (Pampana 1963; Macdonald and Göckel 1964). Once malaria transmission is very low or has been interrupted, an effective surveillance system is essential, and if significant residual vectorial capacity remains then the surveillance must have a reactive component consisting of well-tailored (to a given epidemiological setting) and validated integrated response packages that is effective in preventing transmission from imported cases. This can be very expensive and difficult to operate in tropical areas. Just as with transmission reduction, models are needed to identify the critical elements of surveillance-response approaches. This remains an underdeveloped area of modeling.

**Temporal Dynamics**

The timelines for elimination programs established for in the mid-20th century (Macdonald and Göckel 1964) no longer seem realistic. This is partly a consequence of the fact that recent intervention programs generally do not achieve maximal coverage at the start, and transmission-
reducing interventions are consequently applied for long periods. The reasons are many: $R_0$ for *Plasmodium falciparum* can be extremely high (Smith et al. 2007), characteristics of the mosquitoes (such as exophily) limiting the effectiveness of vector control measures; the populations needing coverage may be large, and therefore likely to encompass heterogeneities in transmission and in the health system leading to potentially reduced impact of interventions; and

Figure 1. Sensitivity of $R_0$ to changes in mosquito density, biting rate, and mosquito survival calculated for the Ross–Macdonald model (based on Koella 1991). Macdonald’s formula for $R_0$ is

$$R_0 = \frac{ma^2 b c p^\tau}{-\gamma \log(p)},$$

where the different parameters are $m =$ the number of female mosquitoes per human host, $a =$ the number of bites per mosquito per day, $b =$ the probability of transmitting infection from an infectious mosquito to a human (per bite), $c =$ the probability of transmission of infection from an infectious human to a mosquito (per bite), $\gamma =$ rate of recovery of humans from infectiousness (equivalently, $1/\gamma$, duration of human infectiousness), $p =$ the daily survival of adult mosquitoes, and $\tau =$ the period required for development of sporozoites from infection of the mosquito. Changes in parameter values are represented as the efficacy of shown factors relating to the original setting (e.g., an efficacy of 50% corresponds to a multiplication of $m$, or $1/\gamma$ by 0.5; $m$ or $1/\gamma$ enter the equation for the basic reproductive number linearly); therefore, this efficacy corresponds to a 50% decrease in reproductive number. Biting rate, $a$, enters the reproductive number quadratically, so that an efficacy of 50% in reducing this leads to reduction in $R_0$ to $0.5^2 = 0.25$ times its original value. Efficacy in adulticiding of 50% corresponds to a 50% reduction in survival per unit time. This enters the formula for $R_0$ as a power function so decreases in this lead to the largest changes in $R_0$. 
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more gradual scale-up of impact is likely to be achieved with ITNs as the main transmission-reducing intervention rather than IRS. As malaria transmission is brought down, it is essential for the control and elimination program to reorient mainly toward intensified surveillance-response approaches as immunity in the population is gradually reduced.

Understanding of the temporal dynamics induced by long-term programs and optimizing decision rules for program adjustments require consideration of the time dimension of the different interventions. This depends on the technical characteristics of the intervention, on the induced effects on natural immunity, and on external drivers of seasonal and interannual variations in malaria transmission such as rainfall and temperature. Population models of malaria incorporating natural immunity are thus needed to predict these dynamics. There is a substantial mathematical literature on malaria immunity based around susceptible–exposed–infectious–recovered dynamics (Ngwa and Shu 2000; Mandal et al. 2011; Reiner et al. 2013), but these fail to capture fundamental aspects of what is known about superinfection in both P. falciparum and Plasmodium vivax. Other relatively simple compartment models (Dietz et al. 1974; Anderson and May 1991; Gupta and Day 1994) also failed to capture key aspects of malaria immunity, leading more recently to the use of a new generation of relatively complex compartment models and microsimulations (see below) (Smith et al. 2006, 2012; Griffin et al. 2010; Eckhoff 2011).

Spatial Variation and Movement

Because underlying transmission potential is everywhere highly heterogeneous and programs rarely achieve synchronous scale-up of vector control, transmission persists in pockets or foci. This reduces the impact of untargeted interventions but makes them more effective if they can target highly exposed hosts (Woolhouse et al. 1997). There is a substantial literature on extensions of models of vector-borne disease to take into account transmission heterogeneity following on from key publications three decades ago (Dye and Hasibeder 1986). Heterogeneity in transmission potential is, however, not the only kind of heterogeneity needing to be considered in models of public health outcomes of malaria interventions (Ross and Smith 2010). It is particularly important to consider all such heterogeneities in predicting the outcome of programs that aim to eliminate the infection. Models of average outcomes (such as, e.g., Tatem et al. 2010) generally provide optimistic predictions because it is the challenging foci, not the average, that determine whether the infection is eliminated.

Other models consider the impact of movements of both humans and vector populations. These models have ranged from general theoretical models that have been used to show that human movement can lead to persistence of malaria where it would not have otherwise persisted (Cosner et al. 2009) to more detailed models that can provide estimates of numbers of imported cases in particular locations (Tatem et al. 2009; Le Menach et al. 2011) or help to plan spatial targeting of vector control interventions (Lutambi et al. 2013).

Stochasticity

Until the last decade, most widely used mathematical models for malaria transmission, including Macdonald’s formulation and later models incorporating immunity (Dietz et al. 1974), have been deterministic continuous state models that consider average status in an undefined size of population. Such models cannot capture chance or stochastic phenomena that are particularly important when transmission rates are low. In particular, a deterministic system can achieve a stable state with very low transmission, although a stochastic simulation (or the real world) will sooner or later achieve extinction if average transmission is very low, irrespective of the estimated basic reproduction number.

Population size is critical for stochastic loss but has so far not been adequately addressed in malaria modeling. Even stochastic implementations of models that allow unlimited superinfection, such as those of Smith et al. (2006) and
Walker et al. (2015), cannot capture what happens in small foci. When parasite populations are small, a large proportion of inoculations represent recurrent exposure to the same parasite genotypes, and malaria therapy data show that this is less likely to result in infection than is inoculation with heterologous parasites (Molineaux et al. 2002; Collins et al. 2004). Because reduction of transmission leads to progressive fragmentation of parasite (or vector) populations into discrete foci, this in turn will lead to collapse of local genetic diversity. This is likely to be a key stage in interrupting transmission, but modeling of this is still poorly developed. We have, for instance, no good estimates of the minimum population size for endemic malaria (either *P. falciparum* or *P. vivax*).

**Within-Host Dynamics**

Just as there are variations in the vulnerability of the parasite at different stages in the transmission cycle between the mosquito vector and the human, there are also variations between stages within the human and within the mosquito in suitability as intervention targets. One driver of this is the enormous variation in biomass between different parasite stages (Sinden et al. 2007), which provides an indication of which stages might be most vulnerable within the host, because they represent a bottleneck in the parasite life cycle. This is potentially key information for choice of drug and vaccine targets. To understand how this translates into potential impacts of interventions needs models of the various density-dependent regulation processes, including the effects of the immune system (e.g., the within-host model of Molineaux et al. 2001).

**New Interventions**

The new era initiated by the 2007 Gates Malaria Forum (Roberts and Enserink 2007) is not just a time of appeals for disease eradication, it is also a time of technological change at an unprecedented rate. There is consensus that eradication is impossible without a new generation of tools and strategies (Alonso et al. 2011), therefore, at present, the only rational global eradication strategy is to focus on identifying and developing the transformative technologies that are needed. Some of these technologies will be improved versions of existing interventions, such as ITNs, drugs, and insecticides. Others will be novel deployment strategies, tools for more efficient dissemination of information (such as mHealth tools), and ways of integrating these such as new strategies for surveillance response.

Modeling should be an essential component of establishing target product profiles (TPPs) for vaccine, drugs, and diagnostics in the research and development process aiming at malaria elimination and eradication. Before product developers lock themselves into inappropriate development pathways, models need to be applied to address the questions of which deployment strategies to consider and how interventions, including both new and established ones, might best be combined with each other in integrated packages. Models should be used to evaluate the potential of deployment strategies before deciding whether to embark on full-scale field trials. For instance, modeling of vaccine combinations suggests that duration of protection, the choice of target group, the timing, and the deployment strategy are as important as antigen selection. Multiple components targeting the same parasite stage are likely to have more impact than multistage vaccines if they can achieve higher efficacy and longer duration of protection, and this needs to be considered in trial design. Transmission reduction could theoretically be achieved with vaccines targeting any parasite stage, but to substantially reduce transmission or achieve elimination they will need to reach very large proportions of the population (Penny et al. 2008). Vaccines with profiles similar to the recently evaluated RTS,S might be an important component of intervention packages designed for elimination in low-transmission settings if deployed across a wide age range.

**Mosquito Ecology**

Because of the importance of killing adult mosquitoes indicated by Macdonald’s analysis, novel vector control interventions represent a par-
particularly promising avenue, but there is an implicit assumption in Macdonald’s analysis that the emergence of the next generation of mosquitoes will not be affected by adulticiding interventions. Different models are thus needed in planning interventions that hope to eliminate the mosquitoes. Such technologies are urgently needed because elimination of malaria from much of the world will require technologies that target outdoor biting and resting mosquitoes that are refractory to ITNs and IRS. These include models of larval competition (White et al. 2011; Smith et al. 2013) and of the systems needed to drive through the population interventions based on genetically modified mosquitoes (Boete and Koella 2002) or entomopathogens (Hancock et al. 2008) and of various kinds of insecticidal baits (Okumu et al. 2010).

**Resistance and Evolutionary Models**

A basic principle in evolutionary ecology is that interventions against an organism will increase the frequency of genetic variants that evade them. This applies to all malaria interventions, most obviously (but not exclusively) leading to drug (Escalante et al. 2009) and insecticide resistance. The best strategies for managing this are not always obvious (Hastings 2010). Evolutionary changes to evade interventions are not always harmful, and evolutionary models can help identify interventions that may push the evolution of vectors or parasites in directions that make them less harmful. For instance, by increasing the relative fitness of high fecundity, short lifespan mosquitoes (Ferguson et al. 2012) and increasing the relative fitness of zoophilic vectors, ITNs may select not only insecticide-resistant mosquitoes but also ones that are less competent malaria vectors. However, some studies have also shown that resistance to insecticide in mosquitoes could increase their susceptibility to *Plasmodium* infection (Ndiath et al. 2014) and further increase malaria transmission. Well-calibrated population genetics models of the evolution of resistance of both parasites (to drugs) and mosquitoes (to insecticides) are needed that can capture both the drivers of the evolution of resistance and its impact on malaria transmission.

**Prediction and Microsimulation Models**

At the same time as modeling new interventions, there is a need to reduce disease burden as efficiently as possible using existing tools. This requires guidance on the likely impact in particular places of integrated programs consisting of an array of specific intervention deployments. Global resources allocated for malaria programs remain far below what is required to achieve universal coverage of all recommended interventions (WHO 2015b), making it essential to carry out economic evaluations for optimizing budget allocations, as well as epidemiological ones. Economic evaluations of health interventions have generally used rather simple static models of disease dynamics, but the recognition that the dynamic processes of feedback effects can radically modify cost-effectiveness has motivated linking of costing data with quantitative results from dynamic models of epidemiology. Economic evaluation of improvements in case management and in health systems in general remains a challenge.

At the policy level, modeling is again seen as a necessary step in the rollout of a new intervention strategy. This has motivated the development of complex simulation models that aim to capture as many factors as possible that might influence quantitative outcomes of intervention programs (Smith et al. 2006, 2012; Griffen et al. 2010; Eckhoff 2011). The recent publication of results from the Phase III trial of the RTS,S vaccine (RTS,S Clinical Trials Partnership 2015) has been accompanied by a major exercise to apply a multimodel ensemble to predict the impact of specific intervention strategies (Penny et al. 2015b). This provided an unprecedented opportunity to evaluate the degree of consensus among simulation models. Despite differences in assumptions and model structures the models included are in broad agreement on the strategies and settings in which RTS,S would have the greatest public health impact and cost-effectiveness and hence on recommendations on use of the vaccine.
Quantitative predictions of impact and cost-effectiveness make it possible to compare both between malaria interventions and with comparable interventions against other diseases. For instance, RTS,S is predicted to be relatively cost-effective compared with vaccines against other diseases, but may not be the most cost-effective way to reduce malaria burden. However, different interventions may be synergistic: RTS,S may be best used to reduce childhood malaria burden when implemented with existing high coverage of ITNs.

**GEOGRAPHICAL SPECIFICITY**

High-resolution open-access geographical databases of relevant data on human population distribution (Tatem et al. 2012), human and pathogen movement (Tatem 2014), and remote-sensed risk factors are becoming much more available (Bhatt et al. 2015). The malaria community now has particularly rich databases of geolocated parasitological survey data (Gething et al. 2011), which make it possible to use largely empirical sources to estimate disease burden. Mathematical models are still needed for assessing the feasibility of an elimination in a given setting as well as for projections of how interventions and specific intervention mixes will impact public health in the future. Finally, appropriate combination of mathematical and statistical approaches are providing a continually improving understand of how patterns of malaria and of interventions evolved in the recent past (Bhatt et al. 2015).

The availability of massive amounts of geo-location data means that modeling of the likely impacts of specific intervention programs (like RTS,S vaccination) in specific places and times has become feasible (Penny et al. 2015a), and these profuse data are available for calibration and validation of models. This sets a high bar for malaria modeling: Fitting complex models to multiple types of data is challenging, and model predictions are always likely to be unreliable at very high spatial resolution. The twin objectives of understanding the dynamics and making quantitative predictions can also be in conflict, because the push to include all relevant factors in a locally calibrated predictive model rapidly leads to complex behavior that can no longer be explained.

**FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

The volume of data from remote sensing, available for parameterizing models of malaria in specific areas, will no doubt continue to grow massively. Electronic communications and data capture mean that disease surveillance systems will soon be accessing close to real-time data in cyberspace, enabling such models to propose optimized intervention responses tailored to particular places, at the appropriate level of spatial and temporal disaggregation.

If malaria is to be eradicated, modeling will need to contribute much more than data-mining applications. Computers will not circumvent the need for humans to apply an understanding of the dynamics of malaria in considering which novel interventions to develop, in product development, and in designing and costing rational surveillance and intervention plans within national and regional strategies.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Models are invaluable to support understanding of the impacts of interventions on malaria dynamics and represent a cornerstone for the implementation of the global technical strategy (WHO 2015a) at the global and national level. Some of these models can be built on the Ross–Macdonald framework. Just as an understanding of aerodynamics was needed by the astronauts who flew to the moon, the planners of malaria eradication need to understand these basic dynamics. However, rocket science based on physical laws is simple compared with disease eradication in complex biological systems. Multifarious phenomena need to be considered in malaria models.

All too often, models are seen as black boxes, and a considerable effort is required to understand them. Mathematical modeling is barely regulated, unlike most of the activities in pharmaceutical development and clinical trials, and the onus is on the modelers to communicate the
basic structure of their models and how they are fitted to data and validated and at the same time be clear about where there is consensus and where there are major uncertainties in their predictions. Sensitivity analyses and analysis of uncertainty and understanding its implications are essential components of any responsible prediction exercise. Modeling of the RTS,S vaccine represents one example in which there has been considerable attention to critical evaluation and validation of models, including documentation, quality control, and comprehensive review. Evaluation of the structural uncertainties in model predictions via multimodel ensembles (Smith et al. 2012; Cameron et al. 2015) or assessment of the consequences of uncertainties in parameter values are generally much more time-consuming and challenging than the modeling itself. It is especially difficult to convey this message to decision-makers who struggle to know what to do about uncertainty. So, to paraphrase the much-abused words of George Box, it is challenging for a nonspecialist to distinguish modeling that is useful from poor-quality modeling that may support misguided policies.

The science of complex systems, not least, systems biology, warns us that there are limits to the predictability of the events we are interested in. Elimination and eradication are extreme events, and extreme events are the most difficult to reliably predict. All those planning intervention strategies and making policy need not only heed projections of mostly likely or preferred scenarios but also understand that they are embarking on a road that is not, and cannot be, mapped out with a great deal of confidence and to be prepared if the best predictions of the route prove either greatly optimistic or unduly pessimistic.

Not all the unknowns make eradication harder. We also live in an era of mass extinction: another process full of uncertainties. Eradication is deliberate extinction, so models of ecological catastrophe hold lessons for disease eradication. The system of \( P. falciparum \) malaria transmitted by Afrotropical vectors is exquisitely adapted to the ecology of African subsistence agriculture, with people living in mud and thatch houses in intimate contact with an environment rich in \( Anopheles \) breeding sites. \( P. vivax \) also has a specific ecology, which facilitated its disappearance from the industrialized world. As the lifestyles supporting it disappear, both major species of human malaria may retreat from the rest of the world. Intelligent deployment of interventions could end the disease sooner.
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A renewed global effort in malaria control and elimination over the past decade has led to a substantial increase in basic research and significant advances in our understanding of the mechanisms underlying this complex disease. However, it is not always clear how such gains in knowledge will translate into improved strategies for the fight against malaria.

Written and edited by experts in the field, this collection from *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine* explores recent developments in our understanding of malaria biology and their potential to influence malaria elimination/eradication strategies. The authors describe recent developments in their respective research areas and suggest both how these insights could guide intervention strategy and where critical knowledge gaps remain. Contributors discuss the unique features and challenges presented at key stages of the malaria life cycle and by different *Plasmodium* species, malaria transmission and its interruption, the challenge of drug resistance, the future of malaria vaccine strategies, epigenetic regulation of malaria-associated genes, and new approaches in malaria research, including genomics and modeling. They describe complex interactions of the malaria parasite with the human host, including disease pathogenesis, immune responses, and malaria in pregnancy. They also explore critical developments in vector biology, control, and insecticide resistance and in vector–parasite interactions, including the relationship between mosquito reproduction and parasite development.

The current state of each of these fields, their potential for impact on malaria elimination strategy and critical knowledge gaps, and next steps to achieve this are discussed. This volume is therefore a valuable reference for all scientists, clinicians, and public health professionals interested in understanding the state-of-the-art of malaria biology research and its critical role in our collective ambition to end this devastating disease.